



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_34265
Title of the Manuscript:	Alterations Induced by Cowpea Weevil <i>Callosobruhus maculatus</i> F. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) Infestation on Seed Germination Potential and Nutrient Quality of <i>Vigna aconitifolia</i> (Jacq.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		All treated comments have been highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper investigated the effect of <i>C. maculatus</i> infestation on the seed germination potential and nutrient quality of <i>Vigna aconitifolia</i> under different types of soil. The parts of results and discussion clearly explained how the <i>Vigna aconitifolia</i> was affected using the experimental data. I only have one question: why don't you compare the significant difference of seed germination under different type of soil(Table2). It looks that the clay soil is very different from other soil types.	Yes I agree with you. This has been treated.