



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_33913
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF TIMBER TREE SPECIES CULTIVATED UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION IN FARM LANDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considering the quantum and quality of the work done by the Author/s, Sections 3 & 4 (Results and Discussions and Conclusion respectively) need to be improved upon from the current one page to about 2 -3 pages. 2. The paper lacks summary and recommendations; this should be considered by the Author/s. 3. The conclusion that 'Cadamba (<i>Neolamarckia cadamba</i>) and Pink cedar (<i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i>) were responded well to the drip irrigation system and it also plays a major role in water as well as weed management' is confusing. No mention was made in the Materials and Methods as to how water and weed management were measured in the work. 	<p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p>
Optional/General comments	The paper need to be further enriched with more reviews of related literatures.	OK