

Editor's Comments:

It requires revision as incorporating the corrections made in the text and also based on the following comments:

- Revise the title as suggested.
- Abstract modify by incorporating corrections and suggestions, only give varieties suitable for drought stress area based on physiological traits
- Key words arrange alphabetically and add RWC.
- Objectives not clear to the author, study conducted to select the suitable genotype(s) based on physiological traits for drought affected area but not improvement of characters in genotypes for drought tolerance.
- References are given along with numbers is it pattern of journal, I think only one thing is given.
- No need to give the name of genotypes in table form mention names in the materials and methods, not given the quantity of seed, fertilizer etc used, not given soil status.
- Results and discussion part to be modified based on the results of various characters but only compare the one or two genotypes when the more genotypes are near the Maxi pak genotype, need to compare the genotypes based on various traits, maximum and at par etc. I try to show the results of genotypes with blue colors.
- Incorporate corrections in the tables.
- Conclusion is not proper, should give the genotypes superior and suitable for growing in drought stress areas based on characters.
- Check references section as per the pattern of the journal.

Author's Feedback:

The authors are very thankful for the comments of the reviewer.

- The title has been revised in the view of suggestion of the reviewer
- The abstract has been modified by incorporation of corrections and suggestions by worthy reviewer
- Key words have be arranged in alphabetical order and RWC is added.
- The objectives have been made clear as suggested by the respectable reviewer
- References have been changed to numbers only wherever possible in the text
- Genotypes names have been given in the text of materials and methods section. Recommended agronomic practices have also been mentioned.
- The results and discussion part has been modified as suggested by the reviewer where possible
- Tables are incorporated with suggested corrections
- Conclusion part has been modified as suggested.
- The references section has been checked and corrections have been made.