



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_31883
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Mango ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> ) value chain in Dilla Zuriya District, Dilla Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Review paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grammar needs improvement for coherence and flow. Paper should be subjected to English language editor.</li> <li>Numerous spelling mistakes to be corrected all through the document.</li> <li>Various sections presented as bullets should be in prose, e.g. objectives,, section 4.1, conclusions, etc</li> <li>Image quality not good and they are not well formatted for the dimensions.</li> <li><b>A lot of the information presented is from other published sources but these are cited .</b></li> </ul>	<p>Thank you for constructive comments and suggestion. I try to improve grammar and spelling mistakes.</p> <p>Regarding the image quality; the images are done by Visio, 2016 programme, that is the appropriate computer program to draw value chain and other chain analysis tools.</p> <p>Since it's a review paper I try to review different research articles which are done in mango value chain in Ethiopia but I try to use my own rewrite them in my words without missing the idea and cited properly.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Personal opinion should be left out e.g. line 310.</li> <li>Section 3 on value chain can be presented in the form of a table.</li> </ul>	<p>Based on the comment I remove personal opinions.</p> <p>Regarding value chain it is already presented by value chain map in line 42 or page 11</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		Once again, I would like to thank you for your valuable comments.