



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_31773
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on character association in Fennel (Foeniculum vulgar Mill.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The proposed for review manuscript considered a very important question related with the association between yield and yield components in fennel through correlation analysis.</p> <p>The present investigation was carried out with ninety genotypes of fennel along with three checks namely Pant Madhurika, GF-11 and RF-125 and estimate relationship between yield and yield-components.</p> <p>Abstract is clear, informative, brief and representative of the work and underlines the significance of the subject.</p> <p>Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic.</p> <p>Purpose and objectives are scientifically appropriate.</p> <p>Individual sections of the manuscript are adequately represented. The findings are particularly valuable having in a mind importance of the crop studied.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Thanks for your comments Sir.</i></b></p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>However, some minor errors were noted:</p> <p>Introduction is very short and is presented without citing authors.</p> <p>The part "Discussion" actually does not exist. It is represented by only one sentence with four citations.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sir I have tried to elaborate the discussion part in revised manuscript.</li> <li>2. I have also discuss the results in revised manuscript.</li> <li>3. The quotes is missing in the list of references is added.</li> </ol>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>The quotes is missing in the list of references:</p> <p>Line 43 “.... Dewey and Lu (1959).</p> <p>Data are presented in a table. I think that the article would become more interesting to the reader if supplemented by further analyzes such as regression and Path analysis.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Organization into sections and subsections was well done and paper structure is clear and arranged according to journal style. The proposed peer review manuscript, titled “Studies on character association in Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.)” is of interest.</p>	<p>“Thanks for your important comments sir, I appreciate your efforts for screening my manuscript”</p>