



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_29016
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Urine Sources on Some Soil Health indicators, Maize yield and Its Heavy Metals Uptake in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Plagiarism issues:</b> Yes, the manuscript was plagiarise. The same methodology and results reported in the International journal of Advance Agricultural Research vol.3 31-36 was copied to this manuscript. The title of the paper plagiarise was" Effect of different urine sources on soil chemical properties and maize yield in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria" by Nwite, J. N .</p> <p>Effect of different urine sources on soil chemical properties and maize yield in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria Nwite, J. N (2005) International journal of Advance Agricultural Research. vol.3, 31-36. <a href="http://www.bluepenjournals.org/Nwite.pdf">www.bluepenjournals.org/Nwite.pdf</a></p>	<p>This work is NOT plagiarised as alleged by the reviewer. My students carried out this research under my supervision. First study was published by myself in IJAAR 3:31-36.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		<p>This one (current Article submitted to the Journal) is an offshoot of the first study carried out by the second student to validate earlier findings by first student. The similarities in data are results obtained from the two separate studies as the same methodology and data were determined.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		