



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_35387
Title of the Manuscript:	Yellow Vein Mosaic Disease in Okra: Resistance mechanism, constrains and advances for resistance breeding
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is too long, and must be shortened. Nutritional values must be discarded. Figures are enough There is not must information about the detection of virus. These aspects must be added.	Yes sir you are right. The paper becomes too long. I shortened it. I want to main focus on strategy behind to manage the disease only. The detection is other important parameter but I did not want to focus on it. It may cause lengthy paper.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		