



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_35387
Title of the Manuscript:	Yellow Vein Mosaic Disease in Okra: Resistance mechanism, constrains and advances for resistance breeding
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Very poor grammatical errors; Poor sentence construction, and a lot of spelling mistakes even in the title.</p> <p>There are also technical errors, eg heavy infection instead of infestation (see line 8); host resistance not host resistant (line 9).</p> <p>Varying referencing styles/ format were used. Please refer to the guide to authors and adopt the required format.</p> <p>Acronyms should be written in upper case. E.g. Okra yellow vein mosaic virus is written as OYVMV not oyvmv</p>	As per your suggestion, I compiled this paper in new look by incorporating your feedback. Thanks for your good correction and feedback.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>When you write a virus name Okra yellow vein mosaic virus with its acronym OYVMV, you should subsequently use only the acronym but not the full name.</p> <p>Do not start a sentence with figures, as in line 123</p>	
Optional/General comments	The subject is very relevant and informative but the manuscript is poorly written; serious revision is required. Expert advice should be sought	