

QUANTIFICATION OF PHOTOSYNTHETIC PIGMENTS OF PLANTS, WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLES IN CHIRACKAL AND KATTIPARAMBU OF ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, KERALA

ABSTRACT

Aims : The present study intended to investigate the pigment composition of four selected mangrove plants viz., *Avicennia officinalis*, *Excoecaria agallocha*, *Rhizophora mucranata* and *Sonneratia alba*, water and sediment samples. And to quantify the concentration of various pigments found in the above samples.

Place and Duration of Study: The samples were collected from the mangrove creeks of Chirackal and Kattiparambu of Ernakulam District, Kerala. Duration of the study was from 2013 December to 2015 December.

Methodology: The estimation of the total pigments, Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoid concentration of the biotic samples, water and sediments were done using standard methods in Spectrophotometer.

Results: Plants showed high pigment concentration compared to water and sediments. High Chlorophyll 'a' (2%), Chlorophyll 'b' (0.8%) and Total Chlorophyll (2.74%) were observed in *Excoecaria agallocha* of Kattiparambu and Carotenoids (0.72%) observed in *Rhizophora mucranata*, Chirackal. In sediment samples, high Chlorophyll 'a' (0.85%), Total Chlorophylls (1.31%) and Carotenoids (0.725%) were reported in Chirackal area and Chlorophyll 'b' (0.595%) reported in Kattiparambu. Chlorophyll 'b' (0.6%) and Carotenoids (0.86%) were reported high in the water samples of Kattiparambu region and Chlorophyll 'a' (0.61%) and Total Chlorophylls (0.86%) in Chirackal. In Pearson's correlation coefficient studies, the content of KEA-chl was found to have a strong positive correlation (1,0.999,0.998 and 0.997) among themselves, with water and with sediment samples between the two media implying common source of plants and sediments.

Conclusion: Seasonal changes and local geological conditions are the major factors for variations in pigment concentrations in plants, water and sediment samples. Sediment pigments proved to be good indicators of lake-ecosystem response to climate change and long-term variability in the photo trophic community.

Keywords: Chlorophyll, Carotenoids, Pigments, Sediments, Mangroves, Correlation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Total leaf pigment includes chlorophyll-a (chl-a), chlorophyll-b (chl-b) and carotenoids that are necessary for photosynthesis process. Variation in leaf pigments (chlorophylls and carotenoids) and its relation can be due to internal factors and environmental conditions. Chlorophyll and carotenoids content varied with microclimatic conditions in species (1). The ratio of chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b in terrestrial plants has been used as an indicator of response to light shade conditions (2). The small proportion of chlorophyll a/b is considered as sensitive biomarker of pollution and environmental stress (3). Acetone gives very sharp chlorophyll absorption peaks and has great merit as the solvent for assay of chlorophylls (4). Chlorophyll is a pigment that has a clear impact on the spectral responses of plants, mainly in the visible spectrum portion. N is a key element in chlorophyll, therefore is usually a high correlation between them (5).

Previous studies indicated that chlorophyll pigments have antioxidant, anti inflammatory and wound healing properties. It has been observed that chlorophyll pigments contain chlorophyllin which is responsible for increasing the number and activity of dominant immune cells like Bcells, T- cells and macrophages essential to human health (6,7). Photoactive pigments such as chl-a cause distinct changes in the color of water by absorbing and scattering the light incident on water. In deep ocean waters, phytoplankton is usually the predominant constituent and the concentrations of other constituents covary with chl-a concentration. Thus, the optical properties of these waters are dominated by phytoplankton and the observed spectral features in the reflected light can be directly related to chl-a concentration (8,9). In

54 most island, estuarine, and coastal waters, constituents such as suspended solids and dissolved organic
55 matter occur in abundance and their concentrations do not co-vary with chl-a concentration (10,11).

56 .
57

58 | 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

59 Collection of Samples : Fresh leaf samples were washed thoroughly first in tap water followed by
60 distilled water in the laboratory, kept to dry in room temperature and ground in an electric mixer (12).
61 Then analyzed for the determination of chlorophylls (Chl-a and Chl-b) and carotenoids content. Water
62 sample collected from three locations of Kattiparambu and Chirackal area in clean sampling bottles. For
63 chlorophyll estimation, sample was collected from the sub surface water in sampling bottle and add 1 ml
64 saturated $MgCl_2$ per litre of sample and keep in chill condition, then used for analysis. Sediment also
65 collected in polythene bags from three locations of these two areas, dried, powdered and used for the
66 analysis.

67

68 Estimation of pigments

69 The amount of chlorophyll present in the leaves was estimated by the standard method (13). 500 mg of
70 leaf tissue was kept in a pestle and mortar with 10 ml of 80% acetone and it was ground well and the
71 homogenate was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was stored for analysis. In
72 water and finely powdered sediment sample, 90% acetone was added, mixed and kept for overnight at
73 low temperature under dark for extraction. The supernatant extract was centrifuged at 2000 to 3000 rpm
74 to get clear solution and the solution was used for analysis. Absorbance of the samples ~~was were~~
75 measured at 645nm, 663nm and 480nm in a spectrophotometer. The chlorophyll content was
76 determined by using the following formula,

77

$$78 \quad \text{Chlorophyll a (\%fr.wt)} = \frac{12.7 \times A_{663} - 2.69 \times A_{645}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \times 100$$

79

$$80 \quad \text{Chlorophyll b (\%fr.wt)} = \frac{22.9 \times A_{645} - 4.68 \times A_{663}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \times 100$$

81

$$82 \quad \text{Total Chlorophylls (\%fr.wt)} = \frac{20.2 \times A_{645} + 8.02 \times A_{663}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \times 100 \quad [D1]$$

83

$$84 \quad \text{Carotenoids (\%fr.wt)} = A_{480} + (0.114 \times A_{663}) - 0.638 \times A_{645} \times 100$$

85 Where,

86 A - Absorbance at respective wave length

87 a - Length of path in the cell

88 W - Fresh weight of sample (g)

89 V - ~~Vol.~~ [D2] of extract (ml)

90


91 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

92 Chlorophyll a (Chl a) is a ubiquitous pigment and can be used as a global biomass indicator. In
93 Angiosperms (most land plants) there are typically two types of Chlorophyll (Chl) molecules, namely,
94 chlorophyll a (Chl a) and chlorophyll b (Chl b). Both of these pigments absorb photons of light in the blue
95 and red spectral regions, but the specific wavelengths of light they absorb are different. These natural
96 pigments exhibit various beneficial biological activities such as antioxidant, anticancer, anti-inflammatory,
97 anti-obesity, anti-angiogenic and neuroprotective activities ([Reference ?](#)). Therefore, various natural
98 pigments isolated from plants have attracted much attention in the fields of food, cosmetic and
99 pharmacology(14). In the present study, pigment level of plants gave good results when compared to
100 water and sediments. *E. agallocha* in Kattiparambu showed high range of Chl.a and Chl.b (2.01% and
101 0.804%). Total chlorophylls were found to be higher in *E. agallocha* (2.74%) of Kattiparambu, and lower
102 in *E. agallocha* (1.09) of Chirackal. Similarly, carotenoids were measured to be higher in leaves of *A.*
103 *officinalis* (0.72%) and *E. agallocha* (0.76%) of Chirackal and Kattiparambu respectively, minimum levels
104 of caretenoid was present in *R. mucranata* (0.48%) of Chirackal compared to other plants (Figure-1).
105 Acetone is known to have a lower extractability of chlorophylls from the protein matrix (15). The change in

106 the carotenoids and tocopherols during seed maturation of *Cassia* species is studied (16). Water and
 107 sediment samples of Chirackal showed high chl.a (0.61% and 0.83%) and total chlorophyll (1.074%
 108 and 1.31%) contents. High range of chl.b in water (0.61%) and sediment (0.85) was reported from
 109 Kattiparambu. High range of carotenoids (0.86%) reported in Kattiparambu water and sediment
 110 carotenoids (0.73%) from Chirackal (Figures 2 &3). As a whole, this study revealed the influence of
 111 abiotic factors on the growth, reproduction and development of plants [D3][D4]. Chlorophyll capture
 112 sunlight and make it available to plant system for its cultivation on photosynthesis (17). Chlorophyll a/b
 113 ratio is an index for determining the photosynthetic efficiency of the mangrove plant system (18). But, in
 114 this study, we claim that ratio between the bound and free forms of chlorophylls can be used as an index
 115 for determining the photosynthetic efficiency of the mangrove species. Similar reports have been made
 116 earlier in mangrove species, such as *R. apiculata*, *R. mucronata* and *Avicennia marina* (19,20,21) and
 117 pine species (22). Higher content of chlorophyll in reaction centre might enhance the light - induced
 118 photosynthetic activity of the chloroplast, thereby high energy transfer (23) and energy production could
 119 be assumed.

120
 121
 122
 123
 124

Figure 1 :Pigment content in Plant Samples (% value)



125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142

Figure 2 :Pigment content in Water Samples (% value)

143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153

[D5]





Figure 3 :Pigment content in Sediment Samples (% value)



154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166

168 Table 1: Correlation Analysis of plants, water and sediments

	CAO	CSA	CRM	CEA	KAO	KRM	KEA	KSA	SK1	SK2	SK3	SC1	SC2	SC3	WK1	WK2	WK3	WC1	WC2	WC3	
CAO	1																				
CSA	0.994**	1																			
CRM	0.994**	0.998**	1																		
CEA	0.984*	0.994**	0.997**	1																	
KAO	0.995**	0.978*	0.981*	0.964*	1																
KRM	0.536	0.518	0.575	0.588	0.545	1															
KEA	0.993**	0.975*	0.979*	0.962*	1.000***	0.562	1														
KSA	1.000***	0.994**	0.995**	0.985*	0.995**	0.536	0.993**	1													
SK1	0.793	0.851	0.851	0.886	0.731	0.522	0.726	0.795	1												
SK2	0.999**	0.987*	0.989*	0.975*	0.999**	0.545	0.998**	0.998**	0.763	1											
SK3	0.787	0.720	0.751	0.716	0.838	0.713	0.850	0.785	0.357	0.815	1										
SC1	0.745	0.689	0.732	0.710	0.786	0.861	0.801	0.743	0.425	0.769	0.970*	1									
SC2	0.563	0.613	0.644	0.692	0.510	0.792	0.514	0.565	0.865	0.539	0.342	0.513	1								
SC3	0.970*	0.958*	0.975*	0.969*	0.970*	0.724	0.974	0.970*	0.787	0.972*	0.855	0.861	0.677	1							
WK1	-0.224	0.159	-0.123	0.058	0.282	0.458	0.275	-0.221	0.316	0.251	0.295	0.056	0.680	0.063	1						
WK2	0.612	0.569	0.624	0.618	0.643	0.966*	0.660	0.611	0.431	0.631	0.862	0.959*	0.645	0.780	0.217	1					
WK3	0.247	0.169	0.230	0.205	0.314	0.789	0.336	0.244	0.061	0.284	0.758	0.830	0.252	0.437	0.078	0.874	1				
WC1	0.589	0.552	0.609	0.607	0.615	0.981*	0.633	0.589	0.450	0.606	0.827	0.939*	0.684	0.765	0.283	0.998**	0.862	1			
WC2	0.846	0.845	0.879	0.890	0.837	0.892	0.846	0.847	0.802	0.845	0.783	0.869	0.848	0.945*	0.249	0.882	0.543	0.886	1		
WC3	0.763	0.745	0.790	0.795	0.769	0.955*	0.781	0.763	0.672	0.769	0.829	0.926*	0.798	0.897	0.264	0.958*	0.698	0.961*	0.980*	1	

169

170 Correlation studies

171 The result of Pearson’s correlation coefficient studies conducted between the pigment contents in Plants,
 172 Water and Sediments in Table 1. Plants showed strong positive correlation among themselves, with
 173 water and sediment samples and also very strong correlation (0.998 and 0.997) with sediment between
 174 the two media implying common source of plants and sediments. The content of KEA-chl was found to
 175 have a high positive correlation with the photosynthetic efficiency of mangrove species. The correlation
 176 coefficient between the KEA-chl and assimilation rate was 1,0.999,0.998 and 0.997. There was a strong
 177 negative correlation between water and plants (-0.224,-0.221 and -0.123). This suggests that in plants
 178 there is less production of pigments in the presence of certain sediments and water or vice versa in a
 179 particular condition.

180

181 Abbreviations

- 182 CAO- Chirackal *Avicennia officinalis*
- 183 CRM-Chirackal *Rhizophora mucranata*
- 184 CEA – Chirackal *Excoecaria agallocha*
- 185 CSA – Chirackal *Sonneratia alba*

186 KAO – Kattipapambu *Avicennia officinalis*
187 KRM – Kattiparambu *Rhizophora mucranata*
188 KEA – Kattiparambu *Excoecaria agallocha*
189 KSA – Kattiparambu *Sonneratia alba*
190 SK-1 – Sediment of Kattiparambu-1
191 SK-2 - Sediment of Kattiparambu-2
192 SK-3 - Sediment of Kattiparambu-3
193 SC-1 - Sediment of Chirackal-1
194 SC-2- Sediment of Chirackal -2
195 SC-3 - Sediment of Chirackal -3
196 WK-1 – Water of Kattiparambu-1
197 WK-2 - Water of Kattiparambu-2
198 WK-3 - Water of Kattiparambu-3
199 WC-1 - Water of Chirackal -1
200 WC-2 - Water of Chirackal -2
201 WC-3 - Water of Chirackal -3
202

203 4. CONCLUSION

204 Results from the above analysis clearly indicate that extraction of photosynthetic pigments depend on
205 chemical nature of bio-molecules (chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and carotenoids). The pigment content
206 were influenced by environmental parameters. Temporal and seasonal changes and local geological
207 conditions are the reasons for variations in pigment concentrations in plants, water and sediment
208 samples. Sediment pigments proved to be good indicators of lake-ecosystem response to climate change
209 and long-term variability in the photo trophic community, which is needed for predicting possible effects of
210 future climate change. It was also recognized that the quality of the pigment record is highly dependent
211 on the preservation regime in the sediment and water. Therefore further study in this context is
212 recommended.
213

214 REFERENCES

- 215 1. Shaikh S. D and Dongare M. Analysis of photosynthesis pigments in *Adiantum lunulatum*, Burm.
216 At different localities of Sindhu durg District (Maharashtra). *Indian Fern J.* 2008; 25: 83–86.
- 217 2. Vicas S. I, Laslo V, Pantea S. and Bandict G. E. Chlorophyll and carotenoids pigments from
218 Mistletoe (*Viscum album*) leaves using different solvents. *Fascicula Biol.* 2010; (2): 213–218.
- 219 3. Tripathi A. K and Gautam M. Biochemical parameters of plants as indicators of air Pollution. *J.*
220 *Environ. Biol.* 2007; 28: 127–132.
- 221 4. Ritchie R. J. Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone, methanol
222 and ethanol solvents. *Photosynth. Res.* 2006; 89: 27–41.
- 223 5. Schlemmer, M. R, Francis, D. D, Shanahan, J. F & Schepers, J. S. Remotely measuring
224 chlorophyll content in corn leaves with differing nitrogen levels and relative water content.
225 *Agronomy Journal.* 2005; 97(1): 106–112.
- 226 6. Rajalakshmi K and Banu N. Antioxidant capacity of chlorophyll in from *Mimosa pudica* by
227 formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex. *International Journal of Frontiers in Science and*
228 *Technology.* 2014; 2: 1-14.
- 229 7. Durgadevi, M and Banu, N. Study of antioxidant activity of chlorophyll from some medicinal
230 plants. *Paripex Indian Journal of research.* 2015; 4(2): 6-8.
- 231 8. Morel A and Prieur L . Analysis of variations in ocean color *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 1977; 22 709–22
- 232 9. O'Reilly J E. *SeaWiFS Postlaunch Calibration and Validation Analyses (Part 3. NASA Tech.*
233 *Memo. 2000-206892)* vol 11 (MD: NASA Goddard Space Flight Center). 2000; 49.
- 234 10. Carder K L, Chen F R, Cannizzaro J P, Campbell J W and Mitchell B G. Performance of the
235 MODIS semi-analytical ocean color algorithm for chlorophyll-a *Adv. Space Res.* 2004; 33 1152–9
- 236 11. Dall'Olmo G and Gitelson A A. Effect of bio-optical parameter variability on the remote estimation
237 of chlorophyll-a concentration in turbid productive waters: experimental results *Appl. Opt.* 2005;
238 44 412–22

239 12. Kupper, H, Kupper, F and Spiller, M. *In situ* detection of heavy metal substituted chlorophylls in
240 water plants. *Photosynthesis Res.*1998; 58: 123–133.

241 13. Arnon D. I. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant.*
242 *Physiol.*1949; ,24 : 1-5.

243 14. Pangestuti, R., and S-K. Kim, (2011). Biological activities and health benefit effects of natural
244 pigments derived from marine algae. *J. Func. Food.*, 3(4): 255-266.

245 15. Nakamura, A., T. Watanabe, (2001). Separation and determination of minor photosynthetic
246 pigments by reversed-phase HPLC with minimal alteration of chlorophylls. *Anal. Sci.* 17: 503–
247 508.

248 16. Zako S. M, W. Akht A. R, Khan S.A and Bhathy M.K. Characterization of *Cassia* seed oil. *Proc.*
249 *Pakistan Acad. Sci.* 1986; 23 : 167-172.

250 17. Rao, A.V. and Rao, L.G. Carotenoids and human health. *Pharmacological Research.*2007; 55:
251 207-216.

252 18. Kathiresan, K. & L. Kannan.. Photosynthetic productivity in species of *Rhizophora*. In: *The*
253 *Mangroves. Proc. Natl. Symp. Biol. Util. Cons. Mangroves Shivaji university, Kolhapur, India.*
254 1985; 262-265.

255 19. Kathiresan, K. & P. Moorthy. Influence of different irradiance on growth and photosynthetic
256 characteristics in seedlings of *Rhizophora* species. *Photosynthetica.* 1993; (29): 143-146.

257 20. Kathiresan, K. & P. Moorthy. Photosynthetic responses of *Rhizophora apiculata* Blume seedlings
258 to a long chain aliphatic alcohol. *Aquat. Bot.* 1994; 47: 191-193.

259 21. Kathiresan, K. & P. Moorthy.. Hormone-induced physiological responses of a tropical mangrove
260 species. *Bot Mar.* 1994a ;37: 139-141.

261 22. Krivosheeva, A., S.A. Shavnin, V.A. Kalinin & P.S. Venedikov. Effect of industrial pollutants on
262 seasonal changes of chlorophyll content in scotch pine seedlings. *Fiziol. Rastenii.* 1991; 38: 162-
263 168.

264 23. Moorthy, P.& K. Kathiresan. Physiological responses of a mangrove seedling. *Biol. Plant.* 1993;
265 35: 577-581.
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273