



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_27857
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTIFICATION OF PHOTOSYNTHETIC PIGMENTS OF PLANTS, WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLES IN CHIRACKAL AND KATTIPARAMBU OF ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, KERALA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Address followings;</p> <p>Objectives are not clear.</p> <p>Introduction part is not enough.</p> <p>Not enough discussion for amounts of photosynthetic pigments. No discussion for correlation study</p> <p>Figures 1,2 & 3 What defines CAO, CSA, CRM, K-1, K-2..... etc ?</p> <p>Over explanations in conclusion part. Therefore, write down conclusions only considering your findings.</p> <p>Whole manuscript should rewrite by considering all the above comments.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Sentences 44 – 47: no need or what do you mean by them?</p> <p>Sentences 94-95 (As a whole.....) : Did you check it? If no, how can you state this sentence?</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>H.C.D. Wijayawardhana</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Plant Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University, Sri Lanka</i>