www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_27718
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial effects of four plant extracts against post harvest spoilage fungi of yam (Dioscorea rotundata Poir).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	;Line 24: It should be ' (Ezeibekwe et al, 2009)	
	Line 29: FAO (2005) not in reference.	
	Line 42: (Aidoo, 2007) is not in Reference. I suggest you replace it with (Aidoo, 2015). Search this link https://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJMR/article-full-text-pdf/968D5CC53444	
	Line 59: Which yam variety was used for the work?	
	Line 69-73: 20g of leaf mixed with 100ml of ethanol. What concentration is that? Is it possible to get 100% concentration?	
	Line 96: What was the concentration of the Mancozeb used?	
	Line 86 & 107: Pathogenicity test should come before Antifungal sensitivity of plant extracts.	
	Line 106: No statistical analysis in Materials and Methods	
	Line 108: Name the 4 fungi.	
	Line 114: 'iniculums' should be 'inoculum'.	
	Phytochemical analysis of plant extracts was not found in the methodology.	
	Line 140:'Table 3' should be 'Table 1' Line 144: 'Table 4' should be 'Table 2'	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

ODI KOVIOW I OIIII IIO		
	Line 147: 'Table 5' should be 'Table 3'	
	Line 148: Which fungus?	
	Line 151: 'Table 6' should be 'Table 4'	
	Line 155: 'Table 7' should be 'Table 5'	
	Line 157: Which fungus?	
	Line 160: 'Table 8' should be 'Table 6'	
	Line 161: 'Table 9' should be 'Table 7'	
	Line 171: 'Table 10' should be 'Table 8'	
	Line 17 1. Table 10 Should be Table 0	
	Line 172: Frequencies on the y-axis should be extended to	
	100. Introduce error bars.	
	Line 175: Omit 'samples'.	
	Line 177: Percentages on the y-axis should be extended to	
	100. Introduce error bars.	
	Line 181 to 216: Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 can be combined into a	
	single Table. Tables 5, 6, 7 and 8 can also be combined into a	
	single Table. This would bring out the real picture of your	
	studies.	
	Line 218 to 223: Tables 9 and 10 not captured in text.	
	Line 228: 'tandeem' should be 'tandem'	
	Line 232: the comma should be a full stop.	
	Line 247: Enyiukwu et al. (2013) not in reference.	
	Line 248: Ekpo and Asiedu (2009) not in reference.	
	Line 259: Ramesh et al. (2009) not in reference.	
	Line 309: Reference not in the text. It should be omitted.	





SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Line 19: the first 4 keywords are enough.	
	Line 344: Please check the fonts	
	Line 379: Check the fonts	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atta Kwesi Aidoo
Department, University & Country	Csir-Crops Research Institute, Ghana

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)