



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_24686
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HANDLING SORGHUM (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L. Moench) GRAINS IN PRODUCTION AREAS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Good abstract The interest of this study is not well demonstrated in the introduction. The introduction fails to clearly put the research question</p> <p>The authors must specify in the methodology, the survey axes, climate and altitude of the sites. A map will be more expressive It will be interesting to have in the methodology, the proprieties of the varieties (landraces and improved) They are no reference on the statistical test in the results In the discussion the authors could highlight the link between the resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses of land races and their proprieties and the climate Idem for the improve variety The conclusion must be rewrite</p> <p>The cited references are recent and appropriate. However, certain references (e.g., [4] [8], [23], [24] are present in the reference list but absent in the text. Kindly check the references to fix these mistakes. Also read again the manuscript to comply with the journal authors' instructions.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Many sentences are grammatically incorrect (line 14, 16, 100, 107, 115, 124, 128, 157)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The scientific name of sorghum in the title is not bicolour	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This study is interesting because it shows that farmers preference for a variety isn't only for yield and precocity. But also for the resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses</p> <p>The authors present only post harvesting management method. Unfortunately they didn't analyse the advantages and ythe inconvenient of the methods</p> <p>The study mill be more interesting if the authors had proposed post harvesting management of improve variety that face to many difficulties of storage.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yelemou Barthelemy
Department, University & Country	Resource Management Department, Institute of Environment and Agricultural Research (INERA), Burkina Faso