

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_24674
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Regional Evapotranspiration based on Tri-Angle Method Using Thermal and VNIR Data.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international



## SDI Review Form 1.6

#### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>The authors of this paper used the method of Jiang and Islam (1999, 2001, 2003) [JI] to estimate EF. However, they talk about the FI parameter as the Priestley and Taylor [PT] parameter, which is a misconception. JI method is a simplification of the method of PT. JI used the NDVI-LST relation to set a new parameter, the FI parameter. FI varies between 0 and 1.26. The value 1.26 is coincident with PT parameter . In short, the authors of this work are confusing the parameter of JI with PT parameter (see, for example, 152, 176 lines, etc.).</li> </ol>	
	2) The authors speak of validation when in fact they are contrasting results obtained with two metologías different to estimate ETA. You can use the term validation when the results of a methodology are comparated with data measured in the field, otherwise you should discuss contrast or at most validation strategy. Moreover, it is not possible to say that a method is good or just bad because they are obtained good correlations with 7 control points. At least you should have 20 or 25 checkpoints in order to get reliable statistics.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Define Eta_FMP and Eta_CWS variables. They are displayed in Fig. 4.</li> </ol>	

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul> <li>2) In the graph of sugar beet, the axes are reversed. It is therefore not comparable to the other figures. Modify the graph.</li> <li>3) Explain what kind of meteorological stations you used for field data, ¿Bowen, EdiCovariance, another ?, ¿which?.</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments		

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girolimetto, Daniela
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Argentina