



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_24304
Title of the Manuscript:	The water infiltration, hydraulic conductivity and water retention effects of ground <i>Saponaria officinalis</i> (L.) root as a soil surfactant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study area characteristics description is not provided. The SAS software used, which version, author and year? Is the experiment a pot one or field experiment? Which experiment design was used? Any steps taken to minimise inherent biases in the experimental methodology? Reason(s) for the choice of method? Tables not correctly label and refer to in the text. Eg. Table 1a,b,c and also Table 2a,b,c etc. The result was not discussed in the context of contemporary literature. Reason(s) were not assigned to the result obtained. Please, strengthen the discussion. Abbreviations should be written in full at the first appearance, and place in parenthesis. Eg. Hydraulic conductivity (K) and subsequently the abbreviation can be alone.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The conclusion is too verbose. The conclusion should be based on your result but not on general literature I suppose the conclusion is rather your discussion. Please, consider sending the write under conclusion to the discussion rather. Only the last paragraph under the conclusion fit the conclusion.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The use of language is just right.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mexoese Nyatuame
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Engineering, Ho Polytechnic, Ghana