



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_27857
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTIFICATION OF PHOTOSYNTHETIC PIGMENTS OF PLANTS, WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLES IN CHIRACKAL AND KATTIPARAMBU OF ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, KERALA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Address followings;</p> <p>Objectives are not clear.</p> <p>Introduction part is not enough.</p> <p>Not enough discussion for amounts of photosynthetic pigments. No discussion for correlation study</p> <p>Figures 1,2 & 3 What defines CAO, CSA, CRM, K-1, K-2..... etc ?</p> <p>Over explanations in conclusion part. Therefore, write down conclusions only considering your findings.</p> <p>Whole manuscript should rewrite by considering all the above comments.</p>	I tried to make corrections on the corrections mentioned. Thank you very much
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Sentences 44 – 47: no need or what do you mean by them?</p> <p>Sentences 94-95 (As a whole.....) : Did you check it? If no, how can you state this sentence?</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		