



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_27203
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in levels of soluble sugar, reducing sugar and lipid during germination of seeds of Albizia procera
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript is too lengthy, it can be cut down especially in Introduction and Materials and methods. Some of the points are given below. Plant description page 2 line 33 to 41 is not required, similarly medicinal uses are not required since the paper is on seed germination hence delete lines 47 to 55. Under materials and methods lines 79 to 100 may be deleted and mention may be made only on the reagents and not their preparation.</p> <p>The plant is a tree. Authors compared seed germination of tree species with that of Amaranth and safflower which are herbs and that too from different families. It is advised to compare seed germination in other tree legume species than others.</p> <p>References are not according to the format of the Journal. Author may be asked to reformat the references both in text and references and resubmit for consideration.</p>	<p>Lines 33 to 41 have been deleted. Lines 47 to 55 have been deleted. Lines 79 to 100 haven't been deleted but rewritten as suggested by another reviewer.</p> <p>The required references regarding comparison with other tree species were not much available with the author, therefore, the parameters were compared within plants species. However, one reference in case of tree species has been added (Ferreira <i>et al.</i>, 2009).</p> <p>As suggested, references both in text and reference section have been modified as per guideline from http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Lin 9: estimated with from – estimated from (delete with)</p> <p>Line 23: Mimosaceae – Mimosoideae</p> <p>Line 438: <i>sativam</i> – <i>sativum</i></p> <p>Line 438: <i>Glycin</i> – <i>Glycine</i></p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		