



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_24271
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Organic Acid Application in Soil on Extractable P and Eggplant (<i>Solanum melongena</i>) Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-Title to be modified as : Effects of Organic Acids Application on Olsen- Extractable P and Eggplant (Solanum melongena) Yield</p> <p>2-Abstract: The first seven lines to be deleted (Phosphorus (P) is a vital nutrient -----in soil solution).</p> <p>3- Introduction: line 60 (oxalic and citric acids)</p> <p>4- Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -line 100 (analyzed for several parameters) - Soils analysis should be arranged in a table -Titles and subtitles should be used -Methods of analysis should be indicated (N, K, CaCO₃----, etc.). <p>5-Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Lengthy -More discussion is not accepted -Line 268 (Fig. 4 ---- ?!) <p>6- References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - should be listed from 1 to 42 according to their appearance in the text. - Appropriate style in citation should be followed. 	<p>1-Rewritten as suggested.</p> <p>2-These lines were removed.</p> <p>3-“and” inserted.</p> <p>4-“for” inserted. Table added for soils analysis. The content does not really merit subtitles in our opinion, thus we have not included them. We feel it would detract from the flow. The initial analysis was done at an outside laboratory and the methods were not included, however, they would have been standard required according to the pH.</p> <p>5-Much of the Conclusion was moved to discussion per other reviewer comment and Conclusion reduced substantially. We added ‘Figure 3’ to this location.</p> <p>6-The Reference list has been fixed. We did not find a style error, please show us and we will fix it.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		Thank you very much for your constructive comments, we are very grateful.