1 2

3

4

5

<u>Original Research Article</u> SAWAH RICE FARMING ECO-TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS FOR EHANCING SUSTAINABLE NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT AND RICE PRODUCTION IN DEGRADED INLAND VALLEYS OF SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

10 The decline in agricultural productivity in Nigeria is merely because the rural farmers which constitute the 11 bulk of Nigerian crop farmers rely on the rainfall for their agricultural activities. Rice farmers in Ebonyi 12 State, regarded as a major rice producing State in Nigeria rely on rainfed agriculture. The water 13 management option among the rice farmers in their lowland rice production in the area is the use of grass 14 materials in the demarcation of the fields into basins for water storage without any form of water diversion 15 from one place to another as a way of controlling the field water. In an attempt to replicate the successful 16 way of controlling water in the African agro-ecosystems, otherwise known as "Japanese Satoyama watershed management model", sawah rice cultivation technology has been introduced to West Africa in 17 18 the last decades. 19 Nigeria Agricultural productivity fluctuates, mainly because the country's agriculture is rain-fed and 20 subsistence farmers rely on the rain as the main backbone of farming in the country. Consequently, 21 traditional water management systems in the lowlands rice production in Ebonyi State that is regarded as a major rice producing State in Nigeria who also rely on the rain, are characterized by the fact that 22 23 farmers focus on storage of water in the rice field, without any possibility to divert water from one place to another. In an attempt to replicate the successful Japanese Satoyama watershed management model in 24 25 the African agro ecosystems, sawah rice cultivation technology has been introduced to West Africa in the 26 last two decades. Sawah is generally described as a controlled water management sytem in the rice field 27 which involved mainly bunding, puddling and leveling with inlets and outlets channels on the bunds for 28 irrigation and drainage purposes, where the soil is expected to be bunded, puddle, and leveled in order to 29 impeund-The irrigation water may be provided by rain water or underground water discharge through seepage or springs, or by rise in the level of a stream and river in an inland valley, or using modern 30 31 source from well pumps, taps, canal and storage of large quantities of water in reservoirs or ponds. The is 32 study was conducted in an inland valley at Akaeze in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons, to evaluate 33 the effect of different water sources of water for sawah water management system and amendments on 34 soil chemical properties and rice grain yield. A split- plot in a randomized complete block design was used 35 to asses two factors at different levels. Three sources of water; rain-fed, spring type and pond type 36 constituted the main plot, while the amendments, that constituted the sub- plots were replicated three times and were applied in the following manner as: rice husk (RH) @ 10 t ha¹, rice husk ash (RHA) @ 10 37 t ha¹, poultry droppings (PD) @ 10 t ha¹, N.P.K. @ 400 kg ha¹ and no amendment @ 0 t ha¹. 10 tha¹ 38 rice husk (RH); 10 tha¹ of rice husk ash; 10 tha¹ of poultry droppings; 400 kgha¹ of N.P.K. 20:10:10 and 39 0 tha⁴ (control). The treatments were replicated three times in each of the subplots. The results of the 40 study showed that different water sources significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil pH was significantly (p 41 42 < 0.05) improved by different water types in the location. The results also indicated that soil. Soil organic carbon, and total nitrogen and cation exchange capacity were positively significantly (p < 0.05) influenced 43 44 increased in the two locations within the period of study by both the different water sources and 45 amendments. The result shows a significant improvement on the CEC by both factors, while It was observed that the exchangeable acidity was statistically reduced differently by different water sources and 46 47 amendments within the periods. It was also recorded that available phosphorous were positively 48 improved by different water sources and amendments in different forms in the area. The result equally 49 indicated that gave positive improvement on the rice grain yield was positively increased by the studied 50 factors for the three years. Generally, results showed the superiority a better performance of organic amendments over mineral fertilizer in some soil chemical properties and rice grain yield improvement.
 The results equally showed that the combination interaction of a good water source in sawah water
 management and amendment practices will was observed to be a good strategy for improving e some
 soil chemical properties in the area.

55

56 Key words: water sources, *sawah*, amendments, rice grain yield, soil properties and inland valleys

57 1.0 INTRODUCTION

58 The well-established and growing demand for rice in Nigeria presently has necessitated the need for 59 increasing rice production both to meet the country's food requirements and for the realization of rice 60 green revolution in Nigeria. Increasing rice production both to meet the country's food requirements and 61 to help the world overcome food crisis is one major issue facing Nigeria today. Nigeria is now one of the 62 largest food importers in the world. In 2010 alone, Nigeria spent 356 billion naira on importation of rice. 63 Nigeria is eating beyond its means. While we all smile as we eat rice everyday, Nigerian rice farmers cry 64 as the importations undermine domestic production [1].

65 Nigeria agricultural productivity fluctuates without control, mainly because the country's agriculture is rain-66 fed and subsistence rural farmers rely on the rain for farming activities operations in the country. Rain-fed 67 agriculture is an important a major economic activity in the developing world countries and is been 68 practiced in 80% of the total physical agricultural area with about 62 percent of the world's stable food [1, 2, 3]. Globally, rain-fed agriculture is practiced in 80% of the total physical agricultural area and generated 69 70 62 percent of the world's staple food [2, 3]. In According to FAO [4], 93 percent of cultivated land in sub-71 Saharan Africa is merely rainf-fed agriculture, sub-Saharan Africa, 93 percent of cultivated land is rain fed 72 [4], thus playing a crucial role in food security and water availability [5]. Rice farmers in the study area 73 who are dependent on the rain for their rice production make straight bunds across the valley bottom to 74 store water in the fields. The lowlands are often slightly concave; these straight bunds result in deep water in the lowest parts of the lowland, and hardly any flooding near the fringes. These traditional 75 76 practices usually lead to differences in rice performance and yield from the same field, and large disparity 77 in soil characteristics of the same field. Kadigi et al. [6] argues that land for rain-fed agriculture varies 78 depending on the amount and distribution of rainfall in the area. Rice production in the rainfed lowland 79 environment being dependent on rainfed conditions is very susceptible to climatic variability which results 80 in low yields.

81 Rain-fed lowland farmers are typically challenged by poor soil quality, drought/flood conditions, and 82 erratic yields. Study has shown that Y yields from rain-fed agriculture are often usually low, generally around measuring 1 t ha⁻¹ in semiarid tropical agro-ecosystems [7]. There is ample evidence to 83 84 suggestResearches have revealed that the low productivity in rain-fed agriculture is majorly due more to 85 suboptimal performance related to field management aspects rather than to how physical potential [8 – 86 11]. This means that in the developing countries with the most rapid population growth, dependence on rain-fed agriculture operating at suboptimal level is high. Gowing et al; [12] maintained that poor field 87 management practices resulting to inadequate soil moisture and low soil fertility have been top challenges 88 89 facing rain-fed agriculture.

100 reversion to the use of organic materials in wetland rice cultivation as a more realistic option for farmers

⁹⁰ The improvement of farm infrastructures like bunding, leveling of the field surface, irrigation and drainage 91 modifications will go a long way in reducing the yield gap in rain-fed inland valley environments. The 92 surface water could be maintained more evenly over the field's entire surface with leveling operation 93 helping to improve soil conditions for rice production. Considering the gap yield in rain-fed agriculture and 94 the current demand for rice in Nigeria, there is need to sort for other water sources for supplementing the 95 rainfed for optimum rice production in Nigeria. To narrow the yield gap in rain fed lowlands environments, improvement of farm infrastructures such as 96 97 land leveling, irrigation and drainage facilities modifications should be done. Supplementary irrigation is

⁹⁸ needed when natural precipitation is not adequate to secure grain and forage production [13].

⁹⁹ In their assessment of rice production technologies in Nigeria, Imolehin and Wada [14] advocated a

- 101 than continued reliance on inorganic fertilizers, which in addition to their deleterious effects on the soil are
- 102 not readily available. Lee et al. [15] reported from a long-term paddy study in southeast Korea that 103 continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties in the plough
- 103 continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties in the plough 104 layer, relative to inorganic fertilizer application. However, the superiority of locally available organic
- 104 materials over inorganic fertilizers in terms of soil properties reformation and stability after puddling of
- 106 natural wetlands in our tropical environment is not yet confirmed.
- 107 Nigeria is relatively blessed with enough rain and high potential valuable inland valleys for rice based 108 cropping. In spite of the potentials of these Nigeria valuable inland valleys that abound in Nigeria 109 especially in the Southeast for agricultural use, these areas are yet to be still facing some challenges in 110
- 110 their exploited fullyexploitation.
 - 111 The major constraints limiting factors in the utilization of these inland valleys have been outlined 112 asinclude; poor soil fertility maintenance, inadequate weed and water control [16 – 19]. Most soils in the
- 113 West African sub-region are highly weathered and very fragile [20 24].
- 114 In order to overcome these limitations in the utilization of these inland valleys, an African adaptive sawah
- 115 lowland farming practice with small scale irrigation scheme for integrated watershed management will
- 116 <u>have been proposed to be the most promising strategy to tackle these problems in these areas</u>[23, 25].
- Sawah, has been described as an Indo-Malaysian word for padi (Malayan word for paddy) or lowland rice
 management system <u>comprising involved</u> bunding, puddling, levelling and good water management
 through inlet and outlet channels for irrigation and drainage [26].
- 120 Sawah system which ensures the maintenance of water level (minimum and maximium) in the field plots 121 during the growing period of the plant contribute to the alleviation of global warming problems through the 122 fixed as a factor of any second second
- 122 <u>fixation of carbon in forest and sawah soils in ecologically sustainable ways.</u>
- Sawah system ensures that certain water level (minimum and maximium) is maintained in field plots during the growing period of the plant. It restores/replenishes the lowland with nutrients through geological fertilization as it resists erosion. The mechanisms in sawah system of nutrient replenishments encourage not only rice growth, but also the breeding of various microbes, which improves biological nitrogen fixation.
- 128 Achieving high yield in most West African ecology is difficult without soil amendment, as the soils are 129 highly leached, porous and low in essential plant nutrient. Imolehin and Wada [14] advocated a reversion to the use of organic materials in wetland rice cultivation as a more realistic option for farmers than 130 continued reliance on inorganic fertilizers, which in addition to their deleterious effects on the soil are not 131 132 readily available. Lee et al. [15] reported an improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties with 133 continuous application of compost in a plough layer of a long-term rice paddy, relative to inorganic 134 fertilizer application. However, the superiority of locally available organic materials over inorganic 135 fertilizers in terms of soil properties reformation and stability after puddling of natural wetlands in our 136 tropical environment is not yet confirmed.
- The study aimed at evaluating three different water sources; spring, pond and rain-fed for *sawah* development at farmers level for sustainable nutrient management and rice production in inland valleys of Southeastern Nigeria. The objective of study also include, to aims at evaluating of the contributions effects of different manure types sources to changes in on soil chemical properties and grain yield improvement: and to determine evaluate the interactions of different water sources and soil amendments on soil
- 142 properties and rice grain yield.
- 143

144 **2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

145 **2.1 Location of the Study**

This study was conducted in an inland valley at Akaeze in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons to
evaluate the effects of different sources of water for *sawah* water management system and amendments
on soil properties and rice grain yield. Akaeze lies at approximately latitude 05⁰ 56 N and longitude 07⁰ 41
E. The annual rainfall for the area is 1,350 mm, spread from April to October with average air temperature
of 29^o C. The sites is within the derived savanna vegetation zone with grassland and tree combinations.
The soils are described as Aeric Tropoaquent [27] or Gleyic Cambisol [28]. The soils have moderate soil

- 152 organic carbon (OC) content on the topsoil, low in pH and low cation exchange capacity (CEC). Soils are
- mainly used for rain-fed rice cultivation during the rains and vegetable production as the rain recedes.

154 2.2 Field method

- 155 The field was divided into three different main plots where the three sources of water for irrigation were
- 156 located. Bulk (composite) sample was collected at 0- 20 cm soil depth in the study area for initial soil
- 157 characteristics. The three main plots were demarcated into five subplots with a 0.6 m raised bunds where
- the soil amendments were applied (Figure 3).
- A split- plot in a randomized complete block design was used to asses the two factors at different levels.
 The three sources of water that constituted main plot include;
 Frain-fed sawah which involved plots where water supply was only from rain water and no irrigation
 - rain-fed sawah which involved plots where water supply was only from rain water and no irrigation water was allowed to flow into the plots.
- spring type, on its own was where water source was from a spring that flows into the field and perhaps rainfall with some control, and
 - pond type involved water application to plots as supplemental irrigation with pumping machine from an artificial pond in the field.



167 168

 $\frac{172}{173}$

174

162

165

166

68 Figure 1: Field preparation with power-tiller machine

- 169 Generally, Water was circulated in the field by manipulation of the bunds. The water flows from the spring
- to the plots through a constructed canal from the spring source to the field and the spring is close-by to
- the field, less than 100 m away (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Constructed canal from the spring source and the artificial pond for supplemental irrigation.

The quantity of water issued to the plots was not measured rather the depth of water was maintained at 5 cm- 10 cm throughout the growing period of the rice except in the rain-fed plots where only the water harvested by each plot during rainfall that settle in the plots. Ruled sticks with bold marks on 10 cm and 5 cm points were mounted permanently on each plot to check the water level or depth in the field. In the pumping type a pumping machine with rated power output of 2.8 kilowatts, self priming volute with 4 impeller blades and maximum discharge of 900 litres/minute, plus a total Head of 26 M, was used to

- 181 pump water from an artificial pond into the field receiving pumping water as a supplemental irrigation, 182 whenever water depth in the plots is below 5 cm (Figure 2).
- 183 The water introduction in each case was made 2 weeks after transplanting and this was maintained till the
- 184 stage of ripening of the rice grains with the help of the bunds inlets and outlets channels (Figure 3). The
- 185 water from these different sources in the field is presumed to have different qualities and as such would
- 186 have different effect on the soil properties and rice yield.



187 188 Figures 3: Construction of interceptive canals and bund making for *sawah* field development

189 The amendments that constituted the sub- plots were applied as follows:

- PD Poultry droppings @ 10 ton/ha
 - F NPK fertilizer (20:10:10) @ 400 kg/ha recommended rate for rice in the zone
- RH Rice husk @ 10 t ha⁻¹;
 - RHA Rice husk ash @ 10 t ha⁻¹
 - CT Control @ 0 t ha⁻¹

The treatments were replicated three times in each of the main-plots. The PD, RHA and RH were spread on the plots that received them and incorporated manually into the top 20 cm soil depth 2 weeks before transplanting. The nutrient contents of these organic amendments were determined (Table 2). The motivation on the selection or choice of quantities of organic amendments used was based on the soil type of study area and the availability of the amendments in the area.

The test crop was high-tillering rice variety *Oryza sativa var. FARO 52 (WITA 4)*. The rice seeds were first raised in the nursery and later transplanted to the main field after 3 weeks in nursery. At maturity, rice grains were harvested, dried and yield computed at 90% dry matter content. At the end of harvest, soil

samples were collected from each replicate of every plot from each of the location for chemical analyses.



204 205

191

193

194

Figure 4: New transplanted sawah field

206 2.3 Laboratory methods

Soil samples were air-dried and sieved with 2 mm sieve. Soil fractions less than 2 mm from individual samples were then analyzed using the following methods; Particle size distribution of less than 2 mm fine earth fractions was measured by the hydrometer method as described by Gee and Bauder [29]. Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil:0.1 M KCl suspensions [30]. The soil organic carbon was determined by the wet oxidation method of Walkley and Black (1934) as modified by Nelson and Somners [31]. Total nitrogen was determined by semi-micro kjeldahl digestion method using sulphuric acid and CuSO₄ and

- Na₂SO₄ catalyst mixture [32]. Available phosphorus was measured by the Bray II method [33]. CEC was 213
- determined by the method described by Rhoades [34]. While exchangeable acidity (EA) was measured 214
- 215 using the method of McLean [30].

216 2.4 Data analysis

- 217 Data analysis was performed using **GENSTAT** 3 7.2 Edition.
- 218 Significant treatment means was separated and compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) and
- 219 all inferences were made at 1% and 5% Levels of probability.

220 **3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

221 **3.1 Soil Properties and Organic Amendments**

222 3.1.1 Soil properties

223 The soil physical and chemical properties are reported in Table 1. Generally, Table 1 gave the soils of the

- study area are as sandy loam with 100 g kg⁻¹ clay and 150 g kg⁻¹ silt content. The initial soil analysis 224
- 225 indicated showed that the soil has low pH, exchangeable bases and cation exchange capacity (Table 1).
- Soil organic carbon concentration was moderate, whereas the soil total nitrogen value was 0.091%. 226

227 3.1.2 Organic amendments properties

Table 2 shows that R rice husk amendment had-gave the highest percentage of organic carbon (33.7%), 228 229 followed by rice husk ash with 23.9%, while poultry dropping recorded had the least value. This means implies that rice husk amendment has the potentials of enriching the soil more with more organic carbon 230 pools. The analysis also indicated that poultry dropping produced the highest total nitrogen percent-was 231 232 higher in poultry dropping, while the least TN was recorded in rice husk ash which could be attributed to 233 the burning of the material. The analysis (Table 2) showed that rice husk ash had the highest values for 234 percentage potassium and magnesium, while the highest percentage calcium was obtained from poultry 235 dropping.

236 Table 1: Some properties of the topsoil of the experimental plots (0-20 cm) before tilling and 237 amendment

Soil Property	Value
Clay (%)	10
Silt (%)	21
Total sand (%)	69
Textural class	SL
Organic matter %	2.64
Organic carbon % (OC)	1.61
Total nitrogen % (N)	0.091
pH (H ₂ O)	3.6
pH (KCI)	3.0
Exchangeable bases (cmolkg ⁻¹)	
Sodium (Na)	0.15
Potassium (K)	0.04
Calcium (Ca)	1.0
Magnesium (Mg)	0.6
Cation exchange capacity (CEC)	5.6
Exchangeable acidity (EA)	3.2
Available phosphorous (mg/kg)	4.20
Base saturation (BS)	24.70

238 239 OC= organic carbon; TN= total nitrogen; K^* = exchangeable potassium; Ca²⁺= exchangeable calcium; Mg²⁺ = exchangeable

magnesium; CEC= cation exchange capacity

240 Table 2. Properties of the organic amendments (%)

Amendment	00	Total N	K	Са	Mg	Р	C:N		
(%)									
PD	16.50	2.10	0.48	14.40	1.20	2.55	7.86		
RH	33.70	0.70	0.11	0.36	0.38	0.49	48.14		
RHA	23.90	0.06	0.65	1.00	1.40	11.94	398.33		

241 PD= poultry droppings; RH= rice husk powder; RHA= rice husk burnt ash; OC= organic carbon

3.2 Effects of Water Sources and Amendments on the Soil pH and Organic Carbon

243 Tables 3 and 4 presented the effects of different sources of water and amendments on the soil pH and 244 organic carbon for three years of study. The results (Table 3) showed that the soil pH measured in water 245 was significantly (p < 0.05) improved higher in spring water source than other by sawah water sources in the three years of study with spring water source giving the best improvement with pH values of 4.12, 246 4.64 and 4.94 in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of study, while the rain-fed recorded the least values (3.89, 4.31 and 4.65), 1st, 2nd and 3rd year, respectively. The result also showed that the pH-increasing trend directly 247 248 249 followed the year of study progression. The higher pH values obtained in spring sawah treated plots could 250 be linked to the fine particles and other sediments that were eroded from the adjacent uplands and moved into the spring water which are then moved to the affected plots and get accumulated. 251

252 Generally, the This result disagrees is not in agreement with the findings of Takase *et al.* [35] in a 253 research conducted in Ghana who to compared river, canal, tap and well irrigation sources in Ghana and 254 found observed that though none of the se sawah water types studied gave significantly higher increase 255 on the pH than others, but the soils irrigated with well water recorded had the highest pH value at the end 256 of their three months of their study.

- 257 Table 3 indicated that manure application within the period of study increased the soil pH measured in 258 water significantly (p < 0.05) higher than plots without manure application. The soil pH was improved 259 significantly (p < 0.05) improved higher in soils treated with rice husk ash in all the three water sources for 260 sawah development in the three years of study. This was followed by plots amended with poultry 261 dropping, while the least pH value was obtained from plots with no amendments. The values ranged from 3.44 – 4.49 in the 1st year, 3.58 – 4.84 in the 2nd year and 3.82 – 5.31 in the 3rd year of study. The results 262 263 of the three years showed the pH increases as the year progresses. The significant improvement on the soil pH recorded in plots treated with made by RHA within the study period could be linked to the high 264 potassium and magnesium contents in the rice husk ash material used (Table 2) which could induce a pH 265 266 increase and this on pH agrees with conforms to the findings submissions of Abyhammer et al. [36]; 267 Markikainen, [37] and Nwite et al. [38]; who stated that organic lime like ash amendment material could induce a pH increase by as much as 0.6 – 1.0 units in humus soils. Generally, the results showed that 268 269 treated soils treated with amendments increased pH significantly higher than untreated soils. This ese 270 results is in conformity agrees with the findings of Opara-Nnadi et al. [39] who reported pH increase 271 following the application of organic wastes.
- The interactions of water sources and amendments improved <u>the soil pH significantly</u> only in the first year
 of study.

274 Table 4 presents the effect of water source for sawah development and amendments on soil organic carbon. The results on seil organic carbon (Table 4) indicated that water sources and amendments 275 276 significantly (p < 0.05) increased the soil organic carbon pools (SOC) significantly (p < 0.05) differently in the soil for the three years of study. The result shows that among the water sources, spring water source 277 278 did improve the SOC pool statistically significantly (p < 0.05) higher than different from other water 279 sources within the periods of study. It was observed that apart from the first year, pond water source did 280 not improve the SOC significantly (p < 0.05) improved the SOC better higher that than the rain-fed water source. The soil organic carbon mean values ranged varied from 1.02 - 1.36%, 1.21 - 1.47% and 1.20 -281 1.49%, in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of study, respectively. However, the significant improvement made by 282 spring water source over other water sources could be attributed to finer fractions or sediments that were 283 moved into the plots by the water during flow from the spring through the canal. Follet [40] showed that 284 sequestering CO₂ from the atmosphere organic carbon sequestration through improved soil management 285

- practices can have a positive impact significant improvement on soil resources, because increasing soil C
 increases the functional capabilities of soils.
- 288 It was also obtained from the The results (Table 4) showed that soil amendments significantly (p < 0.05)
- improved the soil organic carbon <u>pool</u> relatively higher than the control within the periods of study. The
- result equally indicated also gave a higher significantly higher improvement on the SOC pool on plots
 amended with rice husk dust than plots amended with other treatments. This higher improvement made
 by rice husk dust on the soil organic carbon could be attributed to high content/percent of carbon in the
 rice husk dust used as amendment (Table 2). It was also noted that all the amended plots significantly (p
 < 0.05) increased the soil organic carbon pool higher than the control. The mean values varied from 0.65
- -1.66% in the first year, 0.88 1.63% in the second year and 0.93 1.55% in the third year.
- The results also showed that the interactions of water sources and amendments there was increased the soil organic carbon (SOC) build-up significantly (p < 0.05) improve higher than their separate performance ment on the buildup of SOC with the interactions of water sources and amendments in the second and third year of the study. This agreed with the report of Bhagat and Verma [41] the submission that incorporation of plant residues coupled with appropriate puddling and water management build up organic
- 301 | carbon status of soil [41].

Water source	Amer	ndments				
tor Sawan	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Year 1						
Rained	3.37	3.93	4.07	3.83	4.23	3.89
Spring	3.57	3.70	4.23	4.33	4.77	4.12
Pond	3.40	3.90	4.03	3.93	4.47	3.95
Mean	3.44	3.84	4.11	4.03	4.49	
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sa	wah		0.1025		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent		(0.1313		
LSD (0.05) water sc	ource for sa	wah x Ameno	dments	0.2157		
Year 2						
Rained	3.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.31
Spring	3.73	4.80	4.80	4.73	5.13	4.64
Pond	3.53	4.40	4.70	4.43	4.80	4.37
Mean	3.58	4.57	4.67	4.56	4.84	
LSD (0.05) water sc	ource for sa	wah		0.1105		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent		(0.1412		
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sa	wah x Ameno	dments	NS		
Year 3						
Rained	3.60	4.77	4.90	4.97	5.03	4.65
Spring	3.97	5.03	5.13	5.03	5.53	4.94
Pond	3.90	5.00	5.03	5.00	5.37	4.86
Mean	3.82	4.93	5.02	5.00	5.31	
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sa	wah		0.0956		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent		(0.1167		
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sa	wah x Ameno	dments	NS		
CT = control, NPK = r	nitrogen. phos	sphorous. pota	ssium, PD = po	ultry dropping,	RH = rice husk,	RHA = rice !

302 Table 3: Effects of different water source for sawah and amendments on soil pH

304 **Table 4: Effects of** different water source for *sawah* and amendments on soil organic carbon (%)

Water source for Sawah	Ame	ndments				
	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Y	ear 1					
Rained	0.59	1.15	1.14	1.28	0.94	1.02
Spring	0.67	1.62	1.58	1.92	0.99	1.36

Pond	0.70	1.30	1.28	1.79	1.03	1.22		
Mean	0.65	1.35	1.33	1.66	0.99			
LSD (0.05) water so	urce for sawa	h		0.2108				
LSD (0.05) Amendm	ent			0.2079				
LSD (0.05) water so	urce for sawa	h x Amendme	ents	NS				
١	fear 2							
Rained	0.85	1.35	1.24	1.36	1.26	1.21		
Spring	0.99	1.81	1.46	1.89	1.20	1.47		
Pond	0.80	1.47	1.31	1.64	1.03	1.25		
Mean	0.88	1.54	1.34	1.63	1.16			
LSD (0.05) water so	urce for sawa	h		0.1864				
LSD (0.05) Amendm	ent			0.1372				
LSD (0.05) water so	urce for sawa	h x Amendme	ents	0.2540				
Y	fear 3							
Rainfed	0.92	1.18	1.23	1.38	1.27	1.20		
Spring	0.95	1.80	1.52	1.91	1.27	1.49		
Pond	0.90	1.41	1.42	1.36	1.10	1.24		
Mean	0.93	1.46	1.39	1.55	1.21			
LSD (0.05) water so	urce for sawa	h		0.1716				
LSD $(_{0.05})$ Amendment 0.1416								
LSD (0.05) water source for sawah x Amendments 0.2530								
CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.								

306 3.3 Effects of different water sources and amendments on the soil total nitrogen and

307 exchangeable acidity

305

308 The effects of different water sources and amendments on soil total nitrogen were presented in Table 5. 309 The artificial application of water as supplemental irrigation was significantly (p < 0.05) different from the 310 rainfed in soil total nitrogen improvement (Table 5). The improvement could be as a result of aquatic algae activities in submerged soils that commit biological nitrogen fixation through increased 311 312 photosynthesis. The result (Table 5) indicated that the supplemental irrigated plots significantly (p < 0.05) 313 improved the soil total nitrogen higher than the rain-fed treated plots in the second and third year. The values varied from 0.082 - 0.095% in the second year and 0.89 - 0.104% in the third year. This implies 314 that soil total nitrogen increase progressively as the year of the study increases. However, spring water 315 source increased the soil total nitrogen higher than the pond and rain-fed significantly. These results 316 implied that rain-fed agriculture does not permit proper water management systems in the field with other 317 318 factors causing alternate wetting and drying of the field which do lead to loss of the element through de-319 nitrification process. 320 It has been reported that alternate wetting and drying could consequently lead to a slightly greater loss of

- broadcast fertilizer N and soil N by nitrification-denitrification, but this loss is expected to decrease with increasing age of the rice crop due to increased competition of rice with microorganisms for ammonium before it can be nitrified and for nitrate before it can be denitrified in uncontrolled flooded condition [42].
- 324 This affirms the submissions made by some researchers that In a similar study by Buresh [43], it was
- 325 reported that soil submergence also promotes biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) [43], and submerged
- 326 soils can promotes biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) and sustain an indigenous N supply for rice as 327 evidenced by long-term stable yields in minus-N plots in long term experiments. Buresh *et al.* [43] stated
- that uncontrolled water in lowland rice field results in alternate wetting and drying which leads to greater
- 329 sequential nitrogen-denitrification than with continuous submergence.

The results <u>(Table 5) equally</u> pointed highly significant differences on the soil total nitrogen with application of amendments in all the three years of the study. Generally, all the treated plots <u>were</u> significantly (p < 0.05) improved different from the control in soil total nitrogen improvement more than the control. It was obtained that the soil total nitrogen was improved better higher by the application of NPK fertilizer, followed by the poultry droppings in all the years of study. The soil total nitrogen values varied from 0.054 - 0.104, 0.057 - 0.105 and 0.062 - 0.114; in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of study, respectively. The better improvement made by NPK and poultry droppings on the soil total nitrogen higher the rice husk and rice husk ash is attributed to earlier mineralization that do occur in mineral fertilizers as against delayed or slow mineralization process that are obtained in organic amendments. This result confirms the submissions of Becker and Johnson, [44]; Sakurai, [45]; and Toure *et al.* [46] that *sawah* system development when used in combination with improved varieties and fertilizers can improve rice productivity in the lowlands to a great extent, when applied in combination with improved varieties and fertilizers, and a certain amount of improvement can even be expected by bund construction only (one of the sawah system components).

The result agrees with the findings of conforms to the submission of Kyuma and Wakatsuki, [47] and Greenland, [48] that the amount level of nitrogen fixed fixation in submerged soils by microbes varies from 20 to 100 kgha⁻¹year⁻¹, and sometimes reaches up to 200 kgha⁻¹year⁻¹, depending on soil and water management and as well as climatic conditions [46, 47]. These natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms are essential for enhancing the sustainability and sustainable approach for improved

productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in West Africa and Sub-Sahara Africa [49, 50].

351 It is important to note from the result (Table 6) that exchangeable acidity reduced significantly (p < 0.05) 352 by different water sources for sawah development within the study period. The result (Table 6) shows that 353 both spring and pond water sources drastically reduced the exchangeable acidity better than differently 354 from the rain-fed for the three years of study. These results can be linked to higher accumulation of 355 topsoil nutrients in the spring water source. It was recorded that even though exchangeable acidity (EA) was positively reduced within the periods, there were increasing trends in the EA as year progresses. The 356 values ranged from 1.76 - 2.14 cmol/kg in the 1st year, 2.24 - 3.07 cmol/kg in the 2nd year and 2.57 -357 3.53 cmol/kg in the 3rd year. This could be attributed to low clay and silt built in the top 0 – 20 cm as the 358 359 year progresses due to downward movement of these materials. 360 The results also revealed that amended plots there waswere significantly (p < 0.05) different from the control (non-amended plots) in decreasinge on- the soil exchangeable acidity (EA) during the study-due to 361

soil amendments. It was recorded that among the soil amendments, Rice husk ash (RHA) significantly (p < 0.05) lowered the EA more than other amendments including the control. This agrees with the findings of Errikson, [51] and Serafinelion, [52] who submitted that ashes generally have good acid-neutralizing capacity and ability to supply the soil with basic elements (Ca, K, Mg, Na) and available P; and this depends on the contents of oxides, hydroxides and carbonates of these elements. It was also obtained that there was no significant improvement due to the interactions of water sources and amendments in all the years of study.

369 Table 5: Effects of different water sources for sawah and amendments on soil total nitrogen (%) 370

Water source	Amend	ments				
for Sawah						
	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea	r 1					
Rainfed	0.047	0.089	0.093	0.105	0.085	0.084
Spring	0.059	0.117	0.098	0.079	0.084	0.088
Pond	0.056	0.105	0.093	0.080	0.085	0.084
Mean	0.054	0.104	0.095	0.088	0.084	
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sawa	h		NS		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent			0.02056		
LSD (0.05) water so	ource for sawa	h x Amendm	ents	NS		
	Year 2					
Rainfed	0.048	0.095	0.094	0.090	0.082	0.082
Spring	0.060	0.117	0.103	0.103	0.095	0.095
Pond	0.063	0.103	0.095	0.084	0.087	0.087
Mean	0.057	0.105	0.097	0.092	0.088	
LSD (0.05) water source for sawah				0.006124		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent			0.006221		
LSD $(_{0.05})$ water source for sawah x Amendments				NS		
	Year 3					

Rainfed	0.061	0.103	0.105	0.086	0.088	0.089
Spring	0.065	0.124	0.126	0.110	0.095	0.104
Pond	0.061	0.114	0.105	0.098	0.087	0.093
Mean	0.062	0.114	0.112	0.098	0.090	
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sav	wah	0.01	117		
LSD (0.05) Amend	LSD (0.05) Amendment			77		
I SD (oge) water source for sawah x Amendments NS						

371 CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

Table 6: Effects of <u>different water sources</u> for *sawah* and amendments on soil exchangeable acidity (EA) cmolkg⁻¹

Water source	Ame	ndments				
tor Sawan	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Ye	ar 1		10			mean
Rainfed	3.00	2.40	2.07	1.87	1.37	2.14
Spring	2.40	1.93	1.47	2.00	1.00	1.76
Pond	2.60	2.13	1.87	2.00	0.93	1.91
Mean	2.67	2.16	1.80	1.96	1.10	
LSD (0.05) water s	ource for sa	wah	0	.2317		
LSD (0.05) Amend	ment		0.	.2056		
LSD (0.05) water s	ource for sa	wah x Amendn	nents N	S		
	Year 2					
Rainfed	4.33	3.80	3.03	2.90	1.30	3.07
Spring	2.87	2.80	1.87	2.40	1.27	2.24
Pond	3.20	3.33	2.47	2.47	1.37	2.57
Mean	3.47	3.31	2.46	2.59	1.31	
LSD (0.05) water s	ource for sa	wah	0	.166		
LSD (_{0.05}) Amend	ment		0.	.686		
LSD (_{0.05}) water s	ource for sa	wah x Amendn	nents N	S		
	Year 3					
Rainfed	5.27	4.33	3.40	3.33	1.33	3.53
Spring	3.13	3.33	2.20	2.87	1.33	2.57
Pond	3.43	4.73	2.80	2.87	1.67	3.10
Mean	3.94	4.13	2.80	3.02	1.44	
LSD (0.05) water s	ource for sa	wah	0	.318		
LSD (0.05) Amend	ment		1	.020		
LSD (0.05) water s	ource for sa	wah x Amendn	nents l	NS		
CT = control, NPK =	nitrogen. pho	sphorous. potass	ium, PD = pou	Itry dropping, R	RH = rice husk, R	HA = rice husk

375 3.4 Effects of different water sources and amendments on the soil available phosphorous and 376 cation exchanage capacity (CEC)

377

374

378 The results (Table 7) showed that different water sources creditably increased positively (p < 0.05) the available phosphorous for the three years of study more-higher than its initial values in the soils. It was 379 equally obtained observed that among the three water sources, spring water source improved the soil 380 available phosphorous statistically significantly (p < 0.05) higher the soil available phosphorous than other 381 water sources in the first and third year of study, while pond water source improved the available 382 phosphorous significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the second year. These results (Table 7) showed that those 383 plots treated with supplemental irrigation significantly (p < 0.05) increased the available phosphorous 384 385 better higher than the rain-fed field in all the years. <u>The increased available phosphorous obtained in plots</u> treated with supplemental irrigation over rainfed treated plots could be attributed to increased pH and 386 reduction in ferric iron in water controlled plots as a result of neutralization of acid soils of the area, 387 388 thereby liberating available phosphorous from the fixed exchange sites. As a general principle, as soil 389 drying becomes more prolonged and severe under rainfed condition, the availability of soil available

390 phosphorous to rice tends to decrease and the availability of zinc in acid soils tends to increase [53]. 391 Wakatsuki et al. [54]; Hirose and Wakatsuki, [23]; Wakatsuki et al. [55]; affirmed that under flood 392 conditions, phosphorous availability is increased through the reduction of ferric iron. Both acid and 393 alkaline soils are neutralized or mitigated by appropriate control of flooding. Hence, micronutrient 394 availability is also increased. These mechanisms encourage not only the growth of rice plants, but also 395 the growth of various aquatic algae and other aerobic and anaerobic microbes, which increase nitrogen 396 fixation through increased photosynthesis, and control oxidation and reduction potential in sawah systems 397 as multifunctional wetlands.

398 It was also obtained (Table 7) that the applications of amendments significantly (p < 0.05) highly affected increased the availability of phosphorous differently in the studied soil within the periods. It was noted 399 400 generally that all the treated plots significantly (p < 0.05) increased the available phosphorous higher in the studied soil more than the control plots. This result is in line with the submission that achieving high 401 vield in most West African ecology is difficult without soil amendment, as the soils are highly leached, 402 porous and low in essential plant nutrient [56, 57]. The results (Table 7) also revealed that in all the years, 403 404 organic nutrient sources did significantly (p < 0.05) improved increased the available phosphorous better 405 higher than inorganic nutrient source (NPK) indicating the superiority of organic manure over inorganic in 406 soil and crop improvement. It was observed that among the organically amended plots, rice husk ash 407 treated plots increased the available phosphorous significantly higher than others. This was followed by 408 poultry droppings amended plots within the period of study. This could be linked to the increased soil pH 409 recorded in those RHA amended plots during the study which have helped to liberate soil available 410 phosphorous in its fixed exchange site due to acidic condition. In their assessment of rice production 411 technologies in Nigeria, The result agrees with the findings of Imolehin and Wada [14] who advocated a reversion to the use of organic materials in wetland rice cultivation as a more realistic option for rice 412 farmers than continued reliance on inorganic fertilizers, which in addition to their deleterious effects on the 413 414 soil are not readily available. Lee et al. [15] reported from a long-term paddy study in southeast Korea that continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties in the 415 416 plough layer, relative to inorganic fertilizer application.

417 The results (Table 8) indicated that there was <u>CEC was improved differently within a short-term</u> 418 improvement on the CEC by use of different water sources for sawah development. This means that CEC of the soil gradually responds to different water sources for sawah development. The result (Table 8) 419 420 revealed that the spring water irrigated soils in the study significantly (p < 0.05) increased the cation 421 exchange capacity higher than the pond irrigated plots, while the rainfed fields gave the least CEC values 422 throughout the period of study. The results showed the range values as; 6.05 - 8.15 cmol(+) Kg¹, 7.72 -11.37 cmol(+) Kg⁻¹, and 8.63 - 13.77 cmol(+) Kg⁻¹, in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of the study. The results 423 424 implied that there was a progressive increase in the cation exchange capacity as the year of study 425 progresses. The significant improvement on the CEC by spring sawah system attributed to edge-426 advantage it has for collecting eroded sediments from adjacent uplands through enhanced capacity of 427 water harvesting. The essence of the sawah system is water control, not only on a field scale but also on 428 a watershed scale [58]. 429 Studies have shown that sawah system is These natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms that are 430 essential for sustainable improvement in enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in WA and SSA [49, 50]. Moreover, there are generally few 431 432 concerns about soil erosion in the lowlands. 433 The results (Table 8) also indicated showed that amendments a significantly (p < 0.05) improvement on the soil CEC due to amendments within the period of study. It was observed that Generally, all the treated 434 plots significantly improved the CEC higher relative to the control. Poultry dropping amendment generally 435 improved the soil CEC higher than other amendments in the 1st year, rice husk ash and rice husk dust 436 improved the CEC higher in the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} year of study, respectively. The values varied from 4.47 – 7.69 cmolkg⁻¹, 4.40 – 11.38 cmolkg⁻¹ and 5.96 – 14.91 cmolkg⁻¹, in the first, second and third year, 437 438

440 Table 7: Effects of different water source for sawah and amendments on soil available

441 phosphorous (mgkg⁻¹)

respectively.

439

Water source Amendments for Sawah

	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean	
Y	ear 1						
Rained	3.95	4.68	4.04	4.93	7.83	5.09	
Spring	3.39	5.88	6.06	7.91	9.48	6.54	
Pond	2.88	6.19	6.65	6.17	7.24	5.83	
Mean	3.40	5.58	6.33	6.33	8.19		
LSD (0.05) water	source for sa	wah	1.(076			
LSD (0.05) Amen	dment		1	.552			
LSD (0.05) water	source for sa	wah x Amendm	nents N	IS			
	Year 2						
Rained	3.78	4.97	7.57	6.23	7.97	6.10	
Spring	4.42	10.56	8.48	10.58	15.26	8.02	
Pond	3.56	8.51	8.30	9.54	10.01	9.83	
Mean	3.92	8.01	8.12	8.79	11.08		
LSD (0.05) water	source for sa	wah	2	2.090			
LSD (0.05) Amen	dment		2	2.155			
LSD (0.05) water	source for sa	wah x Amendm	nents N	IS			
	Year 3						
Rained	3.78	6.03	8.49	6.53	8.73	6.71	
Spring	5.14	11.26	10.10	10.89	18.86	11.25	
Pond	3.88	9.58	10.30	10.83	10.47	9.02	
Mean	4.27	8.96	9.63	9.42	12.69		
LSD (_{0.05}) water source for sawah 1.472							
LSD (_{0.05}) Amendment 2.278							
LSD (0.05) water source for sawah x Amendments 3.671							
CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.							

	LSD (0.05) water source for sawan x Amendments 3.671
442	CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.
443	
444	
445	Table 8: Effects of different water source for sawah and amendments on soil cation exchange
446	capacity CEC (cmolkg ⁻¹)
4 4 7	

Water source	Ame	ndments				
for Sawah						
	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Ye	ear 1					
Rained	4.13	5.60	6.93	6.67	6.93	6.05
Spring	5.20	8.60	9.87	8.67	8.40	8.15
Pond	4.07	6.67	6.27	6.93	6.67	6.12
Mean	4.47	6.96	7.69	7.42	7.33	
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sa	wah	1.453	3		
LSD (0.05) Amend	lment		1.080			
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sa	wah x Amendm	nents NS			
	Year 2					
Rained	4.13	8.20	8.87	9.00	8.40	7.72
Spring	5.20	10.60	13.20	13.80	14.07	11.37
Pond	3.87	9.27	10.00	9.87	11.67	8.93
Mean	4.40	9.36	10.69	10.89	11.38	
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sa	wah	2.47	74		
LSD (0.05) Amend	lment		1.94	1		
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sa	wah x Amendm	nents NS			
	Year 3					
Rainfed	3.93	10.07	9.93	10.40	8.80	8.63
Spring	6.93	13.30	18.13	17.40	13.07	13.77
Pond	7.00	13.27	16.13	16.93	11.40	12.95
Mean	5.96	12.21	14.73	14.91	11.09	
LSD (0.05) water s	source for sa	wah	1.1	86		

LSD (0.05) Amendment	0.995
LSD (0.05) water source for sawah x Amendments	1.769

448 CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

449 3.5 Effects of different water sources and amendments on the rice grain yield (t/ha)

450 The effects of water sources for sawah development and different amendments on the rice grain yield 451 were presented on table 9. The results (Table 9) revealed that there was observed to have significantly 452 (P<0.05) improvement d on the rice grain yield for the three years of study in the study area. The results 453 (Figures 4.5 - 8.9) showed that among the three water sources, spring water source for supplemental 454 irrigation, highly significantly increased the rice grain yield significantly (p < 0.05) higher than other water 455 sources within the period of study (Figures 4 and 6). This was followed by the pond source of water, while the rain-fed type recorded the least yield performance of rice grain yield. The increased rice grain yields 456 457 recorded in the spring and pond treated fields in the study as against the low yield obtained in the rainfed 458 treated fields could be attributed to increased water availability in those field throughout the growing 459 period of the plant which are the desired growing environment for rice plant (a water-loving plant). The 460 results implied that the low productivity obtained in rain-fed fields could be attributed to management 461 aspects of the fields rather than low physical potentials. This result is in line with a submission that crop 462 yields from rain-fed agriculture are often-usually low, generally around 1 t ha-1 compared to irrigated 463 agriculture in semiarid tropical agro-ecosystems [7], and this fact explains why rain-fed agriculture is 464 estimated to contribute only some 60% of the world crop production [4]. IRRI [59] reported that rice 465 production in the rain-fed lowland environment being dependent on rain-fed conditions, is very 466 susceptible to climatic variability which results in low yields. 467 Kadigi et al. [6] argues that land for rain-fed agriculture varies depending on the amount and distribution 468 of rainfall in the area. Gowing et al. [12]; Barron et al. [60]; Mupangwa et al. [61]; Makurira et al. [62] maintained that inadequate soil moisture and low soil fertility have been top challenges facing rain-fed 469 470 agriculture. 471 However, the higher yield recorded in rain-fed plots above the standard 2 t/ha yield for traditional rice 472 production in the studied area could be attributed to high management practices such as improved water control and soil amendments adopted in this study. Agarwal and Narain, [8]; Benites ot al. [9]; Rockström 473 and Falkenmark. [10]; SIWI, [11] argued that there is ample evidence to suggest that the low productivity 474 in rain-fed agriculture is due more to suboptimal performance related to management aspects rather than 475 476 to low physical potential. 477 The above result also agrees with the findings of Buri et al. [63] who maintained that lowlands constitute 478 one of the largest and appropriate environments suitable for rice cultivation. They further stated that, 479 within these environments, crop is traditionally grown without any structures to control water, minimal use 480 of fertilizers and most often than not local varieties are used. Paddy yields are therefore normally low 481 under the traditional system and vary sharply due to yearly variation in total rainfall and its distribution. 482 They further reported that rice yield in the sawah system is usually about 2-3 t ha⁻¹ without any fertilizer application, and this yield is continuously attainable at least for several decades without any fallow period. 483 The results (Figure 57) also revealed the long short-term superiority of organic amendments over mineral 484 (inorganic) fertilizer in a lowland rice production. It was obtained that among the amendments; rice grain 485 486 yield was increased significantly (p < 0.05) higher in poultry dropping (PD) treated plots than NPK fertilizer 487 amended plots gave the highest significant increase in the rice grain yield in all the years studied (Figure 5). This result is in line with the findings of Imolehin and Wada [14] who suggested that it is better to 488 489 revert to the use of organic materials in wetland rice cultivation as a more realistic option for farmers than 490 continued reliance on inorganic fertilizers, which not only affect the soil negatively, but cannot be readily available. It was also recorded that rice husk (RH) followed the PD in improving the grain yield of rice on 491 492 the third year of the study. The results generally indicated that all the amended plots increased the rice 493 grain yield significantly higher than the control. This is in line with the submissions of Imolehin and Wada 494 [14] who advocated a reversion to the use of organic materials in wetland rice cultivation as a more 495 realistic option for farmers than continued reliance on inorganic fertilizers, which in addition to their 496 deleterious effects on the soil are not readily available. Lee ot al. [15] reported from a long-term paddy 497 study in southeast. Korea that continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil 498 physical properties in the plough layer, relative to inorganic fertilizer application. 499



Rainfed Spring Pond



■ Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 6.87 6.96 6.89



509 510 511





sawah adopted rice field



Figure 89: Yield from Rain-fed sawah adopted rice field

Figure 56: Effect of soil amendments on the rice grain yield (ton/ha)

512 **4.0 CONCLUSION**

513 The study revealed the superiority successful improvement of spring water source on both soil chemical 514 properties and rice grain yield over other water sources in improving both the soil chemical properties and rice grain yield, as it aids in full realization of the within the study period, through its mechanisms of 515 516 regular geological fertilization process that do occur in inland valley sawah system. The study showed 517 that supplemental irrigation gave higher significant improvement than the rain-fed water source on the soil 518 chemical properties studied and rice grain yield on a short-term basis. It was also noted the superiority of 519 e Organic amendments have been observed to have superior improvement on some chemical properties 520 of the studied soil over mineral fertilizer in the selected soil chemical properties and rice grain yield improvementon a short-term basis. It was equally obtained that t The combination integration of 521 supplemental irrigation for sawah management system and amendment practices could be advocated for 522 sustainable improvement d of the soil properties and rice grain yield in degraded inland valleys of 523 Southeastern Nigeria. Therefore, sawah eco-technology is possibly the most promising rice production 524 525 method-strategy and for sustainable restoration of degraded inland valley soils in the Southeastern 526 Nigeria. The natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms are essential for enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in Southeastern Nigeria. 527

528 REFERENCES

534

535

536

537

538

539

540 541

542

543 544

545

546

547

549

555

- 529 1. Adesina, A. Keynote address on: Achieving a doubly green agricultural transformation in Nigeria, delivered by Dr. Akinwunmi Adesina, Hon. Minister of Agric. and Rural Dev., on the occasion of 530 36th annual conference of the Soil Science Society of Nigeria, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, 531 Enugu State, 12th March 2012. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Conference of the Soil Science 532 Society of Nigeria (SSSN) 12th – 16th March 2012. University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Pp xvii – xiv. 533
 - 2. FAOSTAT. Database. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome. Accessed November 2005. [http://faostat.fao.org/] 2005.
 - 3. Bhattacharya A. Sustainable Livelihood Based Watershed Management Watershed Plus Approach, 2nd Working Group meeting of ERIA, Japan IGES; 2008.
 - 4. FAO. Nepal country profile. http://www.fao.org/countryprofiles. 2002.
 - 5. Wani SP, Sreedevi TK, Rockstrom J, Ramakrishna YS. "Rainfed Agriculture Past Trends and Future Prospects", In: Wani SP, Rockstrom J and Oweis T (eds) (2009). Rainfed Agriculture: unlocking the potential, Oxfordshire: CABI International, 2009.
 - 6. Kadigi RMJ, Kashaigili JJ, Mdoe NS. The economics of irrigated paddy in Usangu Basin in Tanzania: Water utilization, productivity, income and livelihood implications. Phys. Chem. Earth, 29/15-18: 2004; 1091-1100.
 - 7. Rockstrom, J. Green water security for the food makers of tomorrow: Windows of opportunity in drought-prone savannahs. Water Science and Technology 43 (4): 2001. 71-78.
- 8. Agarwal, A. and Narain, S. Dying Wisdom. Rise, Fall and Potential of India's Traditional Water 548 Harvesting System. Centre for Science and Environment, Faridabad. India: Thomson Press Ltd, 1997.
- 550 9. Benites, J., Chuma, E., Fowle, R., Kienzle, J., Molapong, K., Manu, J., Nyagumbo, I., Steiner, K. and van Veenhuizen, R. (eds). Conservation Tillage for Sustainable Agriculture. Proceedings 551 from an International Workshop, Harare, 22-27 June. Part 1. Workshop Report. Deutsche 552 Gesellschaft, GTZ, Eschborn, Germany, 1998. 59pp. 553 554
 - 10. Rockström, J. and Falkenmark, M. Semiarid Crop Production from a Hydrological Perspective: Gap Between Potential and Actual Yields. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, Vol. 19(4), 2000. pp. 319-346.
- 557 11. SIWI. Water Harvesting for Upgrading of Rain-fed Agriculture. Problem Analysis and Research 558 Needs. SIWI Report 11, Stockholm International Water Institute (SIWI), Stockholm, Sweden, 559 2001. 97 pp.
- 560 12. Gowing JW, Young MDB, Hatibu N, Mahoo HF, Rwehumbiza F, Mzirai, OB. Developing Improved Dryland Cropping Systems For Maize In Semi-Arid Tanzania. Part II. Use of a Model to 561 Extrapolate and Add Value to Experimental Results, Exp. Agric., 9(3): 2003. 293-306. 562
- 13. Abu Awwad, M and A. Kharabshed. Influence of supplemental irrigation and soil surface furrow 563 on barley yield in arid areas affected by surface crust. J. Arid Environ. 46: 2000. 227 - 237. 564
- 565 14. Imolehin ED, Wada AC. Meeting the rice production and consumption needs of Nigeria with 566 improved technologies. Int Rice Commiss Newsl FAO, Rome 49: 2000. 33-41.

567 15. Lee SB, Lee CB, Jung KY, Park KD, Lee D, Kim PJ. Changes of soil organic carbon and its fractions in relation to soil physical properties in a long-term fertilized paddy. Soil Till Res. 104: 568 569 2009. 227-232.

570

571

572

576 577

578

579

580

581

582 583

584

585

586 587

588 589

590

591

592

593

594 595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602 603

604

605 606

607

608

609

610

611

614

615

616

- 16. Moormann, F.R. Problem in characterizing and classifying wetland soils. In wetland soils. Characterization, classification, utilization. Proceeding of a workshop 26 mar. to 5 April 1984, 1985. 53-68, IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.
- 17. Wakatsuki, T; Koski, T. and Palada, M. Ecological engineering for sustainable rice farming in 573 574 inland valley (Ivs) in West Africa. Paper presented at the second WAFSRN symposium. Accra, 575 Ghana, 1989.
 - 18. Windmeijer, P. N. and Andriesse, W. Inland valleys in West Africa: An Agro-ecological characteristics of rice- growing environment, 1993. pp28-37, ILRI. Wageningen, The Netherlands.
 - 19. Otoo, E. and Asubonteng, K.O. Reconnaissance characterization of inland valleys in Southern Ghana. In characterization of inland valley Agron-ecosystems. A tool for their sustainable use. Proceeding of a workshop, 6 to 10 Nov. 1995, p 149-160. 1995. WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast.
 - 20. Mbagwu, J. S. C. "The Agricultural Soils of Nigeria: Properties and Agronomic Significance for Increased Productivity," Beitrage für Tropical Landwirtschaften und Veterinari Medizin, Vol. 27, 1989. pp. 395-409.
 - 21. Nnabude, P.C. and J.S.C. Mbagwu, Soil water relations of a Nigerian typic haplustult amended with fresh and burnt rice mill wastes. Soil and Tillage Res., 50: 1999. 207-214.
 - 22. Mbagwu, J. S .C. "Improving the Productivity of a De-graded Ultisol in Nigeria Using Organic and Inorganic Amendments. Part 2: Changes in Physical Properties," Bioresource Technology, Vol. 42, No. 3, 1992. pp. 167- 175.
 - 23. Hirose, S and Wakatsuki, T. Restoration of inland valley ecosystems in West Africa. Pp56-86, 222-2224. 2002. Association of agriculture and forestry statistics. Megro-Sumiya building, Tokyo, Japan.
 - 24. Igwe, C.A. "Erodibility of Soils of the Upper Rainforest Zone, Southeastern Nigeria," Land degradation & De-velopment, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2003, pp. 323-334.
 - 25. Hayashi, K and T. Wakatsuki. Sustainable soil fertility management by indigenous and scientific knowledge in Sahel zone of Niger, in the CD- ROM Transactions of the 17th World congress of soil science, symposium No. 15. perceptions of soil management: Matching indigenous and scientific knowledge systems, paper No. 1251, 2002.
 - 26. Wakatsuki, T. and Masunaga, T. Ecological engineering for sustainable food production and the restoration of degraded watersheds in Tropics of low pH soils: Focus on West Africa. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri; 51: 2005, 629-636.
 - 27. USDA. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. Natural Resources Conservation Services, United StatesDepartment of Agriculture, Washington, D.C, 1998.
 - 28. FAO. Soil Map of the World: 1:5 million (Revised Legend). World Soil Resources Report, 60. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), Rome, 1988.
 - 29. Gee G.W., Bauder J.W. Particle size analysis. In: Klute A. (ed.): Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1: Physincal and Mineralogical Properties. Monograph No. 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1986. 91–100.
 - 30. McLean, E.O. Soil pH and Lime requirement. In: A.L. Page et.al. (eds.), Methods of soil analyses (No. 9, part 2), 1982.199-224. Amer. Soc. of Agron.; Soil Sci. Soc. Am; Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- 31. Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In: A.L. Page 612 et.al. (eds.). Methods of soil analyses (No.9, part 2), 552-553). 1982. Amer. Soc. of Agron. In: Soil Sci. Soc. Amer., Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 613
 - 32. Bremner, J.M and Mulvancy, C.S. Total Nitrogen. In: A.L. Page et al (eds.).Methods of Soil Analyses. No. 9; part 2, Amer. Soc. of Agron. Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1982. Pp 595-624.
 - 33. Bray, R.H and L.T. Kurtz. Determination of total organic carbon and available forms of phosphorous in soils. Soil Sci. J. 59: 1945. 39-43.
- 34. Rhoades, J.D. Cation exchange capacity. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.). 618 Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, 1982. pp: 149-157. 619
- 35. Takase, M; L.K. Sam-Amoah and J.D. Owusu-Sekyere. The effects of four sources of irrigation 620 water on soil chemical and physical properties. Asian Journal of Plant Science 10(1): 92 - 96, 621

2011. ISSN 1682 – 3974/ DOI:10.3923/ajps.2011.92.96. © 2011 Asian Network for Scientific
Information.
Abyhammer, T: A. Fablin: A. Nelson and V. Henfrindison. Askater Foringssystem Deiproject I:

625

626

627

628

637 638

642

643

644

645

646

647 648

649

650

651

652

653 654

655

656

657

658

659 660

661

662

- 36. Abyhammer, T; A. Fablin; A. Nelson and V. Henfrindison. Askater Foringssystem Deiproject I: Tekniker Ochmojiligheter. (Production of wood ash, techniques and possibilities), 1994. pp: 341. In Swedish with English Summary).
- Markikainen, P.N. Nitrification in two coniferous forest soils after different fertilizer treatments. Soil Biol. Biochem., 16: 2002, 577 – 882.
- 38. Nwite, J.C; S.E. Obalum; C.A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Properties and potential of selected ash
 sources for improving soil condition and rice yields in a degraded Inland Valley in Southeastern
 Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 7(3): 304 310, 2011. ISSN 1817 3047.
- 632 39. Opara-Nadi, O.A; B.S. Ezua; A. Wogu. Organic manures and inorganic fertilizers addeded to an
 633 acid ultisol in Southeastern Nigeria: II. Effects on soil chemical properties and nutrient loss, In:
 634 proceedings of the 15th Annual Conf. SSSN, Kaduna, Nigeria. 1987.
- 40. Follet RF. Soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 74: 2010.
 345–346.
 - 41. Bhagat, R.M. and Verma, T.S. Impact of rice straw management on soil physical properties and wheat yield. Soil Sci. 152: 1991.108-115.
- 42. Buresh RJ, Castillo EG, De Datta SK. Nitrogen losses in puddled soils as affected by timing of water deficit and nitrogen fertilization. Plant and Soil: 157, 1993, 197-206.
 43. Buresh RJ, Reddy KR, van Kessel C. Nitrogen transformations in submerged soils. In 'Nitrogen
 - 43. Buresh RJ, Reddy KR, van Kessel C. Nitrogen transformations in submerged soils. In 'Nitrogen in agricultural systems'. (Eds JS Schepers, WR Raun), 2008. pp. 401- 436. Agronomy Monograph 49. (ASA, CSSA, and SSSA: Madison, WI, USA).
 - 44. Becker, M., and Johnson, D. E. 'Improved water control and crop management effects on lowland rice productivity in West Africa', Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems, Vol 59, 2001. pp 119–127.
 - 45. Sakurai, T. 'Intensification of rainfed wetland rice production in West Africa: present status and potential green revolution', Developing Economies, Vol 44, 2006. pp 232–251.
 - 46. Touré, A., Becker, M., Johnson, D. E., Koné, B., Kossou, D. K., and Kiepe, P. 'Response of lowland rice to agronomic management under different hydrological regimes in an inland valley of Ivory Coast', Field Crops Research, Vol 114, 2009. pp 304–310.
 - 47. Kyuma, K and Wakatsuki, T. Ecological economy sustainability of paddy rice systems in Asia. In: Juo, A.S.R. and Russell, D.F. (eds.); Agriculture and Environment. Bridging Food production in developing countries. ASA special publication No. 60, 1995. p 139-159, ASA, CSSA, SSA, Wisconsin.
 - 48. Greenland, D. J. Sustainability of Rice Farming, CABI, Wallingford, and IRRI, Los Banõs, The Philippines, 1997.
 - 49. Eswaran, H., Almaraz, R., Van den Berg, E., and Reich, P. 'An assessment of the soil resources of Africa in relation to productivity', Geoderma, Vol 77, 1997. pp 1–18.
 - 50. Abe, S. S., Buri, M. M., Issaka, R. N., Kiepe, P., and Wakatsuki, T. 'Soil fertility potential for rice production in West African Iowlands', Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly, Vol 44, 2010 pp 343–355.
 - 51. Errikson, H. Short term effects of granulated wood ash on forest soil chemistry in Southwest and Northwest Sweden. Scandinvian J. Forest. Res. Supplement, 2: 1998. 43 55.
- 52. Serafinelion, A. Wood ash: An alternative liming material for agricultureal soils, Soil Bullettin, 35:
 2002. 80 95.
- 53. Dobermann A, Fairhurst T. 'Rice: Nutrient Disorders and Nutrient Management'. (Potash and Phosphate Institute and Potash and Phosphate Institute of Canada: Singapore and International Rice Research Institute: Los Baños, Philippines), 2000.
- 54. Wakatsuki, T., Shinmura, Y., Otoo, E., and Olaniyan, D. O. 'System for integrated watershed
 management of small inland valleys in West Africa', in: Institutional and Technical Options in the
 Development and Management of Small Scale Irrigation, Water Report No 17, FAO, Rome, 1998.
 pp 45–60.
- 55. Wakatsuki T, Buri MM and Oladele O.I. West African green revolution by eco-technology and the
 creation of African SATOYAMA systems. Kyoto Working Papers on Area Studies No. 63 (G-COE
 Series 61). Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto, Japan. 2009. 30 p. ISBN 978 4 901668 63
 7.http://www.humanosphere.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/article.php/workingpaper61.

- 56. Enwezor, W.O., A.C. Ohiri, E.E. Opuwaribo and E.J. Udo. A review of soil fertilizer use of crops in
 Southeastern zone of Nigeria. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution Department, Lagos,
 Nigeria, 1988..
- 57. Igwe, C.A; Akamigbo F.O.R and Mbagwu J.S.C. Physical properties of soils of Southeastern
 Nigeria and the role of some aggregating agents in their stability, Soil Sci. 160: 1995. 431 441.
- 58. Abe, S.S and Wakatsuki T. Ecotechnology a tiger for a rice green revolution in Sub-Saharan
 Africa: Basic concept and policy implications. Outlook on agriculture Vol. 40, No. 3, 2011, pp 221
 684 227. Doi: 10.5367/oa.2011.0049.
 - 59. IRRI (International Rice Research Institute). Physical measurements in rice soils: the Japanese methodologies. Los Baños (Philippines): IRRI, 1987.
- 687
 60. Barron, J., Rockstrom, J., Hatibu, N. and Gichuki, F. Dry spell occurrence and maize yields for two locations in semi-arid East Africa. Agricultural Forest and Meteorology (in press);117 (1–2): 2003. 23–37.
 - 61. Mupangwa W, Love D, Twomlow S. Soil–water conservation and rainwater harvesting strategies in the semi-arid Mzingwane Catchment, Limpopo Basin, Zimbabwe, Phys. Chem. Earth, 31 15-16): 2006. 893-900.
- 62. Makurira H, Mul ML, Vyagusa NF, Uhlenbrook S, Savenije HHG. Evaluation of community-driven
 smallholder irrigation in dryland South Pare Mountains, Tanzania: A case study of Manoo micro
 dam, Phys. Chem. Earth, 32(15-18): 2007.1090-1097.
- 63. Buri M.M; Issaka, R.N, Wakatsuki, T, and Kawano N. Improving the productivity of lowland soils
 for rice cultivation in Ghana: the role of the 'sawah' system. Journal of Soil Science &
 Environment management Vol. 3(3), 2012. pp. 56 62.
- 699 700

685

686

690 691

692