

Original Research Article**FARMERS' RESPONSE TO ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER
UTILIZATION ON MAIZE CROPPING SYSTEMS IN KISII COUNTY, KENYA**

Abstract

Soil fertility problem has been identified as a major factor hindering maize productivity globally; the majority of these soils are deficient in Nitrogen, Phosphorous and organic matter. Low maize productivity in Kenya is attributed to decline in soil fertility. Improving soil fertility is necessary to achieve sustainable maize productivity among small scale farmers. However, farmers' response toward the application of fertilizers is poor. This study sought to determine how farmers respond towards the usage of organic and inorganic fertilizer in maize cropping systems among the maize producers. The target populations for this study were maize farmers. A simple random sampling was used to obtain a sample size of 246 respondents from accessible household population of 10,800 maize farmers. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data, which was then subjected to Microsoft Excel and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (Version 20) for analysis. The findings indicated that, the mean age of the farming population was 42 years with an average farming experience of 18 years. Majority of the farmers had secondary education as their highest level of education. The findings revealed that 90.2% of the farmers use Di-ammonium Phosphate (DAP) fertilizer; the findings further indicated that there was prolonged single use of DAP fertilizer in the same piece of land for a period of 6-10 years continuously. 84.1% of farmers applied inorganic fertilizer while, 58.9% use manure or manure and inorganic fertilizer. In terms of soil analysis and replenishment, the findings revealed that there was poor response towards soil testing and lime application. A further 94.7% of the farmers indicated they had never carried out soil testing in their farm while 92.3% of the farmers had not applied lime to normalize soil pH. Farmers in Kisii county need seek for soil testing services as a guide to determine which fertilizer to apply and use lime if need be as well as use organic manure to improve soil properties and also make use of zero tillage method of cultivation to allow soil nutrient build up. This will lead to an increase in production to optimal level.

Key words: *Maize productivity; Soil fertility; Organic manure; Inorganic fertilizer; Soil pH*

Background of the Study

Soil fertility problem has been identified as a major factor hindering maize productivity globally and the majority of these soils are deficient in nitrogen, phosphorus and organic matter. Nitrogen is an integral component for many elements and enzymes necessary for plant to carry out its physiological processes (Ali *et al.*, 2011), Nitrogen therefore plays a significant role in improving soil fertility and increasing crop productivity (Habtegebrial *et al.* 2007). According to


Ogola *et al.* (2002), Nitrogen application to the soil contributes to increased grain yield up to between 43% to 68% and biomass of 25% to 42% in maize cropping systems. There is also a positive interaction between the organic manures and urea as nitrogen source (Yang *et al.* 2007). Synergistic effects of N with organic fertilizers (Animal residue or FYM) accumulate more soil total N (Huang *et al.* 2007 & Zada *et al.* 2000), but sole effects of FYM result in increased yield of maize (Anatoliy & Thelen, 2007), studies have shown that, 44% of organic matter in the soils improves soil porosity to about 25% and water holding capacity 16 times (Gangwar *et al.* 2006). Agricultural scientists are engaged to establish agricultural systems with lower production cost and conserving the natural resources. Therefore, recent interest in the manuring has re-emerged because of escalated prices of fertilizer and importance of farm yard manure, green manure and poultry manure in maintaining long term soil productivity besides meeting timely nutrient requirements (Ali *et al.* 2011).

The problem of soil fertility decline is widespread in Sub-Saharan Africa, largely as a consequence of continued cultivation of crops with low levels of nutrient inputs (Zingore *et al.*, 2011). To counter growing maize shortage, there are renewed efforts to support the predominantly subsistence farmers to intensify crop production mainly by increasing the utilization of fertilizers and improved crop varieties. Soil fertility varies considerably at the farm and landscape levels in many small scale maize farming systems in Africa, leading to variable crop productivity and crop response to additions of inorganic and organic nutrient (Zingore *et al.*, 2007).

Generally low maize productivity among the small scale maize farmers in Kenya is attributed to decline in soil fertility (Mugwe *et al.*, 2008). Improving soil fertility is important to achieve sustainable maize productivity; however farmers' response toward the utilization of organic and inorganic fertilizers is apparently poor. According to Okalebo *et al.*, (2006), the application of inorganic fertilizer and manure are some of the scientific recommendations that might improve soil fertility. But, some farmers lack technical know-how as to which kinds and rates of fertilizers are suitable (Hopkins *et al.*, 2008).

The application of inorganic fertilizer is one of the quickest and easiest ways of increasing maize productivity per unit area. However, the problem with inorganic fertilizer nutrient supplementation is that it leads to pollution of ground water and does not improve soil structure (Khaliq *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, required chemical fertilizers are not readily available to farmers

at the right time and the prices are very high (Khan *et al.*, 2005). Many crop species respond well to the application of organic manure unlike the chemical fertilizers these crops can sustain yield under continuous cropping on various textured soils (Maynard, 1991).



Crop husbandry measures necessary to achieve the maximum possible maize productivity without compromising the land's productive sustainability should be adhered to. Conversely, misuse of fertilizer by most farmers has caused high soil acidity as studies have shown that, prolonged single use of Di-ammonium Phosphate (DAP) fertilizer for instance, is partly responsible for the high acidity in the soil, this phenomenon is highly practiced in kisii county 

The study was therefore conducted to determine farmers' response to application of organic and inorganic fertilizers in maize productivity.


METHODOLOGY

The study Area

Kisii County was chosen as the study area because of its potential to agricultural activity. It lies between a latitude of 0 30'and 1 0'South and longitude 34 38'and 35 0'East. The County covers an area of 1,317 km² with a total population of 1,152,282 and 245,029 households. The county exhibits a highland equatorial climate resulting into a bimodal rainfall pattern with average annual rainfall of 1500mm with the long rains between March and June while the short rains are received from September to November. The months of July and January are relatively dry with maximum temperatures ranging between 21°C – 30°C and minimum temperatures ranging between 15°C – 20°C. The high and reliable rainfall coupled with moderate temperatures is suitable for growing maize and other crops like beans, bananas, tea, coffee and pyrethrum as well

 dry farming 

Sampling and Data Collection Procedures:

The target populations for the study were maize farmers. Simple random sampling was used to select the sample for the study from the accessible household population of 10,800 maize farmers. Fisher formula was used to derive a representative sample size of 246 respondents (Mugenda & Mugenda, 1999). A questionnaire with open and closed ended question was administered to the farmers at the farm level to collect data  Analysis was done with aid of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 20 and Microsoft excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Distribution of Farmers according to their Age bracket and level of Education

Majority of the farming population in Kisii County are of age bracket of (36-55 years) which translated to 48.0 % followed by youth of age between 18 years to 35 years translated to 30.0 % (Table 1). The age of the respondents was used as a proxy for farming experience; the finding indicated that, the average age of farming population was 42 years. The findings are in agreement with Mironga J.N. (2005), (though slightly lower) that, the average age of Kisii farmers is 40.5 years. The age was therefore included to evaluate the effect of age on maize production and soil fertility management. It is believed that, younger farmers can be more aggressive carrying out their farming operations as opposed to older age, it is possible that older farmers may be traditional and conservative to change thus show less willingness to adopt new farming technology aimed at increasing maize yield and soil fertility improvement.

The respondents were of diverse levels of education ranging from illiterate to educated farmers. The findings indicated that Illiterate group was 4.9%, farmers with primary education being 25.6%, secondary level were 32.1%, middle level college were 22.0% and those with University education were 15.4 %. Result indicated that majority of the farmers in kisii county had secondary education as their highest level of education. The level of education plays a major role in farmers' decision making especially in the improvement of soil fertility through proper utilization of organic and inorganic fertilizers and also the adoption of improved maize production technologies. The number of years a person spent in formal education is one of the most important determinants to increased farmers knowledge. Educated farmers usually have a better opportunity to access information on new technologies and are generally able to assimilate, to process and to use this information to manage their soils for better production (Table 2).

Table 1: Age of the respondents

| Age bracket of the respondents | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 18-35 | 74 | 30.0 |
| 36-55 | 118 | 48.0 |
| 56-69 | 46 | 18.7 |
| 70 and above | 8 | 3.3 |
| Total | 246 | 100.0 |

Table 2: Educational levels of the respondents

| Level of education | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Illiterate | 12 | 4.9 |
| Primary | 63 | 25.6 |
| Secondary | 79 | 32.1 |
| Middle level | 54 | 22.0 |
| University | 38 | 15.4 |
| Total | 246 | 100.0 |

Farmers' response towards organic and inorganic fertilizer applications

About 84.1% of the farmers indicated that, they use inorganic fertilizer to improve maize productivity and soil fertility as well, whereas 13.8% of the farmers indicated that they do not use inorganic fertilizers. On the other hand, about 58.9% of farmers indicated that they use manure (Animal manure and FYM) while 38.2% said that they do not use manure in their farm. This is a clear evident that there is imbalance between organic and inorganic fertilizers application in the region. Studies have shown that inorganic fertilizer utilization is one of the quickest and easiest ways of increasing maize yields per unit area. However, the problem with inorganic fertilizer nutrient supplementation leads does not improve soil structure (Khaliq *et al.*, 2004). According to Khan *et al.*, (2005), inorganic fertilizers are not readily accessible to all farmers due to its escalating costs. This study concur with Kamoni, P. T, (2009) that, continuous application of DAP fertilizer for a long period of time results to low soil pH. From the findings, it's evident that 90.2% of Kisii County farmers use DAP fertilizer for a period between 6 to 10 years consecutive, an indication that, most soils in the region have accumulated acids (Table 3).


Utilization of organic fertilizer in Kisii County is fairly good. The percentage ratio of farmers using manure to the proportion of farmers not using is (3:2) Table 3. Increased application of organic manure improves soil organic matter as many studies in Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) have reported on the positive interaction between inorganic and organic manure, with the benefits of organic manure increasing with decreasing soil fertility (Zingore *et al.*, 2008; Mtambanengwe & Mapfumo, 2005). Prior studies have shown that application of organic manure significantly impact the physical, biological and chemical properties of the soils. Most of these effects are due to an increased soil organic matter resulting from manure application (Shirani *et al.*, 2002; Liang *et al.*, 2011 & Bakayoko *et al.*, 2009).

Organic manure therefore is an excellent source of major plant nutrients such as Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) and also provides many of the secondary nutrients required by the plants. The effect of manure application on soil physical properties include increased infiltration (Risse *et al.*, 2008), water holding capacity (Liang *et al.*, 2011; Salahin *et al.*, 2011, Rasoulzadeh & Yaghoubi, 2010) and reduced compaction and erosion (Salahin *et al.*, 2011). These findings are divergent from Makokha *et al.*, (2001) points of view that manure is the most widely used organic fertilizer by approximately 80% of households in Central Highland of Kenya.

Table 3: Organic and inorganic fertilizers usage frequency table

| Responses | Use of inorganic fertilizer | | Use of manure | | Use of DAP | |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| No | 34 | 13.8 | 94 | 38.2 | 16 | 6.5 |
| Yes | 207 | 84.1 | 145 | 58.9 | 222 | 90.2 |
| No response | 5 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.9 | 8 | 3.3 |
| Total | 246 | 100.0 | 246 | 100.0 | 246 | 100.0 |

Response towards soil sampling and lime applications

From the findings, soil analysis and lime application was generally poor in the region. Majority of the farmers represented by 94.7% indicated that, they did not carry out soil analysis to check the status of the soil property for they claimed that, the process of soil analysis is tedious and too costly in addition, the distance to the nearest soil testing research station is far and expensive. While only 4.9% of the farmers carried soil sampling. The findings further indicated that, 92.3% had never used lime for the reasons they said that, they had never heard about it and its importance whereas 7.3% of the farmers applied lime (Table 4) 

Soil sampling and analysis is a valuable tool for determining the inputs required for efficient and economic production. A proper soil test ensures the application of enough and right fertilizer to meet the requirements of the crop while taking advantage of the nutrients already present in the soil. It allows the farmers to determine lime requirements. Soil testing is also a requirement for farms to complete a nutrient management plan. The application of lime tends to raise the soil pH by displacement of H^+ , Fe^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Mn^{4+} and Cu^{2+} ions from soil adsorption site (Onwonga *et al.*, 2010). More than increasing soil pH, it also supplies significant amounts of Ca and Mg, depending on the type. Indirect effects of lime include increased availability of P, Mo and B, and more favorable conditions for microbial mediated reactions such as nitrogen fixation and

nitrification, and in some cases soil structures are also improved (Crawford *et al.*, 2008). Increase in soil pH and reduction of soil exchangeable acidity following application of manure and lime either sole or combined can be attributed to the release of organic acids which in turn may have suppressed Aluminum (Al) content in the soil through chelation (Onwonga *et al.*, 2008 & Okwuagwu *et al.*, 2003). The presence of Al contents in the soils reduces soil pH thus, more soil acidic. Moreover, when lime is applied in these soils reacts with water leading to the production of OH⁻ ions and Ca²⁺ ions which displace H⁺ and Al³⁺ ions (which are responsible for low soil pH) from soil adsorption sites resulting in an increase in soil pH (Kisinyo *et al.*, 2012).

Table 4: Farmers' response towards soil sampling and Lime applications

| Responses | Carrying out Soil sampling | | Use of Lime | |
|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Frequency | Percent (%) | Frequency | Percent (%) |
| No | 233 | 94.7 | 227 | 92.3 |
| Yes | 12 | 4.9 | 18 | 7.3 |
| No response | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 246 | 100.0 | 246 | 100.0 |

Maize crop rotation and intercropping in the Kisii County

The response towards crop rotation and maize intercropping was good. The finding indicated that, about 78.9% of the farmers rotate their crop whereas 20.7% did not do crop rotation. On the other hand, about 95.1% of the farmers were intercropping maize with leguminous plant such as Beans, soybean and Groundnuts and other root crops such as, Sweet potato, Irish potato and Cassava among others whereas, 4.9% of the farmers did not intercrop maize with any other crops (Table 5).

According to Giller *et al.*, (1997), various legume-based technologies such as rotations of cereal crops with grain legumes has been advocated as viable option for providing supplementary N to cereal crops through biological N fixation. More so, the yield of maize following groundnut is greater than continuously fertilized maize, but soybean has no effect on maize productivity Groundnuts can double the yields of the subsequent season maize crop without fertilizer, but gave more additional grain yield when fertilizer are used on the maize.

Intercropping maize with grain legumes offers opportunities to improve overall productivity of both crops, and ensure the legumes benefit from fertilizer targeted to maize. Intercrops can result

in increased grain output over maize alone, both with and without fertilizers (Snapp & Silim, 2002).

Studies have shown that, a meta-analysis of fertilizer response under agro-forestry in small scale farming systems gives better maize yield response than legume trees and green manures. However, maize yield response to fertilizer application in the tree legumes systems is significantly higher than in green manures, natural fallows, and unfertilized maize (Sileshi *et al.*, (2008). Strategically targeting fertilizer use to variable soil fertility conditions, combined with recycling crop residues, manure application, and various legume-based technologies is necessary for viable fertilizer use in small scale farming systems in SSA (Giller *et al.*, 2006).

Table 5: Crop rotation and maize intercropping in maize crop frequency table.

| Response | Crop rotation | | Maize intercropping | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Frequency | Percent (%) | Frequency | Percent (%) |
| No | 51 | 20.7 | 12 | 4.9 |
| Yes | 194 | 78.9 | 234 | 95.1 |
| No response | 1 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Total | 246 | 100 | 246 | 100 |

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Continuous application of DAP fertilizer for a long period of time may results to low soil pH inhibiting uptake of some nutrients in maize. The application of organic nutrient resources, such as compost and animal manures plays an important role in replenishing soil fertility and improving other soil characteristics. Similarly application of lime, crop rotation and intercropping maize with leguminous cereal crops such as Groundnut, Beans and soybeans increases maize yields and soil fertility as well. It is recommended that farmers should seek alternative fertilizer for basal application like NPK to substitute with DAP in the region, farmers to use lime and organic manure to improve soil properties and also make use of zero tillage method of cultivation to allow soil nutrient build up



REFERENCE

- Ali K, F Munsif, M Zubair, Z Hussain, M Shahid, IU Din, N Khan (2011). Management of organic and inorganic nitrogen for different maize varieties. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 27(4): 525-529.
- Anatoliy, G.K. and K.D. Thelen. 2007. Effect of winter wheat crop residue on no-till corn growth and development. *Agron. J.* 99: 549-555.
- Bakayoko S, Soro D, Nindjin C, Dao D, Tschannen A, Girardin O and Assa A (2009) Effects of cattle and poultry manures on organic matter content and adsorption complex of a sandy soil under cassava cultivation (*Manihotesculenta*, Crantz). *Afric. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 3(8): 190-197.
- Crawford Jr TW, Singh U, Breman H (2008). Solving problems related to soil acidity in Central Africa's Great Lakes Region. International Center for Soil Fertility and Agricultural Development (IFDC) - USA.
- Gangwar, K.S., K.K. Singh, S.K. Sharma and O.K. Tomar. 2006. Alternative tillage and crop residue management in wheat after rice in sandy loam soils of Indo-Gangetic plains. *Soil Till. Res.* 88: 242-252.
- Giller, K.E., G. Cadish, C. Ehaliotis, E. Adams, W.D. Sakala, and P.L. Mafongoya. 1997. In RJ Buresh, PA Sanchez, F Calhoun (eds). *Replenishing Soil Fertility in Africa*. Soil Science Society of America Special Publication No. 51. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, pp. 151-192.
- Giller, K.E., E. Rowe, N. de Ridder, and H. van Keulen, (2006). *Agricultural Systems* 88:8-27.
- Habtegebrial, K., B.R. Singh and M. Haile. 2007. Impact of tillage and nitrogen fertilization on yield, nitrogen use efficiency of *tefEragrostis*, *Trotter* and soil properties. *Soil & Tillage Res.* 94: 55-63.
- Hopkins, B. G., Rosen, C. J., Shiffler A. K and Taysom, T.W. (2008). Enhanced efficiency fertilizers for improved nutrient management of potato. University of Idaho, Aberdeen.
- Huang, B., W.Z. Sun, Y.Z. hao, J. hu, R. Yang, Z. Zou, F. Ding and J. Su. 2007. Temporal and spatial variability of soil organic matter and total nitrogen in an agricultural ecosystem as affected by farming practices. *Geoderma*. 139: 336-345.
- Kamoni P. T (2009, February 12). Kari blames fertilizer abuse for poor maize yield in Kisii. *Standard Newspaper*.
- Khan MA, M Abid, N Hussain, MU Masood. (2005). Effect of phosphorous levels on growth and yield of maize cultivars under saline conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* (3): 511-514.
- Khaliq, T., T. Mahmood and A. Masood. 2004. Effectiveness of farmyard manure, poultry manure and nitrogen for corn (*Zeamays*) productivity. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2: 260-263.
- Kisinyo PO, Gudu SO, Othieno CO, Okalebo JR, Opala PA, Maghanga JK, Agalo DW, Ng'etich WK, Kisinyo JA, Osiyo RJ, Nekesa AO, Makatiani ET, Odee DW, Ogola BO (2012). Effects of lime, phosphorus and rhizobia on *Sesbania sesban* performance in a Western Kenyan acid soil. *Afric. J. Agric. Res.*, 7(18): 2800-2809.
- Liang W, Wu X, Zhang S, Xing Y, Wang R (2011). Effect of organic amendments on soil water storage in the aeolian sandy land of northeast China. *Proceedings of the Electrical and*

- Control E Engineering (ICECE), International Conference on 16th – 18th Sept. 2011. pp. 1538-1540.
- Makokha S, Kimani S, Mwangi W, Verkuijl H, Musembi F (2001). Determinants of Fertilizer and Manure Use for Maize Production in Kiambu District, Kenya. D.F.: International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) and Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI).
- Maynard AA (1991). Intensive vegetable production using composed animal manure. Connecticut Bul. Agric. Expt. Station.
- Mironga J.M. (2005). Effect of farming practices on wetlands of Kisii district, Kenya. 3(2): 81-91.
- Mugenda, O., M., & Mugenda, G., A. (1999). Research Methods; Quantitative and qualitative approaches, Acts Press, Nairobi.
- Mugwe, J., Mugendi, D., Kungu, & Muna, N. M. (2008). Maize yield response to application of organic and inorganic inputs under on-station and on-farm experiments in central Kenya. Experimental agriculture, 45, 47-59.
- Murenga G. M. (2014) “Genetic analysis and response to selection for resistance to two stem borers, *Busseolafusca* and *Chilopartellus*, in tropical maize germplasm”, Ph.D thesis.
- Mtambanengwe, F., & P. Mapfumo. 2005. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 73:227–243.
- Ogola JBO, TR Wheeler, PM Harris (2002). Effects of nitrogen and irrigation on water use of maize crops. Field Crop Res. 78: 105-117.
- Okwuagwu MI, Alleh ME, Osemwota IO (2003). The effects of organic and inorganic manure on soil properties and yield of okra in Nigeria. Afric. Crop Sci. Confer. Proc., 6: 390-393.
- Onwonga RN, Lelei JJ, Freyer B, Friedel JK, Mwonga SM, Wandhawa P (2008). Low cost technologies for enhance N and P availability and maize (*Zea mays* L.) performance on acid soils. World J. Agric. Sci., 4(5): 862-873.
- Onwonga RN, Lelei JJ, Mochoge BE (2010). Mineral nitrogen and microbial biomass dynamics under different acidic soil management practices for maize production. J. Agric. Sci., 2(1): 16-30.
- Rasoulzadeh A, Yaghoubi A (2010). Effect of cattle manure on soil physical properties on a sandy clay loam soil in North-West Iran. J. Food Agric. Environ., 8(2): 976 - 979.
- Risse LM, Cabrera ML, Franzluebbers AJ, Gaskin JW, Gilley JE, Killorn R, Radcliffe DE, Tollner WE, Zhang H (2006). Land Application of Manure for Beneficial Reuse. Biological Systems Engineering: papers and Publications Paper 65.
- Salahin N, Islam MS, Begum RA, Alam MK, Hossain KMF (2011). Effect of tillage and integrated nutrient management on soil physical properties and yield under tomato-mungbean-t. aman cropping pattern. Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod., 6(1): 58-62.
- Shirani H, Hajabbasi MA, Afyuni M, Hemmat A (2002). Effects of farmyard manure and tillage systems on soil physical properties and corn yield in central Iran. J. Soil Till. Res., 68(2): 101-108.
- Sileshi, G., F.K. Akinnifesi, O.C. Ajayi, and F. Place (2008). Plant Soil 307:1–19.

- Snapp, S.S., and S.N. Silim, (2002). Farmer preferences and legume intensification for low nutrient environments. *Plant and Soil* 245: 181–192.
- Woomer, and N. Sanginga (2010). *Outlook on Agriculture* 39:17–24.
- Yang, J.Y., E.C. Huffman, R.D. Jong, V. Kirkwood, K.B. MacDonald and C.F. Drury (2007). Residual soil nitrogen in soillandscapes of Canada as affected by land use practices and Agricultural policy scenarios. *Land Use Policy*. 24: 89-99.
- Zada, K., P. Shah and M. Arif. (2000). Management of organic farming: Effectiveness of farmyard manure (FYM) andnitrogen for maize productivity. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 16(5):
- Zingore, S., H.K. Murwira, R.J. Delve, and K.E. Giller. (2007). *Field Crops Research* 101:296–305.
- Zingore, S., R.J. Delve, J. Nyamangara, and K.E. Giller. (2008). Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 80:267–282.
- Zingore S. (2011).Maize Productivity and Response to Fertilizer Use as Affected by Soil Fertility Variability, Manure Application, and Cropping System. *Information Agriculture Conference* July 12-14, 2011, Better Crops 95 (1) 2011.