



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_44305
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmid Profile of Multi-Drug Resistant Proteus spp Isolated from Patients with Wound Infection in Northeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 8 : Methodology Period of sample collection should be stated Line 56: State the sample size Line 104: Protocols for 2.7 Plasmid DNA Extraction and Gel electrophoresis should be stated	Line 8: We have stated the period of sample collection. Line 56: The sample size has been mentioned as 320. Line 104: The protocols have been spelt out in detail.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 8 : methodology Suspected Proteus spp not Suspect Proteus spp Lines 15/16 : Recast/review : "Irrespective of the nature of the wound, infection is the attachment of microorganisms to host cells". Line 26: Hospital foods Line 30: Place a coma after wounds Line 72: Suspected colonies Line 73: Gram staining Line 74: Gram staining Line 78, 129, 130 : Antibiotics susceptibility test is more appropriate since you worked on only bacteria Line 142: "are part of the reasons" would be more appropriate	Line 8 : Correction effected. Lines 15/16 : Corrected Line 26: Corrected Line 30: Inserted Line 72: Corrected Line 73: Corrected Line 74: Corrected Line 78, 129, 130 : Corrected Line 142: Corrected Line 160: Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 160: Clones	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	