



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_41432
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological examination and physicochemical analysis of estuary water used as a point of source drinking water
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	COMMENTS ON MCB ESTUARY WATER Abstract Line 11: Replace parameters with qualities Line 21: Acceptable standards (remove- levels by) Line27: Consider using undetermined instead of unknown Line 38: Remove Water after estuary Line 45: Replace it's with the Line 61: Consider- 63 to 100 million Line 67: Worsened by crude oil spillage with Line 75: Use- the elderly.. Line 79: Remove more Line 84: Rephrase- natural water sources and even... Line 85: Remove much Line 88: Rephrase- studies were done that have..... Line 99-104: Delete- The headquarters Atlantic Ocean Line 113: Rephrase- The samples were transported..... Line 115: Rephrase- as described by Etim et al [11] Line 120: Consider.....all the locations were..... Line 125: Described by (names of authors first) then [12-14] Line 127: Composite samples (of what volume?) Line 129: as described by (names of authors first) then [15-17] Line 130: Not a new paragraph- (for) Line 133: ..Shigella agar, MacConkey's..... Line 137: as described by- see comment for line 129 Line 140: 121 ⁰c under 15psi Line 142: ..sample was filtered... Line 143/144: samples and the plates.. for total coliform enumeration Line 151: consider using placed instead of added Line 154: speed of (? give figure) for 5minuts Line 156: use centrifuged Line 163: centrifuged Line 169: and centrifuged at..... Line 175: done as described by (author names first) [22-23] Line 179: water sample not soil Line 183: water sample not soil Line 190: 95% (confidence limit)	There is no "it's" in line 45. This is in order. We really appreciate the efforts of the reviewer. We have effected all the corrections.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 194-197: delete all- the physico.....and sulphide. Line 200: ranges respectively Line 200-202: from the samples, location 2 recorded the lowest temperature of 28.5 ° c. locations 5 and 6 recorded the highest temperature of 29.50 °c Line 210: ...highest values respectively. Line 213: ...locations 5 and 6 with values of 0.17mg/l and 0.73mg/l respectively. Line 217/8: Table 1- explain WHO and NIS at foot notes Line 224/225: reconstruct... of N-nitrate and locations 4 and 6 recorded... respectively for N-nitrate Line 230: ...detected in all sampled locations Table 3: Explain USEPA and TNTC at foot notes Line 244/245: Explanation not clear-reconstruct Line 246: it's Table3 (not 4) Line 247: Replace it with of Line 248: Remove after (salts even after 48.....53 not seen in tables3. Line 257: Remove isolated Line 258: Remove first 'and' and second flavobacterium Table 4: Remove probable in the table Line 261: pat full stop after water Line 262: delete the whole line Line268-269: do correct-tables 5 through 10 Line 272: Remove- came second Line 277: estuary water samples Line 279: correct-estuary water samples Line 281: insert % after (21.01)= 21.01% Line 284-287: There is a mix up from ciliophora to Ascomycota rearrange correctly Line 288/289: estuary water samples Line 292: delete from (as seen.....to classification) then next sentence, The unknown..... Line 299: Table 7 rephrase thus- Class and phyla classification... estuary water samples Line 309: Table 8- delete repeated classification ...(note) water samples Line 317: ..water samples Line 321: for uncultured (consider-unknown or unidentified) Line 323: make it continuous- join to line 328/329 Line 327: Table 10- replace ten with 20 (top 20 organisms) Line 330: insert water after estuary	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 343: use –threatened Line 344: rephrase-exploration, industrial.... Line 351: consider adding after spillages- leading to environmental pollution and degradation” Line 353: and that of world health Line 354: use met (not meet) Line 355: within acceptable range Line 356: ..which are also... Line 365: consider removing (2014) Line 366-368: ..period... and cobalt (in our study). In another study, (name the author) that was..... Line 373: earlier study (by whom?) Line 389: insert Gram after two Line 395: remove isolated, replace with seen Line 405: shown to affect 408: molecular assessment for microbial... Line 411: most predominant kingdom Line 417: delete- (or abundance) Line 424: ...spill in the... Line 437: check monchloramine Line 438: remove that Line 439: delete further more then start- They also.... Line 440: rephrase.... study to that of our findings... Line 442: In an earlier... Line 458: consider- The composition.. Line 461: consider... than approved safe standards Line 466: References: use italics for names of journals and keep to one pattern of reference	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		