



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_39743
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrangea arborescence and Berbaris vulgaris: Ease Ureteric Calculi Emission
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting case report presenting a case with ureteric stone treated completely with Homeopathic medicine The patient showed complete response treatment and stone free. I found paper would trigger search into new treatment modalities other than surgery and I support publish paper. Please revise the exact stone size in methods section I have read as 66 mm.	Dear Sir, Thank you for your valuable time consuming effort to help us in making this manuscript more authentic. You are absolutely right regarding the mentioned size of the stone. We have corrected the manuscript and hope you will find it satisfactory this time (Line: 50). Your courage will give us morale to engage in new research. Please accept our cordial respect and compliment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		