



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_39743
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrangea arborescence and Berbaris vulgaris: Ease Ureteric Calculi Emission
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) For a case study, authors should follow the appropriate guidelines and should use the phrase "a case report in the title". 2) Authors have not mentioned about any past attacks of ureteric colic in the patient nor have they mentioned any treatment history. 3) Resolution of the Images is very low and authors need to send high resolution images with > 300 psi. 4) The measurement of the flow out calculi in the image must be mentioned by the authors. 5) All the Figures need data labels for easy understanding by readers. 6) Some References like 17, 18 are not in the correct format. Kindly check them and rewrite them again. 7) Authors have not mentioned anything about confounding factors that may accelerate stone flow out from the body. That needs to be mentioned. 8) The basics of stone formation inside the body depends upon imbalance between phosphates, calcium, oxalates etc. No mention of such serum level of the patient or diagnostic tests have been mentioned by the authors. 9) Discussion part seems incomplete. Authors must mention some previous studies/case reports of similar kind as a reference. (If there is any) 10) Authors must explain how their treatment is better than SOADS REGIMEN (allopathic treatment) as this treatment also guarantees stone flow out in 4 weeks' time without any side effect reported till date. 	<p>Dear Sir, Thank you for your valuable time consuming effort to make our article more authentic.</p> <p>Ans. 1 & 2) Considering your valuable suggestion, we refurnished the manuscript, made the necessary changes in the title (line:2-3) and regarding ureteric colic (line: 49-50, 74-75).</p> <p>Ans. 3) Images were taken using digital camera and these are best images we found to present. We cordially hope, you may excuse this limitations.</p> <p>Ans. 4) The measurement of the flow out stone now mentioned, please check in line: 83.</p> <p>Ans. 5) As per your instructions, we re-arranged all the image labels with more description. Please check in line- 78-80, 83, 85-86, 89-90 and 93-94.</p> <p>Ans. 6) Mentioned references are re-arranged as per journal format. Please check in line- 162-166.</p> <p>Ans. 7) Calculi under 4 mm get flowed out through urine, usually need to take more water to increase urine. But in this vary case, the patient had hydronephrosis with large stone, so water intake was restricted (Please check in line-66).</p> <p>Ans. 8) Thank you for pointing this important point, regarding level of phosphorus, calcium and oxalate. Please be notice that in this current study, the patient was diagnosed previously and if there was any anomaly did not diagnosed then. Under the socio-economic condition in our country, these tests costs a good expenses which usually patients do not want to spend. So that, related data were not available. We hope you will excuse this limitation.</p> <p>Ans. 9) This branch of treatment is neglected for years and there is lack of researches regarding these drugs. Unfortunately available data or previous reference is not available.</p> <p>Ans. 10) As it is a single case report, without any control, we are not in a position to compare this procedure with already established other theories. We would like to express our thirst for more research regarding this, following our concept, to re-justify the current outcome.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Considering the re-furnished manuscript, we hope you will find this satisfactory now.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		