



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_32963
Title of the Manuscript:	LOW RESIDUE DIET & CODEINE MAY SUBSTITUTE FAECAL DIVERSION IN CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT OF PATIENT WITH RECTOURETHRAL FISTULA - A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. There are some abbreviations such as BPH, PSA, RUG, TURP. These should be explained at their first appearances. 2. What was the cause of bladder neck contracture in this patient? Scarring after conservative therapy? If so, is conservative therapy really useful?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yu Koyama</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Niigata University Graduate School of Health Sciences, Japan</i>