Case study Prolonged Esophageal Denture Impaction Presenting with Features of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: Case Report

ABSTRACT

Incidental ingestion of denture resulting in esophageal impaction is fairly reported in the literature. It is, however, uncommon to find such impaction lasting more than a few days without the development of serious complications. We present a 38-year-old man with denture impaction in the esophageal for 20 years who presented with features of gastroesophageal reflux disease. This case brings to fore the need for a thorough evaluation of all patients who incidentally ingest denture irrespective of the severity of the initial symptoms.

13

12

Keywords: Esophageal denture impaction, Esophageal foreign body impaction,
Gastroesophageal reflux disease, Nigeria

16 17

18

19 1. INTRODUCTION

20

Impaction of foreign body in the esophagus is a common occurrence worldwide. The common types of foreign objects ingested by children and adults vary significantly. While coins, bottle-tops, button-batteries and safety pins are the commonly reported esophageal foreign bodies in children; bone, dentures, solid meat and metallic wires are commoner in adults [1,2].

The clinical manifestations of esophageal foreign body impaction depend on the type of foreign body ingested and the location of impaction (cervical, thoracic or abdominal portion of the esophagus). The common symptoms of esophageal impacted foreign body of less than 24 hours tend to be mainly gastrointestinal and include dysphagia, throat pain, odynophagia, drooling and vomiting [3]. Respiratory problems such as cough, chest pain, stridor, wheeze, respiratory tract infection, hemoptysis etc. tend to appear weeks or months after ingestion [3].

Generally, an esophageal impacted foreign body induces acute symptoms that necessitate immediate search for medical attention, diagnostic evaluation and removal. In rare instances, however, the impaction may not produce initial alarm symptoms that warrant urgent medical intervention thereby resulting in prolonged impaction. We present a 38-yearold man with esophageal impacted denture that lasted 20 years before presentation. To the best of our knowledge, this seems to be the longest reported duration of impacted denture in the esophagus before a definitive diagnosis was made.

40

41 2. CASE REPORT

42

43 A 38-year-old man presented to our clinic with a history of recurrent retrosternal pain of 20 44 years. The latest episode of the pain started 3 months before presentation. The pain was 45 initially mild and dull-aching but later became moderately intense and burning in nature. It 46 scored 8 on a visual analog scale, 0 and 10 been the lowest and highest scores respectively. 47 The pain woke him up at night and disrupted his daily activities occasionally. It was relieved 48 by intake of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), antacids, and proton pump 49 inhibitors. There was associated history of regurgitation and occasional epigastric pain. No 50 dysphagia, odynophagia or vomiting.

51 He had an episode of melena stool 17 years earlier. He admitted to frequent use of NSAIDs 52 at that time. No history of hematemesis, recurrent vomiting or weight loss. He neither 53 smoked cigarette nor consumed alcohol.

54 Physical examination was unremarkable apart from mild epigastric tenderness.

55 We made a provisional diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) at this time.

At esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), we found a dark hard object that was partly covered by a cheesy material attached to the esophageal mucosal at a distance of 30cm from the incisors. The surrounding mucosal area appeared thickened. The object occluded the esophageal lumen partially but the endoscope passed into the stomach without difficulty [Figure 1]. The oropharynx, stomach and the first and second part of the duodenum were

- 61 normal in appearance.
- 62
- 63



64 65

Figure 1: Impacted denture in the esophagus

Further questioning after the procedure revealed that the patient sustained a dental injury 27
years earlier (at age 11) after which he developed recurrent dental infection. A non-physician
dental practitioner removed three of his teeth because of the recurrent infection 20 years
earlier (at age 18) and gave him a denture to wear. He swallowed the denture incidentally

51 shortly before the retrosternal pain started. Thereafter, he consulted a physician who told

him not to worry. No investigation was done to exclude esophageal impaction.

73 A definitive diagnosis of prolonged esophageal denture impaction was made.

A joint review by an otorhinolaryngologist and a cardiothoracic surgeon indicated that he

75 needed thoracotomy with esophagotomy and/or esophagectomy to remove the foreign body.

76 He has not had the surgery because of financial constraint 17 months after diagnosis. He

has since been taking PPI and antacids to relief the symptoms.

78 79

80 3.DISCUSSION

81

Previous reports have shown that esophageal denture impaction is not uncommon in Nigeria [4–7]. The reported prevalence of denture impaction among patients with impacted pharyngoesophageal foreign bodies in Nigeria varies widely depending on the time and location of the study [4,5,7]. Onyekwere and colleagues reported a prevalence of 38.6 % among adults with esophageal impacted foreign bodies in Ibadan, southwest Nigeria [5]. A prevalence of 18.4% was reported by Adedeji and colleagues among all patients with various pharyngoesophageal foreign body impaction in Osogbo, southwest Nigeria [7].

The male gender appears to be generally more prone to denture ingestion and impaction than the female gender [5–8]. Other identified predisposing factors include high-risk behavior like sleeping or masticating with the denture; inappropriate fabrication of denture; prolonged usage and failure to present for routine medical checkup [4,5].

93 Majority of ingested foreign bodies pass through the gut without complication but large sharp 94 objects like denture and bones could easily get impacted [9]. Ingested dentures often get 95 impacted in the esophagus and are difficult to retrieve because of their large size, rigidity 96 and pointed edges. Though foreign body impaction could occur at any of the three anatomic 97 areas of constriction in the esophagus, the commonest location of denture impaction is in the 98 upper esophagus just below the cricopharyngeal junction [5–7].

99 Sacko reported three cases of prolonged impaction of coins in the cervical esophagus of 100 children (8, 10 and 14 months respectively) in Mali [10]. Cases of prolonged esophageal dentures impaction in adults with mild or no initial symptoms have been reported in 101 102 Singapore (at 22cm from the incisors lasting for 6 months) [11], Iran (at 25cm from the 103 incisor lasting for 9 months) [12] and India (in the lower cervical esophagus lasting for 3 104 years) [13]. We observed that none of these cited cases presented with GERD symptoms. 105 This is probably because the impacted foreign bodies were not as distally located as 106 compared to our patient who had the denture impacted at 30 cm from the incisors [10-13].

107 Plain radiograph (neck and chest x-rays) is often used as the initial diagnostic method to 108 localize esophageal impacted denture, like other foreign bodies. However, localization of 109 acrylic dentures could be challenging because they are made of a radiolucent material 110 (polymethylmethacrylate) which may be difficult to detect with the standard plain radiograph. 111 Radiologic features that may suggest denture impaction include air entrapment and 112 increased prevertebral soft tissue shadow [5,7]. Additional investigative procedures that 113 could aid the localization of impacted denture before removal include barium swallow and 114 computed tomographic scan [5,7,9]. In the event that the denture could not be localized 115 despite the aforementioned procedures and the patient remains symptomatic, a flexible 116 esophagoscpic examination may be done to exclude esophageal impaction.

117 Usually, the longer the denture stays in the esophagus, the more the likelihood of 118 complications that could increase morbidity and mortality [7,14]. Such complications include: 119 peri-esophagitis, necrosis and perforation of the esophageal wall, neck abscess, fistula 120 formation, vascular erosion with excessive hemorrhage and extraluminal migration with 121 subsequent diverticulum formation etc [5-7,14,15]. The possibility of esophageal impaction 122 should always be considered and promptly investigated in all cases of incidental or 123 deliberate ingestion of dentures. There is no room for conservative management. Removal 124 by rigid esophagoscope under general anesthesia is the commonly used method. Adequate 125 precautionary measures should be taken during the procedure to prevent catastrophic 126 esophageal perforation [5,7]. Shear forceps could be used to fragment large dentures before 127 extraction to reduce the chances of iatrogenic complications [5-7]. The use of overtube may 128 be necessary to prevent esophageal tear from the sharp edges of the denture during 129 retrieval. The procedure should not be done hastily or overenthusiastically. Difficult cases

and those with complication could be removed via transcervical or transthoracic
esophagotomy or esophagectomy as may be required [5,7,16].

133 4. CONCLUSION

134

The clinical presentation of esophageal denture impaction is usually dramatic and the 135 136 diagnosis often straight-forward. Nevertheless, it may occasionally pose a diagnostic 137 challenge in those with mild symptoms. Our patient had to go through the ordeal of recurrent 138 chest pain and regurgitation and prolonged use of drugs because he was not properly 139 evaluated at the beginning. At such instance when there is a history of foreign body 140 ingestion but esophageal impaction could not be easily dispelled, a high index of suspicion 141 and meticulous evaluation are required by the clinician in order to prevent the development 142 of major complications.

143

144

145 CONSENT

146

147 The authors declare that 'written informed consent was obtained from the patient for 148 publication of this case report and accompanying image. A copy of the written consent is 149 available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this 150 journal.

152 ETHICAL APPROVAL

- 153
- 154 Not applicable

155 156 **REFERENCES**

- 157
- Shivakumar AM, Naik AS, Prashanth KB, Hongal GF, Chaturvedy G. Foreign bodies in upper digestive tract. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2006;58(1): 63-8
- Ambe P, Weber SA, Schauer M, Knoefel WT. Swallowed foreign bodies in adults. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2012;109(50):869–75.
- Asif M, Haroon T, Khan Z, Muhammad R, Malik S, Khan F. Foreign body esophagus: types and site of impaction. Gomal J Med Sci. 2013;11(2):163-6
- Nwafo DC, Anyanwu CH, Egbue MO. Impacted esophageal foreign bodies of dental origin. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.1980;89(2):129–31.
- 166 5. Nwaorgu OG, Onakoya PÁ, Šogebi OA, Kokong DD, Dosumu OO. Esophageal impacted
 167 dentures. J Natl Med Assoc. 2004;96(10):1350–3.
- 168
 6. Okugbo S, Onyeagwara N. Oesophageal impacted dentures at the University of Benin
 169 Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria. J West African Coll Surg. 2012;2(2):102–11.
- Adedeji TO, Olaosun AO, Sogebi OA, Tobih JE. Denture impaction in the oesophagus experience of a young ENT practice in Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;18:330.
- 172 8. Mishra A, Shukla GK, Mishra SC, Bhatia N, Agarwal SP. The problems of denture impaction. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 48(3):241–4.
- 174 9. Kumar S, Singh DB. Hoarseness of Voice: Presentation of Neglected Denture
 175 Esophagus. Prosthodont Restor Dent. 2013;3(1):30–2.
- 176 10.Sacko HB. Prolonged Foreign Body Impaction in the Oesophagus in Mali. Otolaryngol
 177 Online J. 2016;6(2):114
- 178 11.Rathore PK, Raj A, Sayal A, Meher R, Gupta B, Girhotra M. Prolonged foreign body
 179 impaction in the oesophagus. Singapore Med J. 2009;50(2):53-4.
- 180 12.Mohajeri G, Fakhari S, Ghaffarzadeh Z, Piri-Ardakani M. A case of the long time
 presence of a large foreign body in esophagus without complication. Adv Biomed Res.
 2016;5(1):205.

- 183 13.Dar GA, Ganie FA, Ishaq M, Jan K, Ali ZS, Lone GN, et al. Prolonged Impacted Denture
 184 in the Esophagus: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. Bull Emerg trauma.
 185 2015;3(1):32–5.
- 186
 14.Singh P, Singh A, Kant P, Zonunsanga B, Kuka AS. An impacted denture in the oesophagus-an endoscopic or a surgical emergency-a case report. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(5):919–20.
- 189 15.Hashmi S, Walter J, Smith W, Latis S. Swallowed partial dentures. J R Soc Med.
 2004;97(2):72–5.
- 191 16.Dalvi AN, Thapar VK, Jagtap S, Barve DJ, Savarkar DP, Garle MN, et al. Thoracoscopic
 192 removal of impacted denture: Report of a case with review of literature. J Minim Access
 193 Surg. 2010;6(4):119–21.

194 195

196