



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_34210
Title of the Manuscript:	METASTATIC SIGMOID COLON CANCER PRESENTED AS INCARCERATED INGUINAL HERNIA – CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Grammar ,language and typing mistakes. No clear message from the case Patient operated on first day then after 21 days why If they found the tumour it is indication of immediate laparotomy and formal staging Why this not done What is the course of the patient and what has been done in the second operation and why?	Thank you for your comments. Few sentences have been added in the manuscript to clarify, why there were two operations and not just one and what was the postoperative course. A few sentences have been added to conclusion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		