



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_32481
Title of the Manuscript:	Subcutaneous emphysema in a patient with cannabis hyperemesis syndrome
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Consider After Minor changes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – It is unclear what the novelty of this paper is. – The paper requires editorial revision as there are some spelling and grammatical mistakes. – Background/introduction: please added because increase quality of paper. – Make the meaning of the Abbreviation 	<p>Thank you for your feedback and suggestions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – We believe that this paper is novel in that there are no prior published cases of subcutaneous emphysema resulting from emesis secondary to cannabis hyperemesis syndrome – Thank you for noting spelling and grammatical mistakes. We have reviewed the manuscript to identify these mistakes – We have added the meaning of abbreviations used in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, the paper is well written.	