



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_29294
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of functional massage of the teres major muscle in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome. A randomized controlled case series study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As is, it is difficult to see the benefit on the teres major treatment group compared to the control. I suggest the following: Use graphs to show this, place pain at the end – showing the benefits first. 2. It appears that while range increased significantly when teres major work was done, pain and GROCC measurements were the same. You should comment on this in the discussions. 3. You probably are writing a little too much about the soft tissue technique in the discussion. The bit about Travell can go in the introduction. Write a little more about the results of your study. 4. You did the Constant Murley test, but there were no changes in this functional measure. You need to comment on this. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 152 – you spelling alpha “alfa”	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Timothy Hui
Department, University & Country	Department of Physical Therapy, Loma Linda University, CA, USA