



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45335
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTIPLASMODIAL ACTIVITIES OF TANNINS EXTRACTED FROM ZIZYPHUS MAURITIANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- In the abstract (the aim: line 6), the originality and the goal of this work must be specified clearly. What is the interest of two <i>in vitro</i> methods (used to test the antiplasmodial and the antibacterial activities)? - The number of repetitions must be given for the Antiplasmodial Activity. - How <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> was maintained in continuous culture? The authors did not specify the device or the setup used in the method described by Trager and Jensen (line 77). - The discussion does not report the results obtained. It is too general and not appropriate to show the importance or the originality of the results. - What is the origin of <i>Escherichia coli</i>, <i>Salmonella typhi</i>, <i>Streptococcus</i>, <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Plasmodium 50 falciparum</i> 3D7 and Dd2?	
Minor REVISION comments	- Where the plant <i>Zizyphus mauritiana</i> was identified? - Catechic tannins were extracted with diluted acetone. What is the exact composition of the solvent? Maceration lasted how long? - Why the authors have chosen exactly these two strains of <i>P. falciparum</i>? - Why strains were not specified for the bacterial species?	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Souad Akroum
Department, University & Country	University of Jijel, Algeria