



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45107
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN MICRONUTRIENTS LEVELS AND GESTATIONAL DIABETES: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY IN ASHANTI REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: - Methodology: Mixed up, rearrange, what method did you use in measuring the glucose profile? - Conclusion: What's the GDM marker? Conclusion is not clear Definition, Acronyms, Abbreviation - Use only abbreviation instead - This heading should be placed before Introduction Introduction - Line 17 change "delivering the baby to delivery" - Line 17-19 "Research has shown that....." what research do you mean? - Line 24 "this insulin resistance". Which insulin resistance do you mean? - Line 26 reputation of the word "Research has shown" this is not suitable for scientific writing. - Line 30 remove "as" in "as a trace element" - Line 37 "Recent research?" - Line 43 Replace "completely understood" with "clear" - Line 55 Replace work with study and remove established. Materials and methods - Line 59 replace participants with sample collection or sampling - Line 60-87, arrange according to how the tasks were carried out. Micronutrient analysis - Should contain reference as you are not the first to use this method Statistical analysis - Line 101, SPSS 20? - Line 105-106, Are you sure you used chi square and 95%? I didn't see any of this in the table. Results - Line 112 change were with to have - I did see explanation on table 2 - Line 117 change shows to showed - Line P= 0.000? - Table 2 all P values 0.000? Perhaps SPSS is not suitable for this statistical analysis. Its preferable to use another statistical package. - P= 0.000 in Table 3-4 also. This doesn't inform us on the degree of significance. - All headings of tables (1-5) are not suitable. Recheck and write better title - Table 4, adapt a specific format, some figures are in 3 significant figures (SF), others 4 and 5 SFs as well - Please include P value in table 5, shown in text but not in table. - All tables should have legends below. Discussion - Line 150-15, you already mentioned this in introduction. - Line 155, ref? - Discussion is poorly written. Please rewrite. Conclusion - Line 226-241, this is not a conclusion. Please other research articles to see how its done.	Abstract The methodology has been rewritten The conclusion has been rewritten Only abbreviation has been used The heading has been placed before the introduction Introduction All correction has been done as indicated in the reviewer's comment Materials and methods All corrections have been done as indicated in the reviewer's comments Results All corrections are done P-values have been stated correctly All headings of the tables have been corrected Table 4 significant figures have been corrected to 3 P-values of table 5 have been provided Legends have been provided below all tables Discussion All the discussion has been rewritten Conclusion The entire conclusion has been rewritten
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

	You may change the title of your manuscript to “Micronutrients level of subjects with gestational diabetes in Ashanti region”	
Optional/General comments	The study is good, but it is poorly written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	