



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44534
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes and Concerns of diabetic subjects with lower limb amputation(s) in Trinidad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Number of Subjects for investigation is very less. It is better to explain your results in the abstract in statistical form. This study was approved by the University of the West Indies, St. Augustine Campus ethics committee then kindly mentioned the reference number as well. In a similar paper 187 participants have been involved title as Major Lower Limb Amputations in Trinidad A retrospective analysis. The author doesn't follow the format of reference. There is a need to include latest reference for past 5 years. Kindly include questionnaire at the end.	I agree with you and we tried to get the more number of samples in one year. We got more than 65 patients but 30 questionnaires were not completed properly and therefore we have excluded 30 cases from the analysis. We have quoted in the revised manuscript. We have corrected as per the suggestion in the revised manuscript Attached.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	