



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44534
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes and Concerns of diabetic subjects with lower limb amputation(s) in Trinidad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I am sorry, but this paper picturizes the general aspect of diabetic patients with limb amputation, however it does not give any reliable conclusion about the predictors of amputation. Because study has no control group including diabetic patients with no limb loss. Control group is necessary to analyse the risk factors whether cause to amputation or not. Two groups should be compared to each other statistically. This study has no statistical analysis. Additionally risk factors should be analysed with regression analysis to gain the real predictors.	<i>The aim of this study is to find out the causes and concerns of diabetic people who had amputations only. Therefore we haven't selected the diabetic people without amputations. The wanted to know reason for amputation and feelings after amputations</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	