

Temporal and spatial changes in host defense enzymes in response to *Fusarium* wilt in Pigeonpea (*Cajuns cajan* (L.) Millspaugh)

Jhuma Datta^{1,2} and Nand Lal^{1,*}

¹Department of Life Sciences, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur-208 024, INDIA

²Present Address: Department of Biochemistry, BCKV-Burdwan Campus, Burdwan-713101, INDIA

ABSTRACT

Fusarium wilt caused by *Fusarium udum* Butler (*Fud*) is a constant threat to pigeonpea productivity in several parts of the world. Understanding the molecular basis of pigeonpea-*Fud* interaction is necessary to improve resistance to *Fud* and thereby the productivity of pigeonpea. Temporal and spatial changes were studied in defense enzymes namely catalase, peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase at pre-initiation (S1), disease initiation (S2) and severe diseased (S3) stages in root, stem and leaf tissues of 2 susceptible and 2 resistant cultivars of pigeonpea inoculated with *Fud*. Comparison of various treatment combinations revealed that all the defense enzymes showed temporal and spatial variation in activity/expression pattern. PO increased in all the tissues of all the varieties from S1 to S2 and declined thereafter in S3 stage, and induction was higher in resistant cultivars. Catalase and PPO were induced highly in resistant cultivars inoculated with *Fud* and the pattern was stage-specific and tissue-specific in nature. Catalase induction occurred at S2 in uninoculated plants whereas it started at S1 in *Fud* inoculated plants. PPO level diminished from S2 to S3 stage and in susceptible varieties it reached even below the control. PAL, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase activity were induced at S1 and S2 and values at S3 decreased progressively. Induction of chitinase was significantly high in leaves whereas β -1,3-glucanase activity was high in stem tissues. Both control and challenged plants had higher level of β -1,3-glucanase activity at later stages of disease development, but the proportionate increase was much higher in resistant cultivars. The activity/expression pattern of these defense enzymes reveals their use as biochemical markers for resistance and provides scope for manipulating their expression and development of wilt-resistant transgenic pigeonpea.

Keywords: Pigeonpea, India, *Fusarium udum*, Pathogenesis, Defence enzymes, antioxidant enzymes, PR proteins

Abbreviations:

gfw⁻¹: per gram fresh weight, gdw⁻¹: per gram dry weight, PAL: Phenylalanine ammonia lyase, PO: Peroxidase, PPO: Polyphenol oxidase, PR: Pathogenesis-related, ROS: Reactive oxygen species

1. INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea (*Cajuns cajan* (L.) Millspaugh) is among the world's most important pulse crops. Around 76% of the total global area and around 73% of total global production of pigeonpea

is in India. Globally it is cultivated on 4.75 million hectares producing 3.68 million tonnes with productivity of 774.30 kg/ha [1]. Wilt caused by *Fusarium udum* Butler is an important disease of pigeonpea in north, central and south India inflicting heavy losses in yield estimated to be 470 thousand tones in India and 531 thousand tones in the world [2]. Plants activate a large array of defence mechanisms in response to pathogen attack and a crucial factor determining the success of these mechanisms is the speed of their activation. There is a considerable interest in understanding how plants recognize pathogen attack and control expression of defence mechanisms. After pathogen recognition, highly localized biochemical events are rapidly induced to inhibit the further development of the attacking pathogen. The rapid production and accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), particularly the superoxide anion (O_2^-) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), culminates with a hypersensitive response (HR) and localized programmed cell death (PCD) to impair pathogen establishment and development inside the host tissues and thus deprive the pathogen of further access to nutrients [3]. Concomitant with or following HR, several pathogen defense-related genes are translated into antioxidant enzymes and PR-proteins [4] that confer resistance to the plant in defense against pathogen attack. Enzymes of the phenyl propanoid pathway e.g. phenyl alanine ammonia lyase (PAL) are involved in the synthesis of phenolic compounds like flavonoids, salicylic acid, coumarins etc. which are known as phytoalexins. Differential expression of PAL genes was investigated using northern blot analysis in chickpea seedlings challenged with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* [5]. Datta and Lal [6] observed temporal and spatial changes in phenolic compounds in response to infection by *Fusarium* wilt pathogen in chickpea and pigeonpea and suggested that interaction between *Fusarium* and host plants was found to enhance defense responses against wilt disease in resistant cultivars. Broetto et al. [7] also reported similar results in bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. infected by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*. The host proteins important for plant defence against pathogens also include enzymes like polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and "pathogenesis related" (PR) proteins viz. chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase [3, 4, 8].

Higher activity of β -1,3-glucanase enzyme in highly resistant cultivars and lower in susceptible ones suggested the possible role of β -1,3-glucanase as a biochemical marker for screening of pearl millet cultivars for downy mildew [9]. Giri et al. [10] reported differential induction of chitinase in susceptible and resistant cultivars of chickpea and decrease in β -1,3-glucanase in the resistant cultivars in response to the pathogen infection. The observed decrease in the resistant cultivars may be associated with the reduction in pathogen invasion as a result of the defence reaction. Stevenson et al. [11] reported that root exudates of chickpea plants containing phytoalexins contribute at least partly to resistance against wilt as they show anti- *F. oxysporum* activity *in vitro*. In a recent study, Oliveira et al. [12] studied time course activities of antioxidant enzymes and PR proteins in cowpea plants infected with *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and observed differential induction among resistant and susceptible genotypes and confirmed participation of peroxidase, chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase as important component of host defense. Similarly, Pareek et al. [13] recorded higher induction/activation of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in resistant genotypes of *Vigna aconitifolia* inoculated with *Macrophomina phaseolina* and implicated these enzymes as a part of general defense response against fungal pathogens. Gharbi et al. [14] compared biochemical defense responses of susceptible and resistant cultivars of *Olea europaea* to *Verticillium* wilt and observed early activation of peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase and early and simultaneous upregulation of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase correlated with reduced susceptibility in resistant cultivars.

Biochemical and molecular mechanisms of resistance provide information for better understanding of the pathogens and their reaction to crop and therefore may help in management of disease. Keeping this in view, the present investigations were undertaken to

correlate and analyse the biochemical basis of disease resistance, by measuring levels of Peroxidase, catalase, PPO (as antioxidant enzymes), PAL (enzyme involved in phenyl propanoid pathway), β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase spatially and temporally in wilt resistant and wilt susceptible cultivars of pigeon pea.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

Two wilt resistant and wilt susceptible cultivars of pigeonpea (*C. cajan* (L.) Millspaugh) were used for biochemical and molecular study. The test cultivars comprised of Asha (ICPL 87119), Maruthi (ICP 8863) in resistant group and Bahar and Type 7 as susceptible.

2.2 Inoculation of plants with the fungus and sample collection for biochemical analyses

F. udum (*Fud*) isolates were collected from wilt affected plants of pigeonpea. The pathogenic fungal isolates were collected from fourth-node stem sections of wilted pigeonpea plants according to Tullu et al. [15] and were colonized on filter paper, dried in the transfer hood, and aseptically cut into small pieces. These fungi were colonized on filter paper and dried in the transfer hood. The colonized filter paper was aseptically cut into small pieces and placed in potato-dextrose broth and incubated to produce liquid cultures of the pathogen. The liquid cultures were filtered through cheese cloth to remove mycelia. The spore (conidia) suspension was pelleted by centrifugation. After discarding the supernatant, the conidia were washed with sterile water to adjust the spore suspension to 1×10^6 spores ml^{-1} with a haemocytometer. These isolates were further characterized at the laboratories of Department of Life Sciences, and Department of Biochemistry, I.B.S.B.T, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur. Single spore culture of fungus was obtained by serial dilution method. Isolated fungus was identified as *F. udum* and its pathogenicity was tested on pigeonpea cultivar Bahar in pot experiments. Plastic pots of 30 cm diameter were surface-sterilized with 0.1% (w/v) mercuric chloride (HgCl_2). Pots were filled with 2 kg sterilized soil (sterilized thrice at 1.1 kg/cm^2 for 1 h for 3 days). Seven days before sowing, pots were inoculated with the 14-day-old culture of the pathogen multiplied on sand maize meal water medium (90 g sand, 10 g maize meal and 20 ml distilled, sterilized water) @ 50 g kg^{-1} soil. Seeds were surface-sterilized using 2% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, and rinsed in sterile water. Ten seeds of each cultivar were sown in each pot for disease scoring. The root, shoot and leaf tissues were collected separately from 3 randomly chosen plants/treatment at 7, 15 and 30 days after sowing (DAS) and were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen to store at -20°C . These tissue samples of root, shoot and leaf were subjected to isolation of major biomolecules and assessing their activity. Biochemical basis of disease resistance was studied by changes in major biomolecules in *Fusarium* wilt resistant and susceptible genotypes.

2.3 Extraction of antioxidant enzymes

The root, shoot and leaf tissue samples (500 mg fresh weight) of 3 chosen plants were separately ground in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) using a pre-chilled mortar and pestle, and homogenate was centrifuged at 15000 X g at 4°C for 30 min and aliquots were used as the source of enzyme.

2.4 Isolation and activity assay of peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7)

Peroxidase activity was determined according to Kar and Mishra [16] with the following modifications. Assay mixture for the peroxidase comprised: 2 ml 0.1 M of phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 1 ml 0.01 M of pyrogallol ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$), 1 ml of 0.005 M hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and 1 ml of the 2 times diluted enzyme extract. The reference cuvette contained equal volume of the inactivated enzyme (by boiling) and pyrogallol. This was incubated for 5 min. at 25°C

after which the reaction was stopped by adding 0.5 ml of 5% (v/v) H_2SO_4 . The amount of purpurogallin formed was determined by taking the absorbance at 420 nm. One unit of peroxidase activity is defined as that amount of the enzyme which cause change of 0.01 absorbance unit per minute under assay condition and was expressed as U per gram fresh weight (U gfw^{-1}).

2.5 Activity assay of polyphenol oxidase (EC 1.14.18.1)

Assay mixture of polyphenol oxidase comprised of same ingredients as in case of peroxidase [16] except H_2O_2 .

2.6 Activity assay of catalase (EC 1.11.1.6)

The activity of catalase was assayed using method of Braber [17] with the following modification. Five ml of the assay mixture for the catalase activity comprised: 300 μmoles of phosphate buffer, pH 6.8 100 μmoles of H_2O_2 , and 1 ml of twice diluted enzyme extraction. After incubation at 25°C for 1 min, the reaction was stopped by adding 10 ml of 2% (v/v) H_2SO_4 and the residual H_2O_2 was titrated against 0.01 N KMnO_4 until a faint purple colour persisted for at least 15 sec. A control was run at the same time in which the enzyme activity is defined as that same time in which the enzyme activity was stopped at “zero” time. Catalase activity was expressed as μmole of H_2O_2 used per minute under the assay condition described, considering the concentration of H_2O_2 using the extinction coefficient $0.036/\mu\text{mol/ml}$.

2.7 Isolation and activity assay of phenylalanine ammonia lyase (EC 4.3.1.5)

Tissues were homogenized in 0.1 M Borate buffer (pH 8.8) at 4°C . Homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C and 38000 Xg and the supernatant used as enzyme source. The activity of L-phenylalanine ammonia lyase was determined by the method of Zucker [18] with certain modifications. Assay mixture consisted of 1.5 ml Borate buffer, 1.0 ml H_2O_2 , 1.0 ml phenylalanine solution (10 μM) and 0.5 ml enzyme extract. After incubation of this assay mixture for 2 hrs in a 38°C water bath, the change of absorbance at 290 nm compared to a reference sample without added substrate was measured with a spectrophotometer. PAL activity was expressed as $\Delta A_{290\text{nm}} \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

2.8 Isolation and activity assay of chitinase (EC 3.2.1.14)

Defatted and depigmented tissue powder was stirred with extraction buffer (1:6 w/V in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.9 containing 0.05 M NaCl) at 4°C for 12 hrs. The mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 20 min and the proteins from the supernatants were precipitated by adding ammonium sulphate $[(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4]$ to 90% saturation (60 g $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4/100 \text{ ml}$ extract). The precipitated proteins were collected by centrifugation, resuspended and dialyzed against the extraction buffer [10]. Chitinase activity was determined according to Chen et al. [19] and Tsukamoto et al. [20]. The reaction mixture contained 1.0 ml of colloidal chitin solution (7 mg), 1.0 ml of sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 5.2) and 1.0 ml of suitably diluted enzyme. After incubation at 50°C for 1 hr the released reducing sugar was measured as N-acetyl glucosamine (NAG) equivalents by the method of Reissig et al. [21].

2.9 Isolation and activity assay of β -1,3-glucanase (EC 3.2.1.39)

β -1,3-glucanase activity in was estimated using the procedure of Koga et al. [22]. The assay mixture contained 1.0 ml of suitably diluted enzyme and 1.0 ml of 1% laminarin solution in sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 5.2). The mixture was incubated at 40°C for 30 min and the released reducing sugar was measured as glucose equivalents [23].

2.10 Statistical analyses

Data from the enzyme assays were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test and values are expressed as $\text{Mean} \pm \text{SE}$.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Spatial and temporal activity of peroxidase

The activities of peroxidase increased in all the tissues of all the varieties from pre initiation (S1) to disease initiation stage (S2) and declined thereafter in S3 *i.e.* severe diseased stage as shown in Table 1. This change was prominent in case of all challenged as well as un-inoculated plants. In case of disease initiation stage (S2) *i.e.*, after 15 DAS, irrespective of whether the plants was challenged or un-inoculated, there was a significant increase in activity of peroxidase in all the tissues compared to S1 stage. At this stage conspicuous changes occurred at the rate of increase in activity of the enzyme between resistant and susceptible cultivar upon inoculation with respect to their corresponding control. Upon *Fud* infection, peroxidase activity increased by 6.31% and 25.7% in the roots of resistant varieties Asha and Maruthi (Table 1) whereas, in the susceptible cultivars Type 7 and Bahar, rates of increase were 1.6 and 3%, respectively, with respect to their controls. The rate of increase was more in the stem and leaf tissues of resistant varieties. Activity increased by 12% in stem of resistant cv. Maruthi and 2% in susceptible cv. Type 7 upon inoculation. Highest level of increase (13.5%) in peroxidase activity was observed in leaves of Maruthi and the lowest increase (5%) was observed in Bahar leaves. Irrespective of inoculated or un-inoculated plants, there was a significant decrease in enzyme activity in severe disease stage. But comparative level of induction of peroxidase was higher in resistant cultivars than in susceptible cultivars.

Table 1. Peroxidase activity (U g⁻¹ fresh weight) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem		Leaf			
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	229.4±11.03	725.0±16.69	192.4±6.22	246.2±6.51	699.6±0.57	183.6±9.05	246.6±16.12	628.4±6.22	147.2±2.26
	Fud	293.2±16.97	770.8±24.89	229.0±0.28	215.2±0.57	710.2±1.98	211.4±1.41	264.8±1.70	692.6±25.17	192.8±1.13
Maruthi	Control	257.8±7.64	637.2±2.83	179.4±7.64	265.8±14.99	618.4±3.96	206.2±10.47	238.4±13.58	659.8±0.28	207.0±3.68
	Fud	357.2±0.57	801.0±8.20	179.0±0.28	181.0±5.37	694.8±7.35	229.8±4.81	281.0±24.61	748.8±7.92	242.0±8.49
Type 7	Control	208.4±9.62	624.6±0.28	315.6±6.22	231.0±1.98	665.0±3.11	207.2±3.39	143.4±4.81	538.4±1.70	153.4±1.41
	Fud	245.0±7.07	634.2±2.55	240.8±11.88	152.2±13.86	679.4±0.85	127.4±7.64	184.4±19.23	585.8±5.37	114.0±7.35
Bahar	Control	279.6±9.62	570.0±30.83	249.8±4.81	142.0±0.57	637.4±6.51	142.0±0.57	176.2±0.28	613.0±13.86	200.6±3.11
	Fud	272.0±1.70	587.4±7.07	185.8±1.41	239.8±11.03	664.2±0.28	182.0±1.13	252.8±7.35	642.8±13.58	150.2±13.86

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS).
All values are mean of three replications ± SE.

3.2 Spatial and temporal activity of polyphenol oxidase

In pigeonpea roots in control condition, prior to disease initiation, the highest activity (53.42 U gfw⁻¹) was noticed in susceptible variety Bahar and lowest (46.40 U gfw⁻¹) in resistant cultivar Maruthi and the same was true for stem tissue (Table 2). At disease initiation stage (S2), enzyme activity was elicited to 21.19 and 52.0% in the stem of the resistant cultivars Asha and Maruthi as compared to their un-inoculated control plants. Upon *Fud* inoculation,

the activity increased by 9.80 and 7.56% in the stem tissue of susceptible cultivars Type 7 and Bahar, respectively. Similarly in root tissues at S2 stage, the increase was 16.80, 23.36, 13.44 and 0.77% in Asha, Maruthi, Type 7 and Bahar, respectively, as compared to control. In pigeonpea, it was interesting to see that induction of the activity was up to S3 stage in resistant cultivars. In resistant varieties the enzyme accumulated in a significant higher rate as compared with the untreated plants. The level of the enzyme diminished from S2 to S3 stage and in susceptible varieties the level reached even below the control level.

Table 2. Polyphenol oxidase activity (U g^{-1} fresh weight) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt.

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem			Leaf		
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	49.88±3.90	60.22±0.51	47.74±0.03	46.74±0.03	48.12±0.40	45.60±0.00	51.60±2.43	50.46±0.03	44.96±0.06
	Fud	51.72±0.00	53.22±0.37	54.82±0.65	52.20±0.45	58.32±1.36	54.10±0.37	53.04±0.34	53.24±0.45	50.78±1.16
Maruthi	Control	46.40±0.57	57.00±0.68	44.00±0.17	46.38±0.20	49.60±0.62	60.74±0.37	48.80±0.00	52.12±0.34	48.10±0.20
	Fud	65.30±0.48	70.32±0.28	52.46±0.31	51.32±0.06	75.64±0.74	67.32±4.02	52.36±1.07	54.86±0.20	52.02±0.14
Type 7	Control	47.20±1.39	48.48±0.11	46.50±0.03	48.46±0.14	51.00±0.06	48.86±0.37	48.30±0.48	47.98±0.20	45.24±1.36
	Fud	48.82±0.14	55.00±0.51	45.34±0.20	50.78±0.14	55.92±0.91	46.24±0.06	42.30±0.20	49.08±0.23	49.30±3.48
Bahar	Control	53.42±1.39	56.94±0.31	53.82±0.14	50.80±0.00	52.90±0.71	47.80±0.11	47.04±0.00	48.00±3.73	50.80±0.23
	Fud	53.68±0.68	57.38±0.03	48.88±0.06	59.58±0.88	56.90±1.56	47.36±1.24	54.22±0.03	52.12±0.45	49.32±0.23

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS).
All values are mean of three replications $\pm$ SE.

Table 3. Catalase activity ($\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$ used $\text{min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ fresh weight) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem			Leaf		
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	272.9±1.41	241.7±4.40	243.5±5.97	195.6±2.28	170.2±3.45	171.4±0.71	214.5±0.31	217.5±2.67	221.2±4.63
	Fud	227.9±10.99	272.3±1.65	275.0±6.83	168.6±2.67	196.9±1.88	199.7±1.88	244.6±2.12	247.1±0.08	248.3±2.43
Maruthi	Control	242.2±1.41	194.6±5.18	209.7±2.20	179.5±4.87	201.7±1.81	182.9±6.51	234.4±1.02	234.9±1.81	237.7±2.35
	Fud	194.8±1.41	243.4±0.55	347.3±10.92	193.4±1.49	215.6±2.04	202.4±9.65	235.7±4.55	240.2±7.93	244.8±1.57
Type 7	Control	204.1±2.75	210.6±3.38	246.0±1.96	212.7±1.41	182.8±4.87	218.5±2.35	191.1±2.04	182.2±1.41	192.3±3.06
	Fud	209.6±1.26	211.8±3.22	199.7±3.61	192.8±5.73	194.4±3.06	204.7±0.31	180.5±0.94	190.1±0.55	184.4±4.63
Bahar	Control	214.1±1.10	215.5±2.90	219.5±3.22	201.5±1.49	216.0±5.42	222.2±9.42	252.0±0.31	252.3±1.02	248.3±0.31
	Fud	240.0±2.28	243.7±6.83	248.8±0.24	228.2±2.20	231.9±3.61	237.0±1.81	273.0±5.49	272.1±0.47	272.4±0.78

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS).
All values are mean of three replications $\pm$ SE.

3.3 Spatial and temporal activity of catalase

Type 7 (control) showed highest (212 $\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$ used min^{-1} gfw-1) and Maruthi (control) exhibited the minimum (179 $\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$ used min^{-1} gfw-1) activity in stem tissue at S1 stage. Activity of catalase in leaf tissues was significantly higher compared to root and stem (Table 3). Catalase activity in leaves at S1 stage was as high as 222.39 $\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$ used min^{-1} gfw-1 (WR 315, control). Activity of catalase at S2 stage in susceptible cultivar Bahar increased by 13%, whereas, in resistant cv. Asha and Maruthi, the increase was 12.7% and 25%, respectively, compared to their corresponding controls in root tissues (Table 3). Highest induction was attained at S3 stage in the same tissues. For example, in resistant cv. Maruthi upon infection the increase was 1.65 times with respect to its control. In case of stem tissue, the same pattern of enzyme activity was followed and increase in induction was highest in cv. Asha at S3 (16.47% over control). The same pigeonpea cultivar showed highest increase in catalase activity in leaf tissue as well. Another significant pattern observed in all the cultivars was that compared to S1 Stage, the enzyme activity increased in challenged tissues at S2 stage.

Table 4. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase activity ($\Delta A_{290\text{nm}} \text{ml h}^{-1}$) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem			Leaf		
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	0.42 $\pm$ 0.01	1.11 $\pm$ 0.00	1.11 $\pm$ 0.01	0.21 $\pm$ 0.01	0.89 $\pm$ 0.00	0.95 $\pm$ 0.00	0.43 $\pm$ 0.04	1.09 $\pm$ 0.00	1.06 $\pm$ 0.01
	Fud	0.72 $\pm$ 0.01	1.51 $\pm$ 0.02	1.44 $\pm$ 0.01	0.31 $\pm$ 0.04	1.00 $\pm$ 0.02	1.06 $\pm$ 0.00	0.63 $\pm$ 0.01	1.32 $\pm$ 0.04	1.33 $\pm$ 0.02
Maruthi	Control	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01	0.81 $\pm$ 0.01	0.76 $\pm$ 0.01	0.34 $\pm$ 0.01	1.03 $\pm$ 0.02	1.08 $\pm$ 0.02	0.49 $\pm$ 0.00	1.18 $\pm$ 0.01	1.19 $\pm$ 0.01
	Fud	0.50 $\pm$ 0.02	1.39 $\pm$ 0.15	1.30 $\pm$ 0.12	0.36 $\pm$ 0.01	1.12 $\pm$ 0.01	1.06 $\pm$ 0.02	0.54 $\pm$ 0.01	1.23 $\pm$ 0.00	1.26 $\pm$ 0.03
Type 7	Control	0.37 $\pm$ 0.01	1.00 $\pm$ 0.10	1.56 $\pm$ 0.01	0.39 $\pm$ 0.01	1.07 $\pm$ 0.01	1.20 $\pm$ 0.01	0.27 $\pm$ 0.01	0.97 $\pm$ 0.00	0.97 $\pm$ 0.01
	Fud	0.79 $\pm$ 0.00	1.06 $\pm$ 0.03	1.09 $\pm$ 0.06	0.22 $\pm$ 0.00	0.96 $\pm$ 0.01	0.93 $\pm$ 0.01	0.30 $\pm$ 0.01	0.97 $\pm$ 0.00	0.97 $\pm$ 0.00
Bahar	Control	0.51 $\pm$ 0.00	1.17 $\pm$ 0.01	1.26 $\pm$ 0.08	0.75 $\pm$ 0.01	0.96 $\pm$ 1.01	1.52 $\pm$ 1.01	0.52 $\pm$ 0.05	1.17 $\pm$ 0.00	1.30 $\pm$ 0.02

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS). All values are mean of three replications $\pm$ SE.

3.4 Spatial and temporal activity of phenylalanine ammonia lyase

It is evident that irrespective of whether the plants were inoculated or un-inoculated, there was significant increase in PAL activity from S1 to S3 stage (Table 4). The activity either slightly decreased or remained unchanged at S3 stage. At S1 stage, highest PAL activity was observed in susceptible pigeonpea cv. Bahar under un-inoculated condition. At S2 stage, increase in activity was mainly in the root tissues of all varieties with respect to the controls (Table 4). In resistant cultivars, Asha and Maruthi, activity increased by 36 and 72%. In the susceptible varieties, activity increased by 6 and 9% in root tissues as compared to the respective controls. Significant increase in activity by 12 and 9% was observed Asha and Maruthi, respectively, in the stem tissues at S2 stage. PAL activity in susceptible cv. Bahar increased by only 3% in stem tissue at this stage. But activity of this enzyme increased by 15% in leaf tissue of susceptible cv. Bahar at S2 stage as compared to its control. At severe disease stage (S3), in case of *Fud* treated pigeonpea, highest activity of PAL in root tissue at S3 stage was observed in root tissues of Asha and lowest in Type 7. At this stage, stem and

leaf tissue of resistant cultivars PAL activity showed only marginal increase. In case of susceptible varieties, the activity either remained unchanged or fell below the constitutive level of PAL activity.

Table 5. Chitinase activity (U g^{-1} fresh weight) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem			Leaf		
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	3.04±0.00	3.42±0.01	3.38±0.00	3.24±0.02	3.56±0.00	3.52±0.02	3.15±0.01	3.44±0.08	3.51±0.05
	Fud	3.36±0.02	10.19±0.13	10.10±0.02	3.50±0.07	10.43±0.12	10.18±0.06	3.30±0.07	10.68±0.07	10.71±0.00
Maruthi	Control	2.83±0.01	3.66±0.06	3.57±0.03	2.91±0.00	3.50±0.00	3.53±0.00	2.95±0.00	3.17±0.04	3.14±0.00
	Fud	3.30±0.00	10.03±0.22	9.47±0.04	3.07±0.36	11.07±0.01	10.91±0.38	3.39±0.01	11.36±0.13	11.44±0.21
Type 7	Control	3.59±0.05	4.77±0.04	4.78±0.02	4.38±0.00	5.34±0.00	5.25±0.01	3.98±0.04	4.22±0.00	4.31±0.02
	Fud	4.22±0.01	9.47±0.12	8.62±0.02	5.11±0.04	9.43±0.00	8.31±0.05	4.55±0.01	9.69±0.05	8.86±0.08
Bahar	Control	4.37±0.10	4.81±0.00	4.54±0.00	3.83±0.01	4.64±0.03	4.57±0.03	3.88±0.01	4.18±0.04	4.12±0.04
	Fud	5.93±0.00	9.39±0.04	8.32±0.01	5.71±0.00	9.11±0.04	8.63±0.04	4.09±0.01	9.58±0.08	5.91±0.02

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS).
All values are mean of three replications $\pm$ SE.

3.5 Spatial and temporal activity of chitinase

Induction of chitinase in pigeonpea was significantly high in leaves. Like peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase, chitinase also showed a marked increase in activity in different tissues upon inoculation of the plants with *Fusarium*. Maximum enzymatic activity was observed in resistant cultivars at S2 stage. It was observed that from S1 to S2 stage, the enzyme activity increased progressively and thereafter showed decrease with progression of the disease and at S3 stage enzyme activity was very low (Table 5). In contrast, these changes were much less conspicuous in case of un-inoculated plants, where the increase or decrease was only marginal suggesting role of chitinase of plants in resistance to wilt disease. In the leaf tissues the increase was very clear in all the varieties and at S1 stage enzyme activity was up to 4.37 U gfw^{-1} (Bahar, control) (Table 5). At disease initiation (S2), activity increased by 3.10 and 3.58 fold in the resistant variety Asha and Maruthi as compared to control. On the other hand, in the leaf tissue of susceptible cultivars at S2 stage, the activity increased by 2.29 fold. Similarly in the resistant varieties, enzyme activity increased 3.0 fold in root and stem tissue, whereas in susceptible variety, it increased 2.0 fold with respect to their individual control at S2. At S3 stage the activity was slightly decreasing or it was almost unchanged in all the cases (Table 5).

3.6 Spatial and temporal activity of β -1,3-glucanase

Glucanase activity was high in stem and leaf tissues and upon inoculation of the plants with *Fusarium*, a marked increase in glucanase activity in different tissues was observed. In general the maximum enzymatic activity was observed in resistant cultivars at S2 stage. This level of activity was more or less maintained up to S3 stage. This is in contrast to the activity pattern of other enzymes, where the levels showed significant decrease at S3 stage. Both control and challenged plants had higher level of enzymatic activity at later stages of disease

development, but the proportionate increase was much higher in resistant cultivars (Table 6). At S1 stage, maximum enzymatic activity was up to 0.76 mM glucose h⁻¹gfw⁻¹ (Asha, control, root) while in cv. Bahar the activity was lowest (0.47 mM). Similarly, there was marked increase in glucanase activity at S2 stage. The resistant cultivars Maruthi showed maximum increase in glucanase activity (17 fold) in leaves. This increase in activity was lower in susceptible cultivars where increase was only up to 1.3 fold in root tissues (Table 6).

Table 6. Glucanase activity (mM h⁻¹ g⁻¹ fresh weight) in different tissues of pigeonpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

Genotypes	Treatments	Root			Stem			Leaf		
		S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
Asha	Control	0.76±0.08	0.79±0.02	0.75±0.05	1.15±0.05	1.22±0.02	0.78±0.04	0.42±0.00	0.67±0.11	0.76±0.00
	Fud	0.92±0.47	1.48±0.09	1.35±0.04	1.36±0.01	1.56±0.03	1.73±0.03	0.72±0.04	2.43±0.02	1.85±0.02
Maruthi	Control	0.54±0.01	0.79±0.24	0.79±0.76	.63±0.00	0.58±0.54	0.69±0.02	0.31±0.01	0.56±0.02	0.52±0.04
	Fud	0.86±0.11	1.98±0.00	1.23±0.00	0.80±0.02	1.78±0.50	1.45±0.00	2.47±0.05	9.41±0.05	1.31±0.37
Type 7	Control	0.52±0.51	0.79±0.01	1.08±0.01	0.44±0.02	0.71±0.00	0.74±0.12	0.78±0.07	0.50±0.01	0.54±0.01
	Fud	0.95±1.02	1.06±0.01	0.82±0.01	1.16±0.03	1.14±0.78	1.17±0.01	1.08±0.01	0.71±0.00	0.62±0.24
Bahar	Control	0.47±0.01	0.59±0.03	0.55±0.00	0.43±0.00	0.56±0.08	0.81±0.01	0.45±0.00	0.51±0.00	0.62±0.05
	Fud	0.73±0.01	0.67±0.01	1.11±0.00	0.73±0.01	0.83±0.17	0.45±0.01	0.71±0.03	0.82±0.01	0.98±0.00

S1: Pre infection stage (7 DAS), S2: Disease initiation stage (15 DAS), S3: Severe disease stage (30 DAS).

All values are mean of three replications ± SE.

4. DISCUSSION

Plants have developed a variety of complex mechanisms that involve the biosynthesis and accumulation of metabolites and novel and constitutive proteins that directly or indirectly function in the defense response to pathogens. In the present study, interaction between *Fusarium* and host plants was found to enhance defence responses against wilt disease in resistant cultivars of pigeonpea and the induction of plants' own defence system started only after the infection by respective pathogen, and subsequently resulted in hypersensitive reaction conferring resistance. Also, pigeonpea plants exposed to *Fud* showed enhanced synthesis of pathogenesis related proteins, activities of PAL and other antioxidant enzymes relative to their controls.

4.1 Spatial and temporal activity of anti-oxidant enzymes (PO, PPO and catalase)

The present results revealed increased PO, PPO and catalase activities in pigeonpea tissues after inoculation with *Fud* and the activities were higher in the resistant cultivars at disease initiation stage in comparison to susceptible cultivars. These findings are in conformity with Rathi et al. [24] who recorded higher PO activity in leaves of resistant variety. Inoculation with *Fusarium* caused increase in enzyme activity in leaves of both susceptible and resistant varieties, but at severe disease stage, activities recorded significant decline in the susceptible varieties falling even below the control levels in few cases. However, the rate of increase in activity continued up to 30 DAS, upon induction, though the total enzyme

concentration was declining at this stage. Xue et al. [25] reported 2-fold increase in total peroxidase in bean tissues after inoculation with binucleate *Rhizoctonia* species in comparison to control.

Other than for Peroxidase activity also showed marked increase in stems and leaves, but not in roots. This spatial regulation of enzyme activity in distant tissues has been reported by previous researchers [26]. Tissues of plants attacked by necrotizing pathogens including *Rhizoctonia* and *Colletotrichum* species have been shown to accumulate substantial amount of the enzymes not only at the site of infection, but also in distant tissues. The presence of enzymes in infected plants has been associated with lesion development and limiting the spread of disease [27-29]. The shift in activity of peroxidase can vary, motivating the discussion about its active role in resistance. Peroxidase action could still happen in an indirect way through the activity of subproducts, which possess an antimicrobial activity or by inducing the formation of structural barriers. Higher activity of PPO was observed in pigeonpea resistant cultivars than susceptible cultivars, upon inoculation by *Fusarium* and the differences were more prominent in root and stem tissue than in the leaf tissues. In pigeonpea, increased PPO activity strongly suggests Asha and Maruthi's resistance is partially conferred by higher induction of polyphenol oxidase (up to 52% over the susceptible varieties) upon *Fusarium* infection. Similar results in chickpea were recorded by Raju et al. [30] who reported higher PPO activities in resistant cultivar ICCV 10 upon infection. The induced PPO-2 isoform in roots and shoots treated with salicylic acid, spermidine and the pathogen have also been implicated in defence responses against the pathogen invasion. However, no induction of PPO was observed in susceptible cultivars. The present results confirm Raju et al. [30] where no induction was noticed in susceptible cultivars in their root, shoot and leaves at severe disease stage (S3) upon inoculation. Studies conducted by Constabel et al. [31] and Stewart et al. [32] showed that plant PPOs are induced in response to mechanical wounding, fungal and bacterial infection, and by treatment with signalling molecules such as jasmonic acid/methyl jasmonate (MeJA), systemin and salicylic acid. PPO over-expressing transgenic tomato plants exhibited high resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae*, the causative agent of speck disease compared to control plants [33]. Localized inoculation of tomato leaflets with *Pseudomonas syringae* induces a significant increase in PPO activity and leads to systemic resistance to the subsequent infection by *P. syringae* [34]. Thipyapong and Stiffens [35] also reported the PPO catalyzed phenolic oxidation in limiting disease development. Therefore, induction of PPO and PO is quite likely to govern some mechanism in biochemical resistance in resistant cultivars that arose from interaction between *Fusarium* and host plants. However, in un-inoculated condition, no significant changes were observed in PPO level between resistant and susceptible cultivars but significant changes in induction of enzyme from S1 through S3 level were observed in all varieties. This establishes the fact that enzymes are induced at high amount only after pathogen infection and disease initiation. Another important observation was that PPO induction was more in stem tissues, whereas peroxidase induction was higher in leaf tissue. Raju et al. [30] also reported similar spatial distribution of PO and PPO activity in chickpea in response to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* infection.

Catalase induction started at S2 in un-inoculated control plants. Increase in induction was significant in leaf tissues upon infection as compared to controls and it continued up to S3 stage. These results match with Subramanian et al. [36] and El-Khallal [37] who reported that level of H₂O₂ and other enzyme activities increased to the level of tolerance or susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt. Susceptible cv. BG 256 showed increase in enzymatic activities by 30% over the resistant varieties at S3 stage in leaves after infection. This might be due to the invasion of the pathogen, the host cell wall degrades with the release of particular enzyme at the vicinity of the infection site.

4.2 Spatial and temporal activity of phenyl alanine ammonia lyase

PAL activity increased in response to pathogen treatments and resembles observations on accumulation of phenolics and PAL by Arfaoui et al. [5] in chickpea seedlings treated with *Rhizobium* Pch43 followed by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* race 0. The chickpea seedlings exposed to cell wall protein of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* showed enhanced synthesis of phenols, pathogenesis-related proteins and activities of PAL and peroxidase relative to water treated controls [38]. Stadnik and Buchenauer [39] also reported the enhancement of PAL activity and accumulation of cell wall-bound phenolic compounds in wheat plants treated with BTH, a novel systemic acquired resistance (SAR) inducer in response to powdery mildew infection. Our study also indicates a rapid increase of PAL activity in pathogen treated pigeonpea seedlings, except for the control treatment. Dixon et al. [40] observed an elicitor dose-response effect (isolated from *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*) in the activity of PAL in bean cells suspension cultures and two maxima of the enzyme activity in response to the application of smaller doses of elicitors.

Invasion of root tissues by the pathogen resulted in decreased activity of PAL in susceptible cultivar, whereas, increased activity of PAL in resistant cultivar might have prevented the fungal invasion and thus the activity maintained at higher levels during the experimental period. Increased accumulation of PAL with pathogen could re-establish the notion that PAL is synthesized more rapidly in resistant cultivars than that in susceptible cultivars in response to invasion of pathogen.

4.3 Spatial and temporal activity of Chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase

Depolymerisation of cell wall by the combined activities of chitinase and glucanase is reported to kill fungi *in vitro* [28, 29] and cell wall fragments are shown to induce defence reactions in plants by switching on genes responsible for the synthesis of pathogenesis-related proteins [41]. Inhibition of growth of several fungi requires the presence of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase activities [38]. Ferraris et al. [42] found that infection with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* caused several fold increases in chitinases, β -1,3-glucanases, glucosidases and N-acetyl-glucosaminidases activities in susceptible varieties and resistant tomato cultivars from 5 to 90 days after inoculation. The present study also proved that chitinase activity was increasing 3.08 and 2.33 fold in root tissue, 2.45 fold and 3.43 fold in stem tissue, 3.15 fold and 3.5 fold in leaf tissues of pigeonpea resistant cultivars.

β -1,3-glucanase activity increased from 1.27-17 fold in the infected resistant cultivars as compared to 1.0-1.5 fold in infected susceptible cultivars at disease initiation stage which conforms to Singh et al. [43]. Xue et al. [25] observed relatively higher activity of chitinase in the cotyledons of bean plants than hypocotyl and a significant increase in all cellular fractions of peroxidase, β -1,3-glucanases and chitinase compared with diseased and control plants. β -1,3-glucanase activity was also reported to increase up to 18 fold in induced bean hypocotyl tissues. Similar results were found in the present study where the induction of chitinase activity was lower in root tissues. Induction of β -1,3-glucanase increased up to 17 fold in resistant pigeonpea cultivars. Similar increases in β -1,3-glucanase, but not as pronounced were reported from other studies using incompatibility interactions between soybean and *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *glyciensi* [44], between bean cultivars and *C. lindemuthianum* [45] and between *Vigna unguiculata* (L. Walp.) and *Fusarium oxysporum* [46]. Present study showed that chitinase significantly accumulated in different tissues after the onset of diseases. Igratius et al. [47] observed that seed associated chitinases of barley are different from those that found in leaves infected with powdery mildew, while Anuratha et al. [27] isolated an infection related chitinase transcript that was only induced upon infection of rice with the sheath blight pathogen *R. solani*. Both antifungal hydrolases are induced in coordination with other PR proteins in typical systemic acquired resistance responses [48].

Increased activity of defense enzymes in pigeonpea tissues after inoculation with *Fud* is in conformity with Cachinero et al. [49] where defence responses were induced in both pre-induced and non-induced plants infected by pathogens. They concluded that the suppression of *Fusarium* wilt possibly involved an inhibitory effect of pre-induced as well as in induced plant defences on the pathogen. Present work also reveals that in pigeonpea resistant cultivars Asha and Maruthi, the increase in β -1,3-glucanase activity is comparatively lower in root tissue than in leaf tissue. The increase in activity of resistant cultivars was 1.87 and 2.5 fold, respectively, in Asha and Maruthi, whereas in susceptible cultivars, Type 7 and Bahar, it was 1.3 and 1.13 fold, respectively, in root tissue under challenged condition (Table 6). Giri et al. [10] also recorded similar lower activity of β -1,3-glucanase in roots of resistant cultivars of chickpea. Benhamou et al. [50] observed accumulation of these enzymes to be faster in incompatible interactions of tomato and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* or *F. radialis-lycopersici* than in a compatible interaction. In the present study, lower activity of β -1,3-glucanase was observed in resistant variety of pigeonpea in the stem tissue as compared to the susceptible cultivar at S2 stage. Beckman and Roberts [51] in their model for host pathogen interaction suggested callose deposition and lignifications as one of the plants responses against wilt disease and rate of these processes determines the degree of reduction of pathogen invasion. Beffa et al. [52] demonstrated in tobacco that, when expression of β -1,3-glucanase is specifically blocked by the antisense mRNA technique, callose deposits are protected from degradation, resulting in resistance to viral infection. Levels of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanases were also reduced when the infection was confined at S3 stage. The observed decrease in β -1,3-glucanase activity may be associated with the reduction in the pathogen invasion as a result of defence reaction. In the current study, leaf tissue of pigeonpea resistant cv. Maruthi showed 17 fold increase in comparison to control at S2 stage and lower proportionate enhancement was found in root and stem tissue. Moreover, these two enzymes act synergistically in the partial degradation of fungal cell walls. The combination of these two enzymes could strongly inhibit growth of many fungi, including those that could not be inhibited by chitinase or β -1,3-glucanase alone [53]. Chitinases in combination with β -1,3-glucanase protect the plants from fungal infection by their lytic actions on fungal cell walls or by releasing oligosaccharides signal molecules that can activate an array of plant defences.

The resistant cultivars showed higher peroxidase activities (2-5 fold more) than the susceptible cultivars. In root tissue of Maruthi, 25% increase in peroxidase activity over the control suggests that probably this enzyme strengthens the defence response by enhancing lignifications of cell walls. The induction of PPO activities increased only after the confrontation with the pathogen, suggesting that PPO acts by phenol oxidation. Raju et al. [30] screened different genotypes of chickpea for the induction of PPO isoforms and found that the resistant genotypes against wilt disease expressed multiple isoforms of PPO, while the susceptible genotypes did not. Resistant cv. Maruthi showed 1.5 fold increases in activity at disease initiation stage in the stem tissue, whereas susceptible cv. Type 7 and Bahar showed 8-10% increase upon infection. One interesting finding was that there was almost no change in activity of PPO in Bahar roots at S2 after infection started, supporting the view that susceptible cultivars could not withstand the challenge. On the other hand, catalases implicated in several plant defence mechanism, mainly in the generation of reactive oxygen species have also been associated with induced resistance response. The results presented here indicate that all the three enzymes activities increased in different tissues of host plants after inoculation with *Fud* but catalase activity was pronounced up to S3 stage in contrary to other enzymes. In the leaf tissue of Asha (resistant) and Bahar (susceptible), the same level of activity enhancement was observed. Surprisingly, there was no significant change in Maruthi leaf tissue at S3 stage compared to other varieties, though in the S2 stage, the induction was more in this cultivar compared to the susceptible ones. These effects can be

associated with the reason that the susceptible varieties express multiple isoforms of catalase, whereas the resistant genotypes did not.

Priming resistance by inducing these genes could be an efficient and inexpensive way of achieving the control of *Fusarium* wilt in pigeonpea. In case of pigeonpea resistant cultivar Maruthi, the increase was 71.6% in root tissue at S2 stage. In contrast, susceptible cultivar Type 7 did not show significant changes in the stem and leaf tissues in the disease initiation stage. Several hypotheses can be formulated to explain the level of phenolics [6] and PAL observed in the plants following *Fud* infection. It may result from the *de novo* mRNA synthesis or accumulation of defence related genes such as PAL. Accumulation of phenolics may also occur, upon challenge by *Fusarium*, from the release of phytoalexins from their preformed conjugated forms. Furthermore, it is likely that these two mechanisms generating phytoalexins can act synergistically. The presented results are very important for future studies focussing on the transgenic pigeonpea development by isolation and transfer of disease resistance genes from resistant cultivar to susceptible cultivar during the stage of their potential expression in the tissues, upon challenging with pathogen. This will be an integrated management strategy to protect the crop plants from further pathogen attack.

4. CONCLUSION

The present results demonstrate that resistant cultivars resulted in a significant increase in chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase and the expression of defence related genes encoding PR proteins can be used as markers for the establishment of resistance. These two enzymes act synergistically on the fungal cell wall resulting in degradation and loss of inner content of cell and indicate the possibilities of their involvement in defence response in pigeonpea against *Fusarium* wilt. A direct role for β -1,3-glucanase in defence of plants against pathogens may be proposed because the substrates for these enzymes are major components of cell walls of many fungi. Induced resistance is multi-component and it is necessary to investigate further other mechanisms involved, either alone or collectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Vice Chancellor, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur for permission and providing necessary infrastructural support for conduct of research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

JM collected and maintained the pathogen and host cultivars, performed pot experiment for disease development, performed enzyme analyses of collected host tissue samples and recorded the data. NL proposed conception of the study concerning defense enzyme analysis, prepared experimental plan, discussed the results and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. <http://faostat.fao.org/faostat/collections?subset=agriculture>. Last updated Feb. 2010.

- 661 2. Nene YL, Sheila VK, Sharma SB (1989) A world list of chickpea and pigeon pea
662 Pathogens. ICRISAT Legume Pathology Progress Report 7. Patancheru, India.
- 663 3. Heller J, Tudzynski P. Reactive oxygen species in phytopathogenic fungi: signaling,
664 development, and disease. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 2011;49:369-390.
- 665 4. van Loon LC, Rep M, Pieterse CM. Significance of inducible defense related proteins in
666 infected plants. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 2006;44:135-162.
- 667 5. Arfaoui AE, Hadrami Y, Mabrouk B, Sifi A, Boudabus I, Hadrami EI, Daayf F, Cherif M. A
668 treatment of chickpea with *Rhizobium* isolates enhances the expression of phenylpropanoid
669 defense-related genes in response to infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*. *Plant*
670 *Physiol Biochem* 2007;45:470-479.
- 671 6. Datta J, Lal N. Temporal and spatial changes in phenolic compounds in response to
672 *Fusarium* wilt in chickpea and pigeonpea. *Cell Mol Biol* 2012;58:96-102.
- 673 7. Broetto F, Marchese JA, Leonardo M, Regina M. Fungal elicitor-mediated changes in
674 polyamine content, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and peroxidase activities in bean cell
675 culture. *Gen Appl Plant Physiol* 2005;31:235-246.
- 676 8. Veronese, P, Ruiz, MT, Coca, MA, Lee, H, Ibeas, JI, Damz, B, Pardo, JM, Hasegawa,
677 PM, Bressan, RA, Narasimhan, ML. In defense against pathogen: both plant sentinels and
678 foot soldiers need to know the enemy. *Plant Physiol* 2003;131:1580-1590.
- 679 9. Ramachandra KK, Vasanthi NS, Shetty HS. Induction of β -1,3-glucanase in seedlings of
680 pearl millet in response to infection by *Sclerospora graminicola*. *Eur J Plant Pathol*
681 2000;106:267-274.
- 682 10. Giri P, Harsulkar AM, Patankar AG, Gupta VS, Sainani MN, Deshpande VV, Ranjekar
683 PK. Association of induction of protease and chitinase in chickpea roots with resistance to
684 *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. *Plant Pathol* 1998;47:693-699.
- 685 11. Stevenson PC, Turner HC, Haware MP. Phytoalexin accumulation in the roots of
686 chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seedlings associated with resistance to *Fusarium* wilt
687 (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*). *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 1997;50:167-178.
- 688 12. Oliveira JTA, Barreto ALH, Vasconcelos IM, Eloy YRG, Gondim DMF, Fernandes CF,
689 Freire-Filho FR. Role of Antioxidant Enzymes, Hydrogen Peroxide and PR-Proteins in the
690 Compatible and Incompatible Interactions of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) Genotypes with
691 the Fungus *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *J Plant Physiol Pathol* 2014;2:3.
692 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-955X.1000131>
- 693 13. Pareek SS, Ravi I, Sharma V. Induction of β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase in *Vigna*
694 *aconitifolia* inoculated with *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *J Plant Interact* 2014;9:434-439, DOI:
695 10.1080/17429145.2013.849362
- 696 14. Gharbi Y, Barkallah M, Bouazizi E, Hibar K, Gdoura R, Triki MA. Lignification, phenols
697 accumulation, induction of PR proteins and antioxidant-related enzymes are key factors in
698 the resistance of *Olea europaea* to *Verticillium* wilt of olive. *Acta Physiol Plant* 2017;39:43.
699 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-016-2343-z>
- 700 15. Tullu A, Muehlbauer FJ, Siomn CJ, Mayer MS, Kumar J, Kaiser WJ, Draft JM.
701 Inheritance and linkage of a gene for resistance to race 4 of *Fusarium* wilt and RAPD
702 markers in chickpea. *Euphytica* 1988;102:227-232.
- 703 16. Kar M, Mishra D. Catalase, Peroxidase and Polyphenoloxidase activities during Rice leaf
704 senescence. *Plant Physiol* 1976;57:315-319.
- 705 17. Braber JM. Catalase and peroxidase in primary bean leaves during development and
706 senescence. *Z Pflanzenphysiol* 1980;97:135-144.
- 707 18. Zucker M. Induction of Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase by light and its relation to
708 chlorogenic acid synthesis in potato tuber tissue. *Plant Physiol* 1965;40:779-784.
- 709 19. Chen A, Mayor R, Deloach J. Purification and characterization of chitinase from a stable
710 fly *Stomoxys calcitrans*. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1982;216:314-321.

711 20. Tsukamoto T, Koga D, Ide A, Ishibashi T, Horinomatsoslig M, Yagishita K, Imoto T.
712 Purification and some properties of chitinase from yam *Dioscorea opposita* Thumb. Agr Biol
713 Chem 1984;48:227-232.

714 21. Reissig JL, Strominger JL, Leloir LF. A modified colorimetric method for the estimation of
715 *N*-acetylamino sugars. J Biol Chem 1955;217:959-966.

716 22. Koga D, Sueshige M, Orikono K, Utsmi T, Tanaka S, Yamada T, Ede A. Efficiency of
717 chitinolytic enzymes in the formation of *Trichoderma matsutake* protoplasts. Agr Biol Chem
718 1988;52:2091-2093.

719 23. Somogyi M. Notes on sugar determination. J Biol Chem 1952;195:19-23.

720 24. Rath YPS, Bhatt A, Singh US. Biochemical changes in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.)
721 Millsp.) leaves in relation to resistance against sterility mosaic disease. J Biosci
722 1986;10:467-474.

723 25. Xue L, Charest LM, Jabaji Hare SH. Systemic Induction of peroxidases, 1,3- β -
724 glucanases, chitinases, and resistance in bean plants by binucleate *Rhizoctonia* species.
725 Phytopathology 1988;88:359-365.

726 26. El-Argawy E, Adss IA. Quantitative gene expression of Peroxidase, Polyphenol oxidase
727 and Catalase as molecular markers for resistance against *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Amer J
728 Mol Biol 2016;6:88-100. 33.

729 27. Anuratha CS, Zen KC, Cole KC, Mew T, Muthukrishnan S. Induction of chitinases and β -
730 1, 3-glucanases in *Rhizoctonia solani*-infected rice plants: Isolation of an infection-related
731 chitinases DNA clone. Plant Physiol 1996;97:39-46.

732 28. Mauch F, Mauch MB, Boller T. Antifungal hydrolyses in pea tissue. I. Purification and
733 Characterization of Two Chitinases and Two β -1,3-glucanases differentially Regulated
734 during Development and in Response to fungal Infection. Plant Physiol 1988;87:325-333.

735 29. Mauch F, Mauch MB, Boller T. Antifungal hydrolyses in pea tissue. II. Inhibition of fungal
736 growth by combinations of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanases. Plant Physiol 1988;87:448-453.

737 30. Raju S, Jayalakshmi SK, Sreeramulu K. Comparative study on the induction of defense
738 related enzymes in two different cultivars of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes by
739 salicylic acid, spermine and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*. Aust J Crop Sci 2008;2:121-
740 140.

741 31. Constabel CP, Yip L, Patton JJ, Christopher ME. Polyphenol oxidase from hybrid poplar,
742 cloning and expression in response to wounding and herbivory. Plant Pathol 2000;124:285-
743 295.

744 32. Stewart RJ, Sawyeer BJB, Bucheli CS, Robinson SP. Polyphenol oxidase is induced by
745 chilling and wounding in pineapple. Aust J Plant Physiol 2001;28:181-191.

746 33. Li L, Stiffens JC. Over expression of polyphenol oxidase in transgenic tomato plants
747 results in enhanced bacterial disease resistance. Planta 2002;215:239-247.

748 34. Stout MJ, Fidantsef AL, Duffey SS, Bostock RM. Signal interactions in pathogen and
749 insect attack: systemic plant-mediated interactions between pathogens and herbivores of the
750 tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 1999;54:115-130.

751 35. Thipyapong P, Stiffens JC. Tomato Polyphenol oxidase differential response of the PPO
752 F promoter to injuries and wound signals. Plant Physiol 1997;115:409-418.

753 36. Subramanian S, Moziah M, Sariah M, Puad MP, Xavier R. Bioassay method for testing
754 *Fusarium* wilt disease tolerance in transgenic banana. Sci Hortic 2006;108:378-389.

755 37. El-Khalal SM. Induction and modulation of resistance in Tomato plants against *Fusarium*
756 wilt disease by bioagent fungi (Arbuscular Mycorrhiza) and/or hormonal elicitors (Jasmonic
757 Acid and Salicylic Acid): 2- Changes in the antioxidant enzymes, phenolic compounds and
758 pathogenesis-related proteins. Aust J Basic & Appl Sci 2007;1:717-732.

759 38. Saikia R, Singh BP, Kumar R, Arora DK. Detection of pathogenesis-related proteins-
760 chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in induced chickpea. Curr Sci 2005;89:659-663.

761 39. Stadnik MJ, Buchenauer H. Inhibition of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase suppresses the
762 resistance induced by benzothiadazole in wheat *Blumeia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Physiol Mol
763 Plant Pathol 2000;57:25-34.

- 764 40. Dixon RA, Dey PM, Murphy DL, Whitehead IM. Dose responses for *Colletotrichum*
765 *lindemuthianum* elicitor-mediated enzyme induction in French bean cell suspension cultures.
766 *Planta* 1981;151:272-280.
- 767 41. Ryan CA, Farmer EE. Oligonucleotide signals in plants: A current assessment. *Annu*
768 *Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 1991;42:651-674.
- 769 42. Ferraris L, Abbattista Gentile I, Matta A. Variations of phenols concentration as a
770 consequence of stresses that induce resistance to *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. *Z Pflanzenk*
771 *Pflanzen* 1987;94:624-629.
- 772 43. Singh R, Sindhu A, Singhal HR. Biochemical basis of resistance in chickpea (*Cicer*
773 *arietinum* L.) against *Fusarium* wilt. *Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung* 2003;38:13-19.
- 774 44. Yi SY, Hwang BK. Differential induction and accumulation of β -1,3-glucanase and
775 chitinase isoforms in soybean hypocotyl and leaves after compatible and incompatible
776 infection with *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *glycinea*. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol*
777 1996;48:200-207.
- 778 45. Daugrois JH, Lafitte C, Barthe JP, Touze A. Induction of 1,3- β -glucanase and chitinase
779 activity in compatible and incompatible interactions between *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*
780 and bean cultivars. *J Phytopathol* 1990;130:225-231.
- 781 46. Vieira FA, Carvalho AO, Vitoria AP, Retamal CA, Gomes VM. Differential expression of
782 defense-related proteins in *Vigna unguiculata* (L. Walp.) seedlings after infection with
783 *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Crop Prot* 2012;29:440-447.
- 784 47. Igratius SMJ, Chopra RK, Muthukrishnan S. Effects of fungal infection and wounding on
785 the expression of chitinases and β -1,3-glucanases in near-isogenic lines of barley. *Physiol*
786 *Plant* 1994;90:584-592.
- 787 48. Ryals JA, Neuenschwander UH, Willits MG, Molina A, Steiner HY, Hunt MD. Systemic
788 acquired resistance. *The Plant Cell* 1996;8:1809-1819.
- 789 49. Cachinero JM, Hervas A, Jimenez-Diaz RM, Tena M. Plant defense reactions against
790 *Fusarium* wilt in chickpea induced by incompatible race 0 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*
791 and non host isolates of *F. oxysporum*. *Plant Pathol* 2002;51:765-776.
- 792 50. Benhamou N, Joosten MHAJ, de Wit PJG. Subcellular localization of chitinase and of its
793 potential substrate in tomato root tissues infected by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radices-*
794 *lycopersici*. *Plant Physiol* 1990;97:1494-1500.
- 795 51. Beckman CH, Roberts EM. On the nature and genetic basis for resistance and tolerance
796 to fungal wilt diseases of plants. *Adv Bot Res* 1995;21:36-72.
- 797 52. Beffa RS, Hofer RM, Thomas M, Meins F Jr. Decreased susceptibility to viral disease of
798 β -1, 3-glucanase deficient plants generated by antisense transformation. *The Plant Cell*
799 1996;8:1001-1011.
- 800 53. Vogeli U, Meins F, Boller T. Coordinated regulation of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in
801 bean leaves. *Planta* 1988;174:364-372.
- 802