



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44205
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil Using Schwenkia americana and Spermacoce ocymoides
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The expt lacked design, the author(s) should state the number of treatments, replications and how the expt was layed out.</p> <p>What is the role of <i>lactura sativa</i> in this expt. ?</p> <p>it is expected that the author(s) should have determined the carbon:nitrogen ratio to confirm claims that microbes actually utilized the crude oil. Otherwise such claim is spurious.</p> <p>Reasons adduced for increase of PAH in unpolluted pots cannot be verified since this is potted experiment containing highly impervious material that cannot allow transport of materials to another.</p> <p>All the Tables should be restructured .e.g columns with <i>S. americana</i> should read grown pot instead of remediated. Also before column should read 0 weeks after planting (WAP), 12 WAP).</p> <p>How come the polluted control and unpolluted control were used for germination test?. Why are they referred to as control.?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Lines 70-73 are so confusing , please recast.</p> <p>There are also many other grammar errors that need attention. Authors should in between lines to correct them.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chris O. Nwoko
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria