



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_43386
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation Of Sialic Acid Levels With Lipid Profile And Anthropometric Variables In Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus And Diabetic Nephropathy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Line 19 : statistic test is included in the method. - Some word writes inconsistent : type 2 DM, type 2 dm - Line 60: Mention the institutions that provide Ethical Clearance - Line 64 : blood sample was 7 mL, but it collected in 5 mL vacutainer? - How to calculate the number of samples? - Line 65: What kind of urine? Is it collected of 24 h of urine or urine in the morning? Clarify - Line 86 : What type of SPSS? - In the discussion it is necessary to explain the pathway of how sialic acid can be increased in inflammatory conditions, especially inflammation that results in DM and DN. It is relates to the conclusions in the abstract. There is no apparent the relationship of inflammatory that causes the increase of sialic acid in DM / DN. - Writing the references is not consistent, follow the guidelines of the journal	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pramudji Hastuti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universtas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia</i>