



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_43173
Title of the Manuscript:	Insecticidal Efficacy and Chemical Composition of hexane Oil extracts From the Leaves of Euphorbia milii and Cassia occidentalis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are some important conceptual incongruencies that must be observed. A hexane extract is not an essential oil. All over the work, doses must have their unities. Abbreviations should be included only after a first explanation on their meaning. The main result, the chemical analysis, is inconsistent. Several substances described are synthetic, not present in natural extracts, residual plasticizers from solvents and inappropriate techniques in laboratory such as phthalic acid derivatives, or residuals from hexane extraction, such as xylene and decane. The main error at the discussion should be this one: to assert that synthetic substances, including organophosphorus substances, are natural and the active substances from a natural oil.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valdir Florencio da Veiga Junior
Department, University & Country	Chemistry Section, Military Institute of Engineering, Brazil