



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42978
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study of High Sensitive C-Reactive Protein (hs-CRP) and urinary calcium/creatinine ratio in normal pregnancy and pregnancy induced hypertension in Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No Introduction/Background in Abstract section Introduction should be written in more specific and precise. It is more of like review of literature or discussion. Material and Methods for the biochemical estimation of analyte must be elaborated. In result section, only Mean $\pm$ S.D. can be written rather than minimum/maximum Discussion must be elaborated with search of literature.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language must be edited with correct flow of English. References for creatinine, uric acid and hsCRP must be correctly done.	
Optional/General comments	Though, the study emphasizes on new concept of urine calcium creatinine ratio, the results could have been elaborated with regression analysis.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apeksha Niraul
Department, University & Country	B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal