



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42976
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF GLYCAEMIC CONTROL AMONG GHANAIAN TYPE 2 DIABETES PATIENTS USING DIABETES SELF-MANAGEMENT APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>See numerous comments noted in PDF file.</p> <p>The tables are poorly constructed and not reader friendly at all. Tables will need to be reconstructed in order to make it easier to understand.                      The results and discussion section must be separated for ease of reading.                      Refrain from using the word diabetics – this stigmatises patients. rather use the words “patients with diabetes”                      Avoid use word like mgt – this is a scientific journal – full words essential                      Refrain from repeating all what you have in the table – only describe pertinent findings                      Remember to comment on both IDF and WHO guidelines on optimal diabetes control                      For significance did you use <math>&lt; 0.05</math> or <math>\leq 0.05</math>?                      Try to group findings from developed versus developing countries hence literature review not complete especially from Africa and South Africa in particular                      P values should be listed as <math>&lt;0.05</math> not <math>p=0.000</math></p> <p>I would re do discussion making it more reader friendly</p> <p><b>Ethical issue:</b>                      Need ethics reference number</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Somasundram Pillay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of KwaZulu-Natal, School of Clinical Medicine, South Africa</b>