



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42198
Title of the Manuscript:	Gas Chromatographic FID Evaluation of roots of Manniophytum fulfum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Review the name of the species in the title and correct the entire document: fulfum or fulvum?</p> <p>2. The author did not highlight the objective of the work he presents in the introduction</p> <p>3. Separate data from units</p> <p>4. In general, tables and figures are announced before their comments in Results paragraph</p> <p>5. Rely on the detailed writing of the abstract to rewrite the results section</p> <p>6. Compare the results obtained with those of other authors to better value them in the discussion section</p> <p>7. Standardize the references</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 32: <i>Manniophyton fulvum</i>, change neutraceatical by neutraceutical</p> <p>Line 60: add references after "activity"</p> <p>Line 63-64: combine the two sentences: M. fulvum (family) remains...</p> <p>Line 66: 1913!? see more recent documents; Traditional</p> <p>Line 68: Burkil, 1985 (harmonize the format of references in the document)</p> <p>Line 69: <i>Manniophyton</i></p> <p>Line 70: complete ...erectile!?</p> <p>Line 74: Côte d'Ivoire or Ivory coast?</p> <p>Line 92-99: too long sentence</p> <p>Line 108: review the insertion of the reference according to the formatting requested...</p> <p>Line 113: methanol/water (70/30, v/v)</p> <p>Line 117: add the year of the reference</p> <p>Line 130-131: "replace with the aid of" by "by sonication"</p> <p>Line 140-141: review the sentence "the sample...labortechnik"</p> <p>Line 166: and !?</p> <p>Line 236-238: move in the comment of the results "these agents...least"</p> <p>Line 240-242: idem</p> <p>Line 270: add reference</p> <p>Line 280: add reference after "antioxidant"</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be acceptable to publish after minor revision according to the recommendations made.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yves B. Nyamien
Department, University & Country	University of Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire