



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_41986
Title of the Manuscript:	Temporal and spatial changes in host defense enzymes in response to Fusarium wilt in Pigeonpea (Cajuns cajan (L.) Millspaugh)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There must be an indication of the number of replicates for each experiment. All that is mentioned is 10 plants per pot. The data would be better presented in Tables than the graphs which were difficult to interpret. This would allow direct observations of claims for differences in R versus S varieties and the statistical tests could be incorporated	
Minor REVISION comments	See some suggested editing; use it as a start.	
Optional/General comments	Good work overall, but in some of the descriptions for individual enzymes, the written and graphic data did not seem to agree. I pointed out one place but there were others. Your data can stand on their own! Saying something similar was been observed in another system doesn't prove anything extra.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clint Magill
Department, University & Country	Plant Pathology & Microbiology, Texas A&M University, USA