



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_40959
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF LEAVES AND STEM-BARK AQUEOUS EXTRACTS OF DIOSPYROS MESPILIFORMIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review English and formatation; • Second paragraph, in introduction, should be the first; • The definition of medicinal plant did not go well (find out more about the concept and reformulate); • Value the traditional use of medicinal plants more, bringing data of the percentage of people who use these plants to cure their ills, information on medicines developed from natural products, etc. That way, you will be better able to justify the reason for your work; • It is also important to talk about the problem of bacterial resistance: why is it important to find new antimicrobials?; • For me the justification of his work is clear because I work in that environment. But you must be convincing, bring data, better contextualize the issue, show the differential of your work in relation to others. Is it important to be clear why making an antimicrobial? Why use medicinal plants as sources of new medicines? Why this particular plant?; • Why did you choose to make a watery extract? Is there any data in the literature? Or is it better to simulate popular usage? Have preliminary tests been done to determine the solvent? Usually the ethanolic extract is better; • Make a table on methods describing succinctly how each class of metabolites was evaluated; • In the results and discussion, you described the activities of each class of compounds. I do not find these data relevant unless they are related to antimicrobial activity. In that way, try to direct your discussion to the compounds that may be related to the activity; • Has statistical analysis been done? If not, it should be done. You can not rely on results that have not been evaluated statistically; • The discussion of antimicrobial activity is superficial and the conclusion very extensive. Reformulate both! 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Paula Mendonça Leite</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Natural Products Department, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil</i>