



Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_40100
Title of the Manuscript:	NEPHROPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF VERNONIA AMYGDALINA IN ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETES IN RATS
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comments on manuscript titled "NEPHROPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF <i>VERNONIA</i> 3 <i>AMYGDALINA</i> IN ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETES 4 IN RATS". Please, revise language, grammar and spelling in whole manuscript Line 9,10: rephrase this part. Change NFkB to NF-κB in whole manuscript. Cervical dislocation is against animal rights and this method is not accepted. Why did you choose potassium buffer for homogenization? What did you measure urea or blood urea nitrogen? Please, revise and fix the abbreviations in whole text. References should be complete, accurate and written according to journal instructions in the same manner. Please replace μmole by μmol. Please replace mmole by mmol. Figure 2 in histopathology isn't clear and should be replaced by more better one. Please, add photo of normal control in histopathology part. Expression of NF-κB is it cytoplasmic or nuclear? Photos of bcl2 immune expression have errors and should be replaced by more clear photos. Why did you measure BUN and creatinine three times during your experiment? You didn't measure the changes in blood glucose levels during and at the end of the experiment. Change in blood glucose is so important parameter in this study. Conflict of interest section is missed Issue Ethical Yes (cervical dislocation of rats)	



Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Marwa Monier Mahmoud Refaie</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of pharmacology, Minia university, Egypt</i>