



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_39603
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro Antiplasmodial and Toxicity Activities of Crude Extracts and Compounds from Euclea latideus (Ebenaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Why did the authors use 0.4% DMSO as negative control whereas the final concentration of DMSO in the wells was 0.0875%? Some literature report DMSO limitation in the culture to be 0.025%-0.05%. 2. The yield of the pure compounds reported to be (0.50-3.66) % by the authors, is it with respect to the fractions, crude extract or original sample weighed? 3. Line 501, the first name of the first author can be written followed by the reference number i.e. [35] to make reading easier for readers if that does not conflict with the journal referencing format 4. The authors should state the stage of parasite used, whether the trophozoites, rings, schizonts, gametocytes etc. 5. Were the parasites synchronised before the assay?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elvis Ofori Ameyaw
Department, University & Country	Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Ghana