



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_38656
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation and Analysis of CO ₂ and CH ₄ Content of Biogas Generated from Pig Manure, Fruit and Vegetable Wastes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This work is simple and its methods as well, but it can take important information if improved. I consider strange to start with a referenced sentence in the abstract. Perhaps, authors must change to them sentence or removed it. The same for last paragraph of abstract. In material and methods is too important to put pig manure content like was done for vegetables and fruits. I suggest discussing what application of theses biodigesters and methods once authors concluded to be a mitigation to avoid emission of greenhouse gases. Results can be show in tables to be more clear. References are too poor and old, they can be improved.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Denise Aparecida Chiconato</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Biology Applied to Agriculture, Sao Paulo State University, Brazil</i>