



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44416
Title of the Manuscript:	A study of lipid parameters in malarial patients attending a tertiary health care centre in Mangaluru
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The Manuscript Number IJBCRR_44416 titled: "A study of lipid parameters in malignant patients attending a tertiary health care center in Mangaluru", minor revision comments can be accepted: there are some typos in the text, - in Tab.3 the total cholesterol value of cases (96,21) does not correspond with the value present in the description of table 3 (95.21), what is the real value? - in graph 2, the scale and description of the y axis are superimposed. - the triglyceride levels presented in the graph do not seem significant as instead reported by the authors in the discussion The authors may implement the study with the dosage of serum isoprostanes	Thank you for the comments. The necessary corrections have been made as per the recommendation. The triglyceride levels were significantly higher in cases overall (all groups of malaria included) as compared to the controls as reported in the discussion. But when we see in the malaria cases as in separate groups of Vivax, and mixed malaria, the triglycerides though on higher side there was no statistical significance. Thank you for the suggestion to implement serum isoprostanes. We will try to include it in the next study.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	