



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44353
Title of the Manuscript:	Subchronic toxicity study on serum biochemical parameters in wistar rats of the aqueous extract of the stem bark of <i>Sacoglottis gabonensis</i> (Baille) Urban (Humiriaceae)
New title of the Manuscript:	Subchronic toxicity study of the extract of <i>Sacoglottis gabonensis</i> (Baille) Urban (Humiriaceae) in wistar rats.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. TITLE The title is good. 2. DESIGN The design is in contrast to the results (Line 10- 11, and line 68). The design shows that male and female rats were used but the present results appear to be for one sex. The author(s) still insist to present a result of an anonymous sex (male or female) which contradicts OECD guideline 408 adopted for this study as stated in line 67.	We agree with your suggestion but the effects of the extract on the various parameters did not vary according to the sex of the rats. For, we include, now, before all results this sentence: in this study, the effects of the extract on the various parameters did not vary according to the sex of rats. So, we have decided to present the results of both sex together as mentioned after each illustration (n = 20)