



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44353
Title of the Manuscript:	Subchronic toxicity study on serum biochemical parameters in wistar rats of the aqueous extract of the stem bark of <i>Sacoglottis gabonensis</i> (Baille) Urban (Humiriaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. STUDY DESIGN -According to line 12 and line 67, each group had 10 males and 10 female rats (n=20), but the result presented for each parameter appear to be the mean /average of results for both sexes (male and female rats) which is completely unacceptable. This had significant reduction on the power of the report. SUGGESTIONS: -The authors need to separate the two results. - The separated results for each parameter should be re-presented (for male and female rat; n-10). - All treated groups (B, C and D) need to be compared with their corresponding control (A) for each sex. For instance, treated (B, C, and D) male rats will be compared with the corresponding control in male rats (but not combined as presented). - The authors need to revise the manuscript and resubmit the corrected copy for proper evaluation and consideration. 2. HEADING/TOPIC -The heading is lengthy and need to be compressed	1-We agree with your suggestion but the effects of the extract on the various parameters did not vary according to the sex of the rats. For, we include, now, before all results this sentence: in this study, the effects of the extract on the various parameters did not vary according to the sex of rats. 2- Subchronic toxicity study of the extract of <i>Sacoglottis gabonensis</i> (Baille) Urban (Humiriaceae) in wistar rats.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	