



## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_44205
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil Using <i>Schwenkia americana</i> and <i>Spermacoce ocymoides</i>
New title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil Using <i>Schwenkia americana</i> L. and <i>Spermacoce ocymoides</i> Burm. f.
Type of Article:	Original research papers

### PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article was much improved.</li> <li>2. The justification of moisture evaluation was not given.</li> <li>3. Our concern about the detailed procedures was specifically needed for the remediation process. Hence the author is not likely to give the details of Kjeldahl procedure (e.g. running of tap water for the condenser. This is a scientific paper and not a procedure for 1<sup>st</sup> year chemistry students.</li> <li>4. The authors need to convince the reader about the importance of moisture evaluation. Perhaps the whole section of moisture may be deleted without affecting the strength of the article.</li> </ol>	<p>The moisture content has been removed from the manuscript since there is less justification for it in the study.</p> <p>A modified method of Kjeldahl procedure was adapted for the estimation of total nitrogen and thus the introduction of tap water. Nonetheless, this has been made hidden in the procedure.</p>