



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJBCRR_43911
Title of the Manuscript:	Prostanoids and their mediated inflammatory responses in lung cancer
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors present the review of a very interesting topic in cancer, but the manuscript is poorly presented. 1. The author(s) mention(s) that an extensive literature revision was conducted. However, around 85% of the scientific papers cited date before 2013. It is, this is not an updated review. 2. The language should be revised by experts. 3. At least two figures should be included. The first one showing how the lung cancer risk factors associate with inflammation at the molecular level. The second one should show how therapies dealing with the inflammation process might help to fight lung cancer. 4. The manuscript should discuss how the current therapies against lung cancer target the inflammation process. 5. Information of clinical trials studying Cox inhibitors in cancer should be shown.	All comments has been noted and the manuscript was properly revised. 1. More recent findings (from 2015 to 2018) has been incorporated. 2. Grammatical errors and typos are corrected. 3. Two (2) figures has been added as recommended. 4. Therapies against lung cancer and how inflammatory process is target has been added in the manuscript.
	1. What do the author(s) mean(s) with "...cox 2 is mostly absent in most genes..." 2. The author's name of Reference number 20 is not properly cited. 3. References are lacking in the Tables. 4. Figure 1 is not mentioned in the text 5. "Thromboxane synthase has found to be moderately expressed in normal lung tissues of bronchial epithelial cells" should be "Thromboxane synthase has found to be moderately expressed in bronchial epithelial cells from normal lung tissues".	1. The sentence was rephrased and simplified. 2. The reference has been cited properly. 3. The reference of the said table has been added accordingly. 4. The Figure is now mentioned in the text. 5. The phrase has been replaced with the suggested one.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	