



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42910
Title of the Manuscript:	Nephroprotective Effect of Vernonia amygdalina Extract on Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Adult Male Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction	What is the relationship between kidney, liver and BPH? Does BPH affect renal and liver function? If yes how? If no, then there is no need for this study. Therefore, author should justify the study by establishing a renal and liver derangement on account of BPH necessitating the search for a nephroprotective agent in BPH patients.	Yes. Relationship between kidney, liver and BPH added in page 3 line 51-81 The study is justified by the literature review in page 3 There were changes in numbering of references because the additional literature review in introduction and Discussion sections.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Page 1, Line 9 Materials and Methods Page 4, Line 79 Page 4, Line 87 Page 5, Line 103, 104 Page 6, Line 121 Results Page 7, Line 156 Pages 8 and 9, Tables 1, 2, 3	"Nocturnal" should be changed to "nocturia" "Powered" should be changed to "powdered" State duration of dosing (Thrice weekly) State author before reference no eg according to Bernoulli [22] with modification by Mbaka et al [25] and others [4, 7,23,24] Procedure used previously by who? Add parenthesis (P < 0.05) Number the samples eb I, II, III, IV and V	Corrected. Corrected Corrected Ugwu <i>et al.</i> , 2018. Corrected Corrected Corrected
	Optional/General comments		