SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_42753**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Spermatotoxic effects of some medicinal plants (Carica papaya, Hibiscus rosa-sinensis and Ipomoea batatas) on sperm quality and testicular weight in male African catfish (Clarias gariepinus)**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have corrected their manuscript as I commented. From scientific view point, I could accept their work. But before you make a decision, please consider a few issues as following. **1. I am not sure if they had to declare an approval for their animal study because they worked with an edible fish. I think they had to. The right answer should not be the authors' answer to my last comment but should depend on the journal standard.** **2. From my experience, an original article showing results in one table is too short. It may be considered as a short communication or else but not a full original article.**	**Noted, effected in line the journal standard and highlighted** **Thanks for the observation but I beg to disagree. This paper presents findings of a full study on sperm parameters of fish treated with three different plants. Number of tables of a paper depends on the data to be presented. Having published in several other reputable journals including many in SDI and reviewed for many too, I do not think a paper having a table should not be a full original article.**