



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42323
Title of the Manuscript:	Unbound iron binding capacity (UIBC) – An alternative marker for iron stores?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Page No:1, Line No: 11, Spell check: "ezymes" ;should be corrected as enzymes. Line No: 14 "AS" should be written as "as". Line No:15 Alignment; Objective of the study in next line. Discussion: Line No: 200 Author himself says that both UIBC and Ferritin ROC curves not falls in an acceptable range. Then how the term "Marker" could be used in the title? The word "Marker" doesn't sound good for the article.	All modifications done as suggested. Instead of marker , "alternative lab parameter " is used.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I would like to encourage the author for choosing to this study. The title could be modified slighter in order to avoid confusions. The article doesn't support UIBC as a Marker.	Title is modified.